1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO	
2		
3		
4		
5	THE STATE OF IDAHO, Supreme Court No.	
6	Plaintiff-Respondent, )	
7	VS COURT REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	
8	THOMAS EUGENE CREECH,	
9	Defendant-Appellant.	
10		
11		
12		
13	BEFORE	
14	HOHORABLE J. RAY DURESCHI	
15	DISTRICT JUDGE	
16		
17		
18	,	
19	APPEAL from the District Court of the First	
20	Judicial District of the State of Idaho, in and for the	
21	County of Shoshone.	
22		
23		
24		
25	>>	
1		

JOHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

## APPEARANCES

 WAYNE KIDWELL, Esq. Attorney General of the State of Idaho, Capitol Building, Boise, Idaho, for and on behalf of the plaintiff-respondent.

BRUCE O. ROBINSON, Esq., Post Office Box 8, Nampa, Idaho, appearing for and on behalf of the defendant-appellant.

10HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Beise Idaho 83705 1a

. 1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHOSHONE
3	
4	
5	THE STATE OF IDAHO, ) Cr. No. 2165
6	)
7	Plaintiff, )
8	VS ) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
9	THOMAS EUGENE CREECH, )
10	Defendant. )
11	
12	
13	BEFORE
14	HONORABLE J. RAY DURTSCHI
15	DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	BE IT REMEMBERED, That the above-entitled matter came
19	on for hearing and trial before the Honorable J. Ray Durtschi,
20	District Judge, with a jury, at Cascade, Idaho, May 20, 1975
21	through May 22, 1975, and at Wallace, Idaho, October 6, 1975
22	through October 22, 1975.
23	
24	
25	

• 1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ROBERT REMAKLUS, Esq., Prosecuting Attorney, Cascade, Idaho, and
4	LYNN THOMAS, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, Statehouse, Boise,
5	Idaho, appearing for and on behalf of the plaintiff.
6	7.101
7	BRUCE O. ROBINSON, Esq., Post Office Box 8, Nampa, Idaho, appearing for and on behalf of the defendant, and
8	WARD HOWER, Esq., Post Office Box 799, Cascade, Idaho,
9	appearing for and on behalf of the defendant.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2a

OHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise Idaho 83705

· 1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHOSHONE
3	
4	
5	THE STATE OF IDANO, ) Cr. No. 2165
6	Plaintiff-Respondent, )  LODGMENT OF COURT
7	VS ) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ) ON APPEAL
8	THOMAS EUGENE CREECH,
9	Defendant-Appellant. )
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	RECEIVED from John W. Gambee, Official Court Reporter
16	of the above-entitled court, and lodged with me this day
17	of, 1976, original plus copies of
18	the Court Reporter's Transcript on Appeal.
19	
20	
21	CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
22	GPERK OF THE DISTRICT COORT
23	
24	Deputy
25	A.

JOHN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Bois\* Idaho 83705

Yes, it did. A.

2

What was that?

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

ā. We were on a flight, we were attempting to go over some bad weather and we went to the altitude of approximately 14,000 feet, myself, an investigator from the State Police, Bud Mason, defendant, Tom Creech, a Sergeant in my department, Tom Taylor and a pilot by the name of Tom Ambrose. In going above the weather we went high enough that Sergeant Taylor ran out of oxygen and went kind of into a trance or similar to an epileptic seizure, as I remember it, and Mr. Mason was attempting to bring him around and get the oxygen back on him, revive him.

He was not responding so the pilot and myself made the decision to drop down to a lower altitude into the weather and attempt to get some better air for the Sergeant.

We dropped down through the clouds, we came out at about 500 feet above the ground, the weather was very bad, blizzard-type, snowing, raining. The Sergeant was still not responding, we came out over a muddy road at an old abandoned landing strip. There was a lot of water on the strip, we decided to try to land on the road. We were still going pretty fast.

As we descended rapidly and as we came in for a landing we dropped the landing gear, flaps and we touched down approximately 120 miles an hour, had a bad crosswind and we were unable to keep the aircraft on the road. It blew us off

the side of the road, we went over a rise in the road, the landing gear caught in a culvert, jerked the gear up, went up on the nose wheel and nose wheel gave way. We skidded off the road into the gutter; which was running full of water.

At this time it was total confusion in the cockpit of that aircraft, the pilot had banged his face on the panel board, there was a lot of steam from the engine in the water and the Sergeant was still, at that time, as far as I knew, not breathing.

Mr. Mason got ahold of the Sergeant, dragged him out of the aircraft, Mr. Creech was sitting directly behind me and we were sitting on an angle in the water and the water was running right level with the door on my side. Everybody was trying to get out of the aircraft, Mr. Creech was very, very excited, screaming "The airplane is on fire" and I hit him. I said "Settle down, we're okay, we're going to get out of this." Settled him back down.

About that time Mr. Mason came back to the aircraft, grabbed ahold of him and tried to pull him out of the airplane and I said "Settle down, we're okay." He got him out of the airplane, I got out of the airplane and started searching for help.

We got up on top of -- the pilot went in one direction, I went towards what we thought was some farm buildings, turned out not to be farm buildings and the pilot --

regress just a little. On the way down I had been talking to Salt Lake Center, we had been on instruments. We told them we were in trouble, we were going down.

After the crash we started our emergency beeper, that's a device on board the aircraft that sends out a distress signal if you crash.

I started that beeper with the pilot's assistance and, then, I left, started searching for help. The pilot went in another direction.

Q Could I interrupt you here, Sheriff Palmer. Would you describe Mr. Creech's demeanor at this time, or the time after the plane went down?

A. Mr. Creech was visibly shaken and very scared.

He was crying, we had him in shackles, was worried that he was going to come out of the aircraft on that downhill side.

I didn't know how deep that water is and I was in fear that he was going to drown.

I got him out and he was very rigid, tears was running down his face and I got out of the aircraft and I started talking with him and tried to bring him around to where I could communicate with him. I was having trouble doing that and he was straining at the handcuffs and his knuckles were white; he was just beside himself. He was scared to death.

- Q. Did that condition continue for any period of time?
- A. Well, probably for the next four or five minutes,

1	. Yes, it is.
2	O. Did he have a tape recorder in his cell?
3	A. Yes, he did.
4	Do you have with you this morning, Sheriff Palmer,
5	a copy of a portion of that tape?
6	ä. Yes, I do.
7	0. Did you ask Mr. Creech to make this recording for
8	you?
9	ä. No.
10	O Do you know why he gave it to you?
11	h. Yes.
12	Q What was the reason for that?
13	3. I believe that he wanted me to have all the facts
14	involving this case.
15	Q. I see. And does it relate to facts relating to
16	this case?
17	A. Yes, it does.
18	MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, at this point we would like to
19	submit, under our regular procedure, the recording and I'm
20	sure the Court would want to consider that before it was
21	submitted to the jury.
22	THE COURT: We'll have to take this up out of your
23	presence, ladies and gentlemen. If you will remember the
24	admonition, don't discuss the case and keep your minds open
25	and we'll advise you when we're ready to proceed.

1	(Jury left the courtroom.)
2	Q. BY MR. THOMAS: Sheriff Palmer, do you have the
3	recording and a recording machine with you?
4	A. Yes, I do.
5	Q Would you now play it.
6	THE COURT: Just a minute. Have you heard this,
7	Mr. Robinson?
8	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: You have heard it?
10	MR. ROBINSON: Yes.
11	MR. THOMAS: Mr. Robinson had not heard the edited
12	portion which was just finished.
13	MR. ROBINSON: I've heard the entire tape, Your Honor,
14	and there are extenuating circumstances surrounding this
15	particular act on the part of Tom Creech. But, I'm sure the
16	Court will want to inquire in I assume that I'm going to be
17	given an opportunity to question Sheriff Palmer regarding
18	those surrounding circumstances.
19	THE COURT: Well, again, I'm not interested in going
20	into things that bear on the weight in his testimony. I'm
21	interested at this time, out of the presence of the jury and
22	considering its voluntariness.
23	You have some matters to present as far as its
24	voluntary nature?
25	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor, voluntariness and

1 motivation. 2 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the contents of 3 the tape, apart from the guestion of voluntariness? 4 MR. ROBINSON: I would think not, Your Honor, and perhaps 5 I could make this representation to the Court in regards to the 6 surrounding circumstances. 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. ROBINSON: Rather than questioning, as the Court 9 knows on the 6th day of June of this year, I came before the 10 Court on a Motion for the Change of Attorneys for 11 Thomas Eugene Creech and it was late that afternoon that the 12 Court did make the approval of the change of attorney. 13 This letter that is being referred to is dated 14 June the 9th, on the very same day that I came --15 THE COURT: I understand we're referring to a tape, not 16 a letter. MR. ROBINSON: Yes, the tape followed the letter. 17 THE COURT: I see. 18 MR. ROBINSON: On the very same day, June the 6th, 19 20 Sergeant Solarzano and his partner, a law officer that starts with an "S" -- Sheriff Palmer, do you recall his name right 21offhand? 22 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 24 THE COURT: What is it? 25 THE WITNESS: Solar.

3

 MR. ROBINSON: Both Deputy Sheriffs from the Sheriff's office of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles, California, by previous arrangements with Sheriff Palmer were there to interview Tom Creech in regard to the McCoy Ranch and the burial of many persons who had been sacrificed in Satanic Cult worship ceremonies and the location of these bodies there and also at Point Magoo; this not having specific information to do with the case in Idaho.

I sat in on that session and I limited that session, as Counsel for Tom Creech, only to the inquiry of occurrences that took place in Los Angeles County and their search there for those many bodies.

At a point in that interview they started to get onto subject matter having to do with Idaho and other areas that I was not fully aware of because I had been on the case a total of, maybe, four or five days, one day officially, and I objected and instructed Mr. Creech not to answer anything further until I had an opportunity to get a handhold on the whole circumstances of all involvements.

I was emphatic in this instruction. There had been absolutely no rapport worked up between Mr. Creech and myself at that time. I was a new man in his life, a new attorney and he had already established a rapport with both Bud Mason and Sheriff Palmer over seven and a half to eight months prior to that date.

1 Consequently he was not only securing "commissary" 2 from other sources and developing his means and method of getting 3 along in incarceration and felt that I was an interfering 4 influence at this particular moment and to maintain and hold his 5 position, he gave first the letter and then using the tape 6 recorder that I had supplied to him, made a tape and delivered 7 that to Sheriff Palmer and made several comments on that tape 8 that I'm sure, with that background and light, the Court would 9 better be able to determine and interpret what he has said on 10 the tape and also in the letter. 11 My point is, Your Honor, that voluntariness, there 12 was no promise as such made to Tom, that I know of no benefits, 13 rewards were promised him, but the delivery of both the letter 14 and this tape were couched in the frame of mind that he was in 15 right at that transition period. 16 17

THE COURT: Do you want to lay any further foundation as to voluntariness?

MR. THOMAS: Yes, Your Honor. I have just a question or two more.

THE COURT: All right.

- BY MR. THOMAS: Sheriff Palmer, do you remember approximately the date you received this tape?
  - No. I do not. A.
  - Was it following the conversation with Solarzano? 0.
  - Yes, it was several days. A.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		Q.	Was it several days, or was it longer or shorter?
2	Do you		e a more
3	•	Δ,	I can't be any more specific than that, unless I
4	could		to my notes and I'm not at all sure that they are
5			have to research them.
6		0.	Did you did anybody are you aware of anybody
7	in the		that asked Mr. Creech to make that tape, make this
8	stateme	-	tingt asked Mr. Creech to make that tape, make this
9	Stateme		Walada askad bis to make it
		Α.	Nobody asked him to make it.
10		Q.	It was was it completely voluntary on his part
11	as far	as y	you know?
12		A.	Yes, it was.
13		MR.	THOMAS: That's all I have, Your Honor.
14		THE	COURT: All right, Mr. Robinson, you may cross
15	examin	e on	this point, the voluntariness if you wish.
16		MR.	ROBINSON: All right.
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	}		
22			
23			
24	}}		
25	li.		

County Jail since November of '74; isn't that correct?

1	A. Yes, it is.
2	Q. And during this period of time several trips had
3	been made out of state with Tom Creech, yourself, Bud Mason and
4	other law enforcement officers of various states?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Now, on the session that occurred June the 6th in
7	your office, had that been a prearranged session, or interview
8	made through you by Solarzano?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And during the discussion of the matters covered on
11	that day, did there arise an occasion where I, as attorney, found
12	it necessary and did instruct Tom Creech very emphatically not
13	to discuss the matters any further?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And, as a matter of fact, that is the note that that
16	interview was terminated on; isn't that correct?
17	A. I believe that note was written before that. That
18	letter was handed to me that day at that interview.
19	Q. You are misunderstanding my question.
20	A. I'm sorry.
21	Q. Sheriff Palmer, the fact that I instructed Tom not
22	to answer wasn't that the manner in and the way which we closed
23	that interview on that date?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Now, as a matter of fact, this letter, I believe, has
1	

1	O Do you recall whether or not those were prior to
2	this air flight that has been discussed?
3	A. I don't think he tried to escape after that.
4	Q. So, your testimony would be that any thought
5	expressed of escape occurred prior to the air flight which was
6	on May the 4th of '75?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. Now, the air flight, when Tom had possession of your
9	.357 magnum, it was loaded was it not?
10	That was not a .357 magnum.
11	0. What was it?
12	3, .38.
13	O Straight police .38?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q All right. And it was loaded?
16	A Yes, it was.
17	Q. And, although you testified other law enforcement
18	officers had weapons, they were holstered; were they not?
19	A. I'm sure they were.
20	Q At that time with that gun in his possession, was
21	it physically possible that Thomas Eugene Creech could have
22	killed the four of you?
23	A. Very unlikely.
24	Q. Very unlikely?
25	A. Yes.
1	

25

I would say there was a rapport developed.

June the 6th where, perhaps the first time in eight months of

All right. And when this confrontation came on

his being in custody he was being advised by Counsel not to

himself in your good graces, or for that matter of fact, sent you a tape recording?

- A. Yes, I think that we had talked and I had stated to him on occasions that I felt that the truth should be known for the Judge to make an intelligent decision. He should know what had happened and I think that's why he sent me that tape, in hopes that I would get it before the Court.
- Q Under the condition then existing in June of this year with Tom as an inmate in your jail, do you honestly feel, yourself, that the letter, or the tape, were made voluntarily by Tom Creech?
  - A. Yes, I believe they were.
- Q Without any feeling on his part of being rewarded or continued to be maintained with some privileges?
- A. I don't think he was looking for privileges. I
  don't really think so. I believe he made those in a sincere
  effort to bring the truth out. I don't think he was looking for
  any favors from me. I hadn't offered him anything.

MR. ROBINSON: May I just have a second, Your Honor.

(Brief delay.)

MR. ROBINSON: May I have just a second further and also possession of Exhibit 61?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROBINSON: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: No, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

O

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

BY MR. ROBINSON:

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Just for the record, though, I believe that the Court made a statement a moment ago that this tape was received on June 9. It seems to me that was the date of the 8th that Sheriff Palmer did not testify that the tape was received on the 9th of June, but that it was received a few days after.

THE COURT: Was the tape after June 9th?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Well, the relative dates still would hold.

MR. ROBINSON: I have one clarification I would like to ask Sheriff Palmer about, Your Honor.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

THE COURT: Yes.

Q Sheriff Palmer, subsequent to my getting on this case in June, for the record, isn't it true and correct that you did abide, as law enforcement officer, by my desires and wishes not to have conferences with Tom Creech unless I was present and that maintained itself; did it not?

- A. Yes, either you or your investigator.
- Q. Right. Now, as a matter of fact on this tape that Tom sent, that was sent to you while I was in Vancouver, Canada at the Alaska and Idaho joint Bar Association meeting,

1 around the -- or during the week of June 23rd, 1975. Do you 2 recall that now? 3 I recall you telling me you were going to Canada. 4 However, I don't remember when I got the tape; whether that was 5 at the time you were in Canada or not. 6 I don't remember that. 7 And it's also very possible that on this tape 0. 8 Tom Creech gave the date that he was dictating it? 9 A. Yes, it is. 10 MR. ROBINSON: I don't know, Your Honor, that that has 11 much bearing on it, because I do anticipate the Court's ruling 12 in this regard. 13 MR. THOMAS: I don't have any further questions, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Have you -- do you have anything further to 16 present on the admissibility of this, as far as evidence, 17 Mr. Robinson? 18 MR. ROBINSON: I have nothing further regarding the 19 voluntariness, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: I'd hear any argument you want to make, then, 21 if you want to say anything further. 22 MR. ROBINSON: Well, I smile, Your Honor, only because 23 getting up here and ad-libbing would be ridiculous using the 24 Court's time. 25 I have a feeling, having been involved there, that,

1 perhaps, there was a frame of mind that Tom was in at this 2 particular moment of transition and it's my feeling, of course, 3 that what he did say at that time, more than likely was a 4 voluntary statement. 5 I agree with the Sheriff, that he had been, many 6 times during the previous eight months, read his Miranda 7 rights. I'm sure that Tom understood them very correctly and 8 I would estimate the number of times not at 35, but, possibly, 9 135. 10 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor --11 THE COURT: As far as the contents of the tape itself, 12 have you listened to the edited tape that's proposed? 13 MR. ROBINSON: No, I haven't and I would like that 14 opportunity. 15 THE COURT: All right, I'll have the -- can you keep the 16 volume down so it won't go into the jury room? 17 THE WITNESS: I believe so. 18 THE COURT: Do it. If you are having trouble hearing 19 you can step up here, Mr. Robinson, closer. 20 MR. ROBINSON: Fine. 21 THE COURT: I take it you've heard it, Mr. Thomas? 22 MR. THOMAS: Yes. Would you play it. 23 THE COURT: Why don't you come up here where you can hear 24 it, Mr. Robinson. 25 (Whereupon the edited portion of the referred to

tape was played out of the presence of the jury.)

MR. ROBINSON: That's as I recall it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have -- you had listened,
Mr. Robinson, to the original edited tape, you say?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I have, and I believe that I have a copy.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to matters that have been left out? Are there matters left out that you feel should be included to present the matter fairly?

MR. ROBINSON: Not in the State's case in chief,

Your Honor. I'm sure that in the defense of the matter that —
more than likely this, as well as other tapes will, more than
likely, be played in total with the Court's permission, of
course.

THE COURT: You are satisfied, then, that -MR. ROBINSON: Those statements were made at that time.
THE COURT: All right.

I'm going to overrule the objection and find that this was a spontaneous, not subject -- not the result of interrogation by police officers, but was a voluntary statement by Mr. Creech and since it was not the subject and the result of interrogation by law enforcement officers, I feel, even though it went contrary to instructions of his counsel, that it is admissible as a voluntary statement and, particularly in view of the fact that Mr. Creech had been warned many times of

1 his full rights under the Miranda Decision. So, I will admit this edited tape recording. 3 MR. ROBINSON: May we have a few minutes, Your Honor, 4 before you do call the jury back in? 5 THE COURT: Yes, we'll take an additional five minutes. 6 (Recess taken.) 7 MR. THOMAS: Excuse me, Your Honor, just a little 8 procedural problem. May we have both the recorder and the tape marked for identification as Exhibits at this point? 10 THE COURT: Yes, that's fine. 11 (State's Exhibits 63 and 64 marked for 12 identification.) 13 (Jurors re-entered the courtroom.) 14 THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present. 15 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 17 BY MR. THOMAS: 18 Sheriff Palmer, you have there the tape recorder and tape recording that you were referring to a short while 19 20 earlier, is that correct? 21 Yes, I do. MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, we move for the admission of 22 State's Exhibits 63 and 64. 23 24 MR. ROBINSON: The objection is noted by the Court and 25 I understand the ruling of the Court, but the record, I'd like

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 2,277 PALMER, E., Plf., ReDi. By Mr. Thomas.

	1	to show our objection, Your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Yes, the record may show.
-	3	All right, the objection is overruled,
	4	Exhibits 63 and 64 will be admitted.
T	5	(State's Exhibits 63 and 64 admitted into
ļ	6	evidence.)
	7	THE COURT: Which is which?
-	8	
	9	MR. REMAKLUS: The tape is 63 and the player is 64.
T .	10	THE COURT: All right.
	11	Q BY MR. THOMAS: Sheriff Palmer, here are Exhibits
_		63 and 64. Would you now play the recording for the jury.
	12	(Whereupon the tape recording was played in the
	13	presence of the jury.)
350	14	Q. BY MR. THOMAS: Did you at any time, Sheriff,
	15	ask for or solicit that tape from the defendant?
J	16	i. Wo, I did not.
	17	MR. THOMAS: No further questions, Your Honor.
	18	
]	19	
2	20	
2	21	
2	22	
	23	
2	24	
2	25	·

1	0 And said what?
2	1. "Here, Sheriff, you lost your gun."
3	O. Was the gun loaded?
4	A. Yes, it was.
5	0 Who else was present when that event took place?
6	. Mr. Mason was in the back seat, Sergeant Taylor,
7	myself and I don't believe I believe that was all that was
8	there.
9	Q. Was Tom Creech handcuffed, shackled in any manner
10	at that time?
11	A. No, he was not.
12	Q. Did Tom make any attempt whatsoever to use that
13	weapon against you or the other law enforcement officers?
14	A. No, he did not.
15	Q Now, prior to June the 6th of 1975, had
16	Tom Creech been very cooperative with you and Investigator
17	Bud Mason with the State Law Enforcement?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. As a matter of fact, had there developed a rapport
20	akin to a friendship between you and Tom Creech, Bud Mason and
21	Tom Creech? I did say "akin to a friendship" not an absolute
22	friendship, Sheriff.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did an event take place on the 6th day of June of
25	this year, 1975, involving my representation of Tom Creech in

	1	my best to bring everything out in court and I think that's
	2	the reason that he sent me those tapes.
	3	Q. So, your comment, then, as I understand, was that
	4	the event of June the 6th was indirectly responsible for, but
	5	not directly responsible for the letter and this tape that you
	6	just played?
	7	A. May have been, yes.
	8	Q. Sheriff Palmer, Tom Creech has been in your
	9	custody from November early November of 1974 until he was
	10	transferred here to Shoshone County in August of this year;
	11	is that correct?
	12	A. Yes, it is.
	13	Q During that period of time have you seen, personally,
	14	Tom spaced out as that term is used regarding the use of
	15	types of drugs?
	16	A. Can I explain that?
	17	Q. Yes.
7	18	A. In a doctor's office I've seen him under the
4	19	influence of drugs.
7	20	Q. And that was
_	21	A. If that's spaced out, yes.
	22	Q. Fine. And that was Sodium Ametol sessions
	23	MR. THOMAS: Objection, Your Honor, I think that is
	24	immaterial, irrelevant and inadmissible.
T	25	THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 i .-Yes. 2 What was that? 0 3 Some of the people that he knew were observed in 4 our area. 5 Were there other attempts to escape? 0 6 A. Yes, there was some saw blades smuggled into the 7 jail proper. However, I felt that this was a feeble attempt. 8 We got them back before he had actually done much. He sawed 9 on the bars a little bit. We did get that back before there 10 was much headway made. 11 Now, going back to the airplane incident, if I may. 12 You discussed with Mr. Robinson a moment ago the fact that 13 Mr. Creech had handed you your gun back. Was it physically 14 possible, or likely at that time, that Mr. Creech could have 15 escaped while in possession of your loaded gun had he desired 16 to do so? 17 I don't believe he could have escaped at that time, 18 no. 19 Why is that? 20 There were other law enforcement officers in the 21 aircraft with me when he handed me the gun. It was in this 22 manner (indicating) he had the grip like this (indicating) but 23 Mr. Mason observed him pick the gun off of the floor. He had 24 reached for his weapon. I was, probably, a foot or so from him 25 with my back to him getting out of the airplane. When he

PALMER, E., Plf., ReDi.

By Mr. Thomas.

2,286

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

called my attention, "Here, Sheriff, here's your gun, you lost your gun." I think that we were in one tight spot, I don't think that -- we all needed each other's help at that point. Could he have escaped? No, I don't think so. There was two other trained police officers in that aircraft and he may have killed me, he may have killed one of the others but I don't think he would have had time to have killed all of us. He wouldn't have made it.

- Q. Was Mr. Creech aware, to your knowledge, that the other officers were armed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you referred a few moments ago to the fact that you thought Creech was faking when indicated that he was "spaced out" as Mr. Robinson put it. What do you mean by that? Would you explain that a little more fully?
- A. When I talked with him, observed him freaked out, spaced out, I could communicate with him. On one occasion he was talking with -- or he was not talking, he was laid out hyperventilating, breathing very heavily and so forth in a semiconscious stupor. I observed this and I talked to him. I told him "You straighten up and sit down and talk to me" in a rather gruff tone. He did set up. We talked.

Later on he told me that he had faked them. Some of the trouble that he had given me was his fault.

Q. Have you ever seen any other incidents in which

1 Mr. Creech appeared to be faking illness or being under the 2 influence of drugs? 3 A. Yes. 4 Would you describe those, please. 5 Another occasion, Idaho State Penitentiary, he had 6 the quards, everybody, working over him very feverishly. I 7 arrived at the scene and the same thing happened, told him to 8 sit up and talk to me. He did. 9 We took him to the hospital and had him checked 10 over. There was nothing wrong with him. Doctors said "Take 11 him back". 12 There was also some reference made during 13 Mr. Robinson's cross-examination, Sheriff Palmer, that 14 Mr. Creech was known to have assisted other prisoners. 15 there any time when he showed any violence to other prisoners 16 in your jail? 17 Yes, there was. 18 Describe those incidents, please. On one occasion the jail personnel put a person in 19 20 the cell with Mr. Creech who was charged with rape. Mr. Creech 21 became very violent and attempted to kill him. He was pulled off, taken out of the cell. To quote Mr. Creech, "If you'd 22 23 have let me have the son of a bitch I'd have killed him if you 24 just gave me a little time." 25 Who said that? 0.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 2,288 PALMER, E., Plf., ReDi. By Mr. Thomas.

1	A. Mr. Creech.
2	Q. Say that to you personally?
3	A. Yes, he did.
4	Q. Are there any other incidents of that character?
5	A. There was quite a few incidents that I can't
6	recall all of them, have problems within the jail.
7	O. Do you recall the general nature of those incidents
8	A. Well, one time he said that he slipped in some
9	coffee and fell and hurt himself. I believed his arm was
10	swelled up at that time and there was visual wounds. I think
11	some of these were self-inflicted. In fact, he told me they
12	were. I can't remember all of them.
13	Q. Was Mr. Creech a popular prisoner as far as you
14	know?
15	A. He seemed to get along all right with the other
16	prisoners. Some of them he did and some of them he didn't,
17	very, apparently.
18	Q Did he have the ability, from your observations,
19	to get along when he wanted to with prisoners in the jail?
20	A. Yes, very much.
21	Q. Sheriff Palmer, I'm handing you State's Exhibits
22	61 and 62.
23	Mr. Robinson referred to a letter in his
24	cross-examination that you had received from Mr. Creech. Is
25	that the letter that you are referring to in answering

MR. THOMAS: May the State read the letter into the record, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. THOMAS: The letter bears a rubber stamped indication which says "Received Sheriff's Office June 9, 1975 4:30 p.m. Ada County, Idaho."

It also bears a notation that it was received at 4:30 p.m. 6-9-75, signed "E.C. Palmer, L.E. Thomas."

The letter reads "Sheriff Palmer: Sir, I'm writing this letter in regards to the following.

"To Whom it Might Concern:

"I Thomas E. Creech make this statement free and voluntarily and of my own free will. Without any threat or promise of any nature. All 'statements' that I Thomas E. Creech have made to the following people, was made without threat or promise and of my own free will. This relates to any and all statements I have made in the past, 'with and without' defense counsel present. E.C. Palmer, Sheriff, Ada County, Bud Mason, Investigator, State of Idaho, and Dr. Heyrend - Doctor and psych. Respectfully, Your Friend, Tom Creech."

Q BY MR. THOMAS: Did you ever have any indication of -- from Mr. Creech, Sheriff Palmer, that he had deliberately disregarded his counsel's instructions in giving you any statements of any kind?

A. Yes.

1	unglued; isn't that correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	MR. ROBINSON: Nothing further.
4	
5	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. THOMAS:
7	Q. What do you mean when you say "Tom came unglued",
8	Sheriff?
9	A. I think that Counsel was referring to that he became
10	violent and mad and took it upon himself to attempt to kill that
11	fellow.
12	Q. Did he ever, to your knowledge, become "unglued"
13	on any other occasion when there wasn't a statutory rapist
14	present?
15	A. I'm sure he has.
16	Q. Would you use that word to characterize any of the
17	circumstances which you referred to previously involving fights
18	with other prisoners, or involving the faking of illnesses?
19	A. I don't think he was faking when he was beating on
20	that fellow. He was pretty badly beat up. I think his intent
21	was there to, probably, do what he could to stop that fellow
22	or inflict great bodily harm to him.
23	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Sheriff. No questions.
24	MR. ROBINSON: And with that provision, Your Honor, that
25	I do desire to recall Sheriff Palmer, I have no further
1	

	1 2	questions.
		THE COURT: Step down. You will have to remain available
	3	when defense counsel needs you.
	4	Probably have to make arrangements with him about
	5	the timing when you will be needed.
-	6	THE WITNESS: Okay, Judge.
	7	MR. THOMAS: State calls Mr. Mason.
	8	THE COURT: I think he was sworn outside the presence of
	9	the jury, so I'll ask you to be sworn again.
	10	
	11	ALVIN R. MASON,
	12	a witness produced on behalf of the State, having been first
	13	duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:
	14	
	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	16	BY MR. THOMAS:
	17	Q. Mr. Mason, would you state your name and address,
-	18	please.
	19	A. Alvin R. Mason, I'm also known as Bud Mason.
	20	Q. And where are you employed, sir?
	21	Bureau Chief of Narcotics for the State of Idaho.
	22	0. And you reside where, Mr. Mason?
	23	A. In Boise, Idaho.
	24	0. What was your position on November 5, 1974; if you
	25	would?
-		

HN W, GAMBEE, C.S.R. 18940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

2,295 MASON, A., Plf., Di. By Mr. Thomas.

2,296

MASON, A., Plf., Di.

By Mr. Thomas.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hallandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

1	the Donnelly area.
2	Q. Did you visit the scene of the homicide while the
3	bodies of the victims were still there at any time?
4	A. No, I did not.
5	Q. When did you first come into contact with the victims?
6	A. Was at the morgue of the St. Alphonsus Hospital in
7	Boise.
- 8	Q. Had you seen them before that time in Cascade or
9	Donnelly?
10	A. They were in the I believe the Coroner's hearse
11	being transported to Boise.
12	Q Mr. Mason, I have some Exhibits here that I'd like
13	to show you. This is State's Exhibit 1-G. Can you tell me
14	whether you can recognize the body in that photograph?
15	A. This appears to be the man identified as
16	Arnold that I first observed in the St. Alphonsus Hospital.
17	Q. And State's Exhibit 1-A. Would you identify that?
18	A. At the time I first observed this deceased person
19	his name was unknown. He was later identified as Bradford. I
20	saw him in St. Alphonsus Hospital also.
21	Q. When did you first become aware of the identification
22	of these two victims?
23	A. I believe Mr. Arnold was identified on the 6th day
24	of November, 1974 and it was some days later before Mr. Bradford
25	was positively identified.

1 Do you happen to recall about when it was when 2 Mr. Bradford was positively identified? 3 A. I believe it was the following week. 4 What was the process involved in identifying him? 5 Well, I fingerprinted the two people and checked the 6 fingerprints with the CID files. In that process Mr. Arnold's 7 fingerprints were on file. Comparing the fingerprints 8 Mr. Arnold was identified positively, sent a teletype to the 9 FBI in Washington and also to the CID in Alabama for the 10 possibility of identifying Mr. Bradford. It was the following week that we got our teletype 11 12 back from Alabama identifying Mr. Bradford. Did you participate in other phases of the 13 investigation of these two deaths? 14 15 Yes, I did. A. What came next? 16 On the 6th of November, I visually viewed the 17 car, checked the contents of the interior and also the trunk, 18 the outside. I then proceeded to Boise and assisted 19 Dr. Scott with the autopsy of one of the victims. 20 Do you remember what day it was that you assisted 21 Dr. Scott? 22 It was November the 6th and 7th. 23 A. Did you assist in both autopsies? 24 Q. Yes, I did. 25 A.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O. In what manner?

A. On the 6th day of November I believe Sheriff Lynskey was present in a morgue, Valley County Coroner Walker and myself and Dr. Scott. We had to undress one of the victims. While the doctor was performing the autopsy we were observing and taking notes as to the dress and also observed the doctor extract the slugs from the body.

- Q Now, you refer to the "undressing" of one of the victims on November 6. What role did you play in that, if anything?
  - A. I assisted the doctor in taking the clothes off.
  - Q How did you assist him?
  - A. I took the clothes off the deceased person.
  - Q. What did you do with the clothes?
- A. I kept them in my custody, took them up to my office They had to be air-dried because they were still wet from the blood. So, I laid them out on the carpet on a piece of paper and air-dried them so that they could be shipped to the FBI Laboratory.
- Q. Was that process followed with respect to both victims?
- A. The autopsy on Mr. Arnold was conducted on November the 7th, 1974. His clothes had to be cut off. The were quite blood soaked, part of the clothing was stuck to body.

you've referred to them?

A.

It was later identified as Mr. Bradford.

24

asked me to come down and assist. They had two people in

1 stop, Mr. Thomas. 2 MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 BY MR. THOMAS: Mr. Mason, did Mr. Creech, on the 4 trip to Cascade, make any reference to a place where an 5 automobile had been previously parked? 6 MR, ROBINSON: Objection to leading and suggestive, 7 Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 BY MR. THOMAS: Well, let me just ask you to go 10 through any conversation that Mr. Creech had with reference 11 to the facts. 12 Facts of this case, Counselor? 13 0. Yes. 14 I told Tom --A. 15 I just want Mr. Creech's conversation, unless this Q. 16 is necessary to explain what he said. 17 I asked him what happened at Donnelly and --18 MR. ROBINSON: Objection here, Your Honor. No -- could we have a short recess? I'm sure we could straighten this 19 20 matter up. 21 THE COURT: Yes, we'll take a short recess. I'll 22 instruct you to disregard -- I mean to observe the admonition 23 of the Court previously, ladies and gentlemen, and don't 24 discuss the case and keep your minds open. 25 The Bailiff will advise you when we're ready.

1 (Jury left the courtroom.) 2 THE COURT: Well, if you are going to go into 3 interrogation, the previous testimony given by Officer Maxwell 4 that I felt this was going to be just a repetition of, was 5 simply purported to be a spontaneous statement by Mr. Creech on 6 the way back to Cascade without any interrogation or 7 questioning. If you are going to have some interrogation here, 8 I'm going to require a hearing on foundation as to voluntariness 9 at this point. 10 MR. THOMAS: Well, may I ask Mr. Mason a couple of 11 questions, Your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. THOMAS: 16 Mr. Mason, did you conduct any interrogation of 17 Mr. Creech in the automobile trip to Cascade? 18 A. Yes. What was that related to? 19 Q. 20 I asked him what happened in Donnelly. A 21 What did he say? Q. He said those guys threatened him with a knife. 22 ř. 23 Under what circumstances did he make that comment? 0

Was that at the end of any conversation of any length?

Said he shot them.

24

25

A.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, before we commence and go ahead with the procedure, may I inquire of the Court about this Saturday? I inquire because of some advance plans that we would like to mention.

THE COURT: I plan on going Saturday unless the jurors object.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much, sir.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Mr. Mason, in regard to the automobile trip that you were describing a short while ago, did Mr. Creech make any comment to you about a place where an automobile had been parked during the trip?
- A. Yes. While we were enroute from Mountain Home to Cascade he said "That's where we left the automobile. That's where we left the car."
  - Q. And where was he pointing to? Did you notice that?
- A. It was out to the right side, be on the river side of the highway, Highway 55.
  - Q. And do you know the approximate location?
- A. About 17 miles south of Cascade in a canyon. The river parallels the road in that area, pine trees and canyons.

22

23

24

clouds about -- seemed to be 300 or 400 feet off the ground, right over a road. We thought possibly he would, by getting to a lower altitude, that Tom Taylor would be able to breathe. He just simply wasn't -- seemed to go limp and it -- we decided that we should land and try to assist him in any way we could.

The cabin of the plane is rather small and I just simply couldn't get to him. We decided to set down on the road. It was black marked road and didn't have any snow on top of it. I thought it was a pretty good landing, but the wind blew us off the road. We hit a culvert, folded the landing gear up and at that time the nose gear folded underneath the aircraft. We went through a lot of water and mud, mud splattered all over the windshield and both sides of the cabin. So, at that time, you couldn't see out of it. Steam and fumes came inside the cabin. The plane was at a -- down on its nose about a 30 degree angle and tail up in the air, the right wing finally went down into the ground.

We turned around to the left, the plane stopped and then the tail went back down. The plane rested there.

- Q. Were you able to observe Mr. Creech's responses and his behavior during these events?
- A. Tom Creech was setting by me. I was still turned around trying to hang onto Tom Taylor. It happened in just a few seconds and I didn't notice any, really didn't notice

1	It was a spontaneous statement made by him.
2	O. By the defendant, Thomas Creech?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. THOMAS: No further questions at this time,
5	Your Honor.
6	MR. ROBINSON: If I may proceed, Your Honor?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	
9	CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. ROBINSON:
11	Q. Mr. Mason, did this spontaneous comment by
12	Tom Creech come before or after he recovered the Sheriff's
13	.38 revolver?
14	A. Before.
15	Q. Okay. Now, you say that comment was "This made a
16	Christian out of me, I didn't need to kill those fellows, the
17	jury should find me guilty". Is that, in essence, the comment
18	that was made?
19	A. In essence, yes, said "I didn't have any reason".
20	Q. All right. And this was not in response to any
21	question that you had posed to Tom about any investigation
22	that you were making?
23	A. No, it was not.
24	Q. All right. And I believe that you said that you
25	just assumed that he was making some reference to Idaho

MASON, A., Plf., X.

By Mr. Robinson.

2,313

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandate Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

Are those photocopies, Mr. Mason, of the rap sheets that you received from the FBI on these two subjects?

- A. I'm sorry, I didn't hear the first part of the question.
- Q. Let me restate it. Are those the documents commonly referred to as "rap sheets" that you received from the FBI on those two subjects, Arnold and Bradford, as part of your process of positive indentification?
  - A. They appear to be the same, yes.

MR. ROBINSON: All right. We'd ask, Your Honor, that Defendant's Exhibits A and B be admitted.

MR. THOMAS: State objects, Your Honor, the victims' background is purely irrelevant.

MR. ROBINSON: May we have argument to that outside -THE COURT: I don't need any argument going on. He
objected on the ground it was irrelevant.

MR. ROBINSON: Oh. I would like to be heard in that regard, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to take the same position I did before on when you offered these, Mr. Robinson; that if you would separate out the portions of these exhibits that relate to identity to the two victims I would admit it. I think there's — much of this, though, that go beyond the scope of direct examination and is improper cross and, as long as they are all tied together in one Exhibit, I'm going to

sustain the objection; not on the ground of relevancy, but on the ground that this goes beyond the scope of the direct examination.

MR. ROBINSON: May I be heard in this regard,
Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. We'll take our noon recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen. If you will remember the admonition, don't discuss the case and keep your minds open entirely on it. We'll be in recess on it until 1:30.

(Jury left the courtroom.)

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I do agree with the

Court's appraisal in regards to Mr. Mason's testimony; that

this tends to go a distance beyond his direct examination.

But, I submit to the Court that there have been tape

recordings that have made reference to the fact that Tom Creech

made the statement these men really were trying to rape Carol.

Now, with this -- all of this in evidence and before the jury, reference to that subject matter being made in the voluntary statement, reference of that being made in Officer Hill's report, that is admitted into evidence; that now the personalities of both Arnold and Bradford are proper subject of inquiry. They are material and relevant to this jury so that this jury can determine whether or not there is a basis of Arnold and Bradford's character; that they would, or could, have made this attempted rape on Carol and, therefore,

their entire background is shown in Defendant's Exhibits A and B are both material and relevant. It has properly been identified as being part of the identification process and received in this manner by Mr. Mason and I feel that I have now laid the total basic foundation for the admission of these documents, basically because all of the other matter in issue and the jury has already been advised of the behavior pattern, at least as part of the State's evidence in the tape recordings and other documents.

THE COURT: Well, my response to that is simply that I'm not sustaining the objection on the grounds that it's irrelevant. I'm sustaining the objection on the ground it goes beyond the scope of the direct examination.

So, whether it's relevant or not is a moot question at this point. I appreciate it will become relevant, probably, at some point in the trial, I mean, but it will become an issue of relevancy will be before the Court properly in a further trial. I would simply respond, even on that point at this time, that I understand fully what you are saying; that the character of the victims may be very relevant if it's known to the defendant. I think to make it relevant it has to be shown that that character was known to the defendant at the time of the alleged act and that foundation certainly hasn't been laid at this time.

I appreciate there's been some foundation that

Mr. Creech was concerned about their intent with respect to
Miss Spaulding but that wasn't based on Mr. Creech having read
the FBI report. It was based on something else.

To me, before this becomes relevant on that basis, he would have to have been familiar with these facts and certainly no foundation to that effect at this time.

MR. ROBINSON: Only one area that I can recall at one document, Your Honor, I believe that he made reference to the fact that Bradford had been incarcerated in the Alabama State Penitentiary.

THE COURT: That's already in the record. I don't know that that even shows up here.

MR. ROBINSON: It doesn't.

THE COURT: So, that doesn't help these documents.

MR. ROBINSON: But it's basically the belief from statements that had been made.

THE COURT: But I don't see how this -- one, was it Bradford had been in the --

MR. ROBINSON: Yes. I believe that reference to Bradford and the Alabama State Prison for having killed a black was in the voluntary statement, part of that which was admitted.

THE COURT: But I don't see that kind of an entry on this Exhibit.

MR. ROBINSON: It isn't?

25

1	THE COURT: Well, I don't see how that helps the
2	relevancy, then.
3	MR. ROBINSON: Only as to the general character of the
4	subjects themselves.
5	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to adhere to my ruling.
6	MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir.
7	THE COURT: You may step down.
8	(Noon recess taken.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	*
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	WALLACE, IDAHO, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1975, 1:30 P.M.
2	(Jurors re-entered the courtroom.)
3	
4	THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.
5	MR. ROBINSON: No further questions, Your Honor.
6	
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. THOMAS:
9	Q. Mr. Mason, in discussing with Mr. Robinson the
10	question of Mr. Creech obtaining Sheriff Palmer's gun at the
11	site of the plane crash I believe you said that you didn't think
12	it was probable that Mr. Creech could have wasted all four
13	people there. Would you explain what you mean by that?
14	A. I first saw the gun in his hand down between the
15	seats of the plane. Of course, I also was armed. I think, due
16	to the seating arrangement within the plane, the possibility
17	existed but I seriously doubt if that could have been
18	accomplished. I'm assuming you mean killed by "wasted".
19	Q. On the date of the plane crash did you, Mr. Mason,
20	know at that time that this case had been set for trial later
21	that month?
22	A. Yes, I was aware of that.
23	MR. THOMAS: No further questions, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Nothing
25	MR. ROBINSON: Nothing further, Your Honor. I do want

1	the same understanding of Mr. Mason that he can be called on the
2	defense's case.
3	THE COURT: All right. You will have to remain available
4	to testify later, then.
5	THE WITNESS: All right, Judge.
6	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
7	MR. REMAKLUS: Call Sheriff Lynskey.
8	
9	DEROLD LYNSKEY,
10	a witness produced on behald of the State, having been first
11	duly sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:
12	
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. REMAKLUS:
15	O. State your name, please.
16	A. Derold Lynskey.
17	0. Where do you live, Mr. Lynskey?
18	Cascade, Idaho.
19	O. And what is your occupation, sir?
20	Sheriff of Valley County, Idaho.
21	Q. And were you Sheriff of Valley County, Idaho in
22	on November 5, 1974?
23	A. I was.
24	Q. And, Sheriff, did you assign Deputy Maxwell as
25	investigator in the two homicides on that date that we're

_			
_	1	dealing wit	h today?
	2	A.	Yes, I did.
T	3	Q.	Directing your attention to that day, specifically,
1	4	Sheriff, di	d you go to the death scene?
Ī	5	Α.	I did.
+	6	Q.	And while you were there did you inspect the bodies
	7	that have b	een testified to?
-	8	A.	Yes, I did.
ļ	9	Q.	And handing you what's been marked or admitted
T	10	into eviden	ce as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1-A, can you identify who
+	11	is in that	photograph?
	12	A.	This was later learned to be Mr. Bradford.
+	13	Q.	And, Sheriff Lynskey, handing you Plaintiff's
	14	Exhibit 1-G	, would you look at it, please.
Τ	15	A.	Yes, sir. This was Mr. Arnold.
-	16	Q.	That is a photograph of Mr. Arnold?
	17		Did you see both of these bodies at the death scene
Т	18	on November	5th?
	19	A.	Yes, I did.
Т	20	Q.	Sheriff, were you present during the autopsy of
1	21	that Dr.	Scott described here in the courtroom?
	22	A.	I was present for the unidentified person, learned
г	23	to be later	as Mr. Bradford, on the 6th day of November.
	24	Q.	And which autopsy was performed first; do you know?
Γ	25	A.	The subject learned to be Mr. Bradford.
1			

1	Q. And were both bodies down at the autopsy room at
2	St. Alphonsus Hospital on that date?
3	A. Yes, they were.
4	Ω Do you know of your own knowledge that these are the
5	two bodies that were autopsied by Dr. Scott as testified to?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. Sheriff, handing you State's Exhibits 11, 12 and 13,
8	would you look at number 11, please.
9	Are you familiar with that exhibit?
10	A. Yes, I am.
11	Q. What is it?
12	A. It's a piece of metal. The glass container
13	containing a piece of metal, alleged to be one of the bullets.
14	It came from Mr. Bradford.
15	Q. Were you there when the bullet was removed?
16	A. Yes, I was.
17	
	Q. It was removed from Mr. Bradford; wasn't it?
18	A. It certainly was.
19	Q Did you help prepare that Exhibit?
20	A. I stood by with Mr. Mason while he printed the
21	printing on the glass, capped it and assisted by putting the
22	tape over the top from the seal being broken.
23	Q. And then what did you do with the Exhibit?
24	A. The Exhibit was taken to my office by me, placed in
25	our safe for the purpose of packaging it, sending it to the FBI

П	1	Lab.
	2	Ω All right. Would you put the Exhibit back into the
П	3	envelope please.
	4	Would you look at Exhibits 12 and 13, please,
	5	Sheriff?
-	6	A. Exhibit 12?
	7	Q. Yes. Would you explain what that is?
	8	A. It's a glass container, printed on the outside by
ı	9	Mr. Mason in my presence, "right nostril, found in the inner ear
	10	left".
_	11	Q. And what does that refer to?
	12	A. The location as to where Dr. Scott removed the
1	13	bullet from.
	14	0. And the bullet is in the bottle?
Ī	15	3. The bullet is in the bottle.
+	16	And did'you get it there at the autopsy there that
	17	day?
T	18	ā. I did.
	19	Q. And what did you do with that; if anything?
T	20	A. I took it to my office and placed it in the office
_	21	safe for packaging for purposes of sending it to the Federal
	22	Bureau of Investigation for examination.
T	23	Q. Now, Sheriff, would you put that back in the
	24	envelope, please, and look at Exhibit 13 and see if you followed
T	25	the same procedure with that.
_		
	- 11	

2,324 LYNSKEY, D., Plf., Di. By Mr. Remaklus.

1	A. Yes, sir. This is a glass container containing a
2	bullet with a writing inscribed on the glass bottle by
3	Mr. Mason in my presence stating that it went in the right chin.
4	Q. And do you know which body that was removed from?
5	A. Yes, sir. From Mr. Bradford.
6	Q And did you take that exhibit to Cascade then?
7	A. I took this one to Cascade, placed it in the safe
8	for the purpose of transmitting to the FBI Laboratory for
9	examination.
10	Q Thank you. Would you replace it, please,
11	Sheriff.
12	I. (Witness complied.)
13	O. And you were not present when the second body was
14	actually autopsied; were you?
15	A. No, sir, I was not.
16	MR. REMAKLUS: I have no further questions.
17	MR. ROBINSON: No questions, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: You may step down.
19	MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Sheriff.
20	We would request a very short recess to prepare for
21	our next witness, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: We'll take a short recess, ladies and
23	gentlemen. If you will remember the admonition, don't discuss
24	the case and keep your minds open.
25	(Recess taken.)

1	THE COURT: Counsel ready to proceed?
2	MR. REMAKLUS: State is ready, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Prepared to call your next witness?
4	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Who is that going to be?
6	MR. REMAKLUS: Miss Spaulding, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: The record in this case reflects that at
8	that point this witness has, through her attorney, declined to
9	answer questions touching upon concerning the transaction
10	on trial here in a previous proceeding in this court.
11	Since that time I have had filed there has been
12	filed in the court proceeding a request by the Prosecuting
13	Attorney, pursuant to Section 19-1115 to order the witness to
14	answer questions concerning this proceeding.
15	Have you seen a copy of this request for Order to
16	Answer, Mr. Boyd?
17	MR. BOYD: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, have you seen one of these?
19	MR. ROBINSON: No, I haven't.
20	THE COURT: Do you have a copy?
21	MR. REMAKLUS: It's right there.
22	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor, I have made myself
23	acquainted with the provisions of this request.
24	THE COURT: All right, pursuant to this request and
25	pursuant to Section 19-1115 I would order the defendant to show

cause, if any she has, to order the witness -- I should say

Carol Spaulding to show cause, if any she has, why the questions

propounded to her touching on this matter should not be

answered, or the evidence produced.

In view of our prior proceedings do you need any additional time to respond to this Order to Show Cause, Mr. Boyd?

MR. BOYD: No, Your Honor, we don't have any cause to show so long as the examination is within the bounds of the order.

THE COURT: Any response you want to make, Mr. Robinson, at this time?

MR. ROBINSON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, pursuant to the Statute, then, I will find that there is nothing clearly contrary to public interest in requiring the witness to answer and, so, I will order, Miss Spaulding, order you to answer any questions that may be propounded so long as they do not tend to incriminate you in any other jurisdiction outside of Valley County; which is the county involved in this proceeding.

My order does not extend to anything that might incriminate her outside of that jurisdiction. I will advise you, in -- under that statute, Miss Spaulding, that if you comply, the statute provides that you shall not be prosecuted, or subjected to penalty or forfeiture for or on account of any

fact or act concerning which, in accordance with the order, you 1 are required to answer or produce evidence. But, you may 2 3 nevertheless be prosecuted, or subjected to penalty or forfeiture for any perjury, false swearing or contempt committed in 5 answering or failing to answer or in producing or failing to 6 produce evidence. Do you understand, then, the effect of this order? 7 8 MISS SPAULDING: Yes, Your Honor. MR. BOYD: I want to make sure I understand, Your Honor. 9 When you said "any fact or event arising out of", did I 10 11 understand you to say Valley County? 12 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BOYD: And your order, which in effect grants 13 immunity, does not extend to, say, Lewiston, Idaho or Boise, 14 15 Tdaho? THE COURT: That's right. 16 MR. BOYD: All right, I understand. 17 THE COURT: In other words, if any questions are 18 propounded that would tend to incriminate her in other 19 jurisdictions, including Nez Perce County or Ada County that I 20 would entertain an objection on your part and refusal to answer. 21 MR. BOYD: As far as any facts that -- or events that 22 might be asked in Valley County it wouldn't be necessary for me 23 to interpose advice to my client? 24

25

THE COURT: No, that's right. Of course, the right to

1		
	2	refuse to answer in other jurisdictions would only apply to the extent that the answers might tend to incriminate her.
	3	MR. BOYD: I understand that.
_	4	THE COURT: Ready to bring the jury in, then?
	5	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor.
	6	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
d	7	(Jurors re-entered the courtroom.)
-	8	THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.
	9	Call your next witness.
	10	MR. REMAKLUS: The State would call Carol Spaulding.
	11	
	12	CAROL MARIE SPAULDING,
	13	produced as a witness on behalf of the State, being first duly
	14	sworn, took the stand and testified as follows:
	15	
	16	MR. REMAKLUS: Before Miss Spaulding takes the witness
	17	stand, may I remove any Exhibits that may be in there?
	18	THE COURT: Yes.
	19	a)
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

2,329 COLLOQUY.

1	
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. REMAKLUS:
	Q. Will you state your name, please.
4	A. Carol Marie Spaulding.
5	Q. And what is your age, Miss Spaulding?
6	A. Eighteen.
7	Q. Miss Spaulding, I wish to direct your attention to
8	the 3rd day of November, 1974. Can you tell me whether or not
9	you were at Lewiston, Idaho on that date?
10	MR. BOYD: I'll advise my client not to answer that
11	question. Claim the privilege, Miss Spaulding, Refuse to
12	answer.
13	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
14	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: Fine.
15	Miss Spaulding, did you have occasion in the early
16	morning hours of November 4, 1974, to be near Donnelly in Valley
17	County, Idaho?
18	A. I cannot be sure of the date.
19	Q. Do you know where Cascade, Idaho is, now,
20	Miss Spaulding?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Now, on the day that I asked you about, would you
23	tell me whether or not you were at a place 15 or 16 miles north
24	of Cascade and near Donnelly, Idaho?
25	A. I can't be sure of the date, if it was the same date

1 0. But, you think you were in Valley County on the early morning hours of that day? 3 MR. ROBINSON: Objection. He's leading and suggestive, 4 Your Honor. The question is asked and answered. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 BY MR. REMAKLUS: When you were in Valley County, 7 Idaho, Miss Spaulding, please tell me whether or not you were 8 with anyone. 9 A. Thomas Creech. 10 And is that the Thomas Creech who is seated here O. 11 at counsel table in the brown shirt? 12 A. Yes. 13 MR. REMAKLUS: May the record show she indicated the 14 defendant, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Just for the record, so it will reflect that 16 you are referring to Mr. Creech, would you describe what 17 clothing he's wearing right now, Miss Spaulding. 18 THE WITNESS: He's wearing a brown shirt. 19 THE COURT: Yes, the record may show she's indicated the 20 defendant. 21 BY MR. REMAKLUS: When you were in Valley County, 22 Idaho on that occasion with Mr. Creech, was anyone else along? 23 Arnold and Bradford. Ā. 24 Q. Is that Tom Arnold and Wayne Bradford? 25 T believe so. A. HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

0940 Hollandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

2,331

SPAULDING, C., Plf., Di.

By Mr. Remaklus.

11.		
	1 2	Q. And were you and Mr. Creech traveling with Arnold and Bradford?
П	3	MR, BOYD: Refuse to answer.
	4	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
	5	MR, BOYD: Unless we can establish the place.
	6	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: Were you traveling with
	7	Tom Arnold and Wayne Bradford in Valley County, Idaho?
П	8	à. Yes.
	9	Q. How were you traveling there?
	10	ā. In a car.
	11	Q. Can you describe the car for me?
	12	A. No.
П	13	MR. REMAKLUS: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
	14	THE COURT: Yes.
П	15	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: Handing you what is in evidence
_	16	as State's Exhibit 28-A, I'd ask you to look at that
	17	photograph, Miss Spaulding.
	18	A. I believe that is the car.
	19	Q. You believe that to be the car?
П	20	Miss Spaulding, prior to getting into the vicinity
-	21	of Valley County, Idaho, did you stop before that at or near
	22	McCall, Idaho?
	23	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
	24	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
	25	MR. REMAKLUS: McCall in Valley County, Idaho.
7		

SPAULDING, C., Plf., Di. By Mr. Remaklus.

2,332

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10740 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

1			
	1 2		WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
1	24.50	MR.	BOYD: I don't think she understood the question.
	3	Ω.	BY MR. REMAKLUS: Did you have o-casion to stop in
4	4	McCall, Val	ley County, Idaho, before traveling on down to
	5	near Donnel	ly, Idaho?
-	6	Α,	Yes.
	7	Q.	Can you tell me where you stopped?
-	8	A,	At a motel by the lake.
	9	Q.	And who all was there at that time with you, if
	10	anyone?	
	11	A.	Thomas Creech, myself, Mr. Arnold and Mr. Bradford.
	12	Q.	And were you traveling in that car that we just
-00	13	took a look	at, the picture of?
	14	A.	Yes.
rio)	15	Q.	When you left McCall headed towards Donnelly, who
	16	was driving	that car?
	17	Л,	I believe Mr. Arnold.
_	18	Q.	Where were you sitting, Miss Spaulding?
	19	Ã.	Next to him.
-	20	Q.	Would that be in the front seat?
	21	Α.	Yes.
	22	Ω.	And where was the defendant, Thomas Creech, sitting?
_	23	23	Next to me by the door.
	24	Ω.	And where was Mr. Bradford?
-	25	A.	I believe he was laying in the back seat.
		***	
-			

2,333 SPAULDING, C., Plf., Di. By Mr. Remaklus.

1	Q. Now, Miss Spaulding, at that time do you know
2	whether all of the doors to that car would open?
3	A. The driver's door would not open.
4	Q. And would the door on the passenger side of the
5	front seat open?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Could you describe the interior, the condition of
8	the interior of the car about the time you left McCall, Idaho
9	going south?
10	A. Dirty.
11	Q. When you left McCall, Idaho going south, where did
12	you go to; do you know?
13	A. We drove.
14	Q. Did you drive south along the highway?
15	A. Towards Cascade.
16	Q. Did you have occasion to stop along the highway at
17	any time?
18	A. I believe we stopped and siphoned some gas.
19	Q. And I'm talking about now, when all four of you
20	were in this car that we looked at, the picture of.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And do you have any idea where you were when you
23	somebody siphoned the gas?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Was that before you got down by Donnelly, Idaho?
HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705	2,334 SPAULDING, C., Plf., Di. By Mr. Remaklus.

1	A. I believe so.
2	Q And then did you have occasion to stop the car
3	about a mile south of Donnelly, Idaho?
4	A. I don't know where, but we did.
5	Q. Did you was the car stopped that evening?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you have any idea of the time of night that was?
8	A. Close to midnight.
9	Q And at the time the car stopped, tell me where
10	everyone in the car was seated, please.
11	A. Mr. Bradford was lying in the back seat, Mr. Arnold
12	was sitting in the driver's seat, I was sitting next to him and
13	Mr. Creech was sitting next to me.
14	Q. So, the three of you; Arnold, you and the defendant,
15	were sitting in the front seat; is that correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Did you have any conversations just prior to
18	stopping with did you have any conversation with anyone in
19	the car at that time?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And can you tell me what was said?
22	A. I told Mr. Creech to trade places with me as
23	Mr. Arnold was putting his arms around me and reaching for my
24	breasts.
25	Q And, then, did he say anything, Miss Spaulding?

1	Mr. Creech?		
2	A. I don't remember.		
3	Q Did Mr. Arnold then stop the vehicle?		
4	A. Yes.		
5	Q And then what happened, Miss Spaulding?		
6	A. Tom got out of the car, then I got out and he		
7	shoved me away and shot at both the men.		
8	And who shot at the men?		
9	3. Mr. Creech.		
10	0. And who did he shoot first?		
11	A. I believe the man that was driving.		
12	0. And then were you watching at this time?		
13	A. I could see what was happening.		
14	Q Would you describe, please, for us.		
15	. He shot at them and they bled, they were dead.		
16	Q They what happened, Miss Spaulding?		
17	A. Then he removed the bodies and laid them in a ditch.		
18	Q. And then, please continue.		
19	A. Then he came back to the car and told me to get in		
20	and we drove on.		
21	Q. Did you see Thomas Creech with the gun that night		
22	at that time that you've just described?		
23	A. At the time he shot them?		
24	o. Yes.		
25	A. Yes.		

1	Did you see the gun in his hand?
2	i. Yes.
3	0 Miss Spaulding, I'm going to hand you what's been
4	marked and admitted into evidence as State's Exhibit 22. Now,
5	you don't have to hold it if you don't want to.
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. Can you tell me whether or not that resembles the
8	gun he held that night?
9	. It resembles.
10	0. Did it look like this gun?
11	I. Yes, I believe so.
12	0. Miss Spaulding, I think you said that the
13	defendant told you to get in the car and then you left the
14	scene, is that right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Do you remember where you went then?
17	A. We stopped in Cascade.
18	And who was driving as you left the scene?
19	L. Tom Creech.
20	0. Um-hmm. And what, if anything, did you do in
21	Cascade?
22	He tried to hot wire a car.
23	O. Was that successful?
24	ž. No.
25	O. Do you have any idea how long you were in Cascade?

	The state of the s
1	i. Possibly a half hour.
2	Q. Was it still nighttime?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And, then, after the unsuccessful attempt to hot
5	wire the car, what, if anything, did you and Tom Creech do?
6	A. We went back in the car that we was driving in and
7	drove down the road.
8	Q. And then what happened next, if anything?
9	MR. BOYD: Still in Valley County, Counselor?
10	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, we are.
11	THE WITNESS: He pulled into a place so he could rest.
12	He was tired and wanted to sleep. It was behind a building,
13	but he pulled out and went down a little farther and stopped.
14	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: And did you stay there for awhile?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And then what happened, if anything, Miss Spaulding?
17	A. We drove a little further until the car ran out of
18	gas, then parked it beside the road and got out and hitchhiked.
19	Q. Was it daylight by this time?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Showing you handing you what again, what's
22	been marked as State's Exhibit 28-A, would you look at the
23	background in that picture?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you recognize that at all?

1

MR. BOYD: Yes, sir.

2

THE COURT: All right.

3

We'll take this up out of your presence, ladies and gentlemen. If you will remember the admonition, don't

**4 5** 

discuss the case and keep your minds open.

6

(Jury left the courtroom.)

7

MR. BOYD: The way I understood the Court's ruling is, you feel that you can only -- you only can grant immunity on

8

anything that would tend to incriminate Miss Spaulding in

9

Valley County, Idaho and if I understood that part correctly,

11

then, the mere fact that she was in Boise could tend to

12

THE COURT: In what way?

13 14

MR. BOYD: Because from talking with my client I'm

15

aware of some facts and some things that happened in Ada

16 17 County where some crimes were committed; where it could be alleged she was a party to them. She could be charged with

18

crimes -- or in Ada County, City of Boise. The mere fact --

19

THE COURT: And you feel that the fact that she was present there at a given time might be a link in establishing

2021

those crimes?

incriminate her.

22

MR. BOYD: Absolutely.

23

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Remaklus?

24

MR. REMAKLUS: Well, Your Honor, Mr. Boyd was only -- it

25

was my intention to trace their route of travel from where the

1 shooting occurred down to where the arrest occurred and the 2 events that happened in connection with the arrest. 3 I have no desire to ask her -- no intention of. 4 asking her anything about what happened in Boise, Idaho. 5 MR. BOYD: Of course, I understand that. I'm still 6 going to advise her not to answer. 7 THE COURT: You feel she would incriminate herself. 8 Mr. Boyd, if she placed herself in Elmore County? Do you have 9 any knowledge of any alleged criminal offenses in Elmore 10 County? 11 MR. BOYD: Other than it's my understanding of the 12 facts that if permitted to testify, from what I've heard so far, 13 without her being on the witness stand, that there will be an 14 effort made to connect the revolver that's in evidence with 15 Miss Spaulding. 16 I think that could tend to incriminate her; the 17 fact if she had a revolver in her possession. 18 THE COURT: Is that the only criminal circumstance in 19 Elmore County you are aware of? 20 MR. BOYD: That's what I have in mind, yes. 21 THE COURT: Well, this is the language in the Statute 22 that I'm referring to about any other jurisdictions, once the 23 order to show cause is had as to why the witness shouldn't 24 answer. 25 The Statute provides: "The Court shall order the

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 question answered, or the evidence produced until it finds that to do so would be clearly contrary to the public interest or could subject the witness to a criminal prosecution in another jurisdiction."

Now, the only way I can relate that phrase and "other jurisdiction" to be to the jurisdiction in which this offense occurred, would be Valley County; which, to me, would exclude Ada County or any other county; other than Valley County.

In other words, I can't order her to answer questions that would possibly subject her to criminal prosecution in another jurisdiction and I can't relate that word "jurisdiction" to anyplace other than the place where this particular offense occurred.

MR. REMAKLUS: Of course, we have absolutely no knowledge of any criminal activity in Elmore County and --

THE COURT: All right, I won't allow her to refuse to answer as far as her presence in Elmore County. I will in Ada County, but not in Elmore County; if your questioning does not tie her, in any way, with the gun in Elmore County.

MR. REMAKLUS: That's the only purpose of it, not -is to show how she came into possession of the gun. There's
no criminal activity as far as I know in connection with
Miss Spaulding.

THE COURT: There may be, I guess, that's what Mr. Boyd

1 is concerned about. 2 MR. BOYD: Yes, that's my concern. 3 THE COURT: I'm not convinced there couldn't be 4 criminal activity in her possession of the gun. 5 MR, REMAKLUS: I guess I am, Your Honor, that's why I 6 think she should be ordered to answer. 7 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to order her to answer 8 if that's your purpose. 9 MR. REMAKLUS: Well, yes, that is my only purpose. 10 THE COURT: All right, I'm going to grant the privilege, 11 then. 12 MR. REMAKLUS: Fine, thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Bring the jury back. 14 (Jury re-entered the courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present. 16 You may continue questioning, if you'll limit 17 yourself to Valley County, or matters in Elmore County that 18 don't involve any possible incrimination. 19 MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 21 22 23 24 25

_			
	1		DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
	2	BY MR. REMAI	KLUS:
	3	Q.	Miss Spaulding, after you and Mr. Creech
	4	hitchhiked a	away from where you left the car up in Valley
	5	County, did	you eventually wind up at Glenns Ferry, in
	6	Elmore Coun	ty, Idaho?
	7	Α.	Yes, sir.
-	8	Q.	And how did you get to Glenns Ferry, Idaho?
	9	A.	We hitchhiked.
	10	Q.	And when you say "we" who are you referring to?
	11	A.	Myself and Mr. Creech.
	12	Q.	And when you entered had you hitchhiked a ride
-	13	and gotten	to Glenns Ferry? Who were you with?
	14	ħ.	A man. I don't know his name.
	15	Q.	Is the name Steven Paul Rivers familiar to you?
	16	<b>A</b> Zas	I believe so, but I don't know if that was the
	17	same man.	*
	18	Q.	Could it have been him?
	19	A.	Yes.
-	20	Q.	Could you describe the man who you were riding with
	21	when you wer	nt into Glenns Ferry?
	22	il.	No.
_	23	$\Omega$ -	What if anything did you and the driver of the car
	24	do when you	stopped at Glenns Ferry, Idaho?
	25	A.	We went in to have a cup of coffee at a restaurant.
-			

1	O. And this was you and the driver of the car?
2	A Yes.
3	Q. Was there anyone in the restaurant?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Did you see anyone in there besides who was
6	working there?
7	A. There was a police officer there.
8	Q And did you have coffee there?
9	J. Yes.
10	0 Did you take it along with you?
11	A. I believe we got a cup of coffee to go, to give to
12	Tom Creech.
13	Q. And where was Mr. Creech when you and the driver
14	of the car were in the cafe?
15	A. He was sitting in the car.
16	Q. Could you describe the car for me, please.
17	A. No, it had a tape deck in it.
18	Q. And you remember anything about the color of the
19	car?
20	A No, it was dark when we met this man.
21	Q. Where did this man pick you up?
22	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
23	THE WITNESS: Refuse to answer.
24	Q BY MR. REMAKLUS: Do you remember was it dark
25	when you were picked up?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What was the weather like?
3	A. I believe it was cool.
4	Q. And did you get back in the car, then? You and
5	the driver get back into the car after you had left the cafe
6	in Glenns Ferry?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And please describe where everyone was sitting,
9	would you?
10	A. The driver was sitting, driving. I was sitting
11	next to him, Tom was sitting aside of me.
12	Q. And, then, what, if anything, happened?
13	A. We drove for awhile, we were going to stop and
14	rest. We drove for awhile and we got pulled over.
15	0. And describe what you mean by being "pulled over".
16	The police drove up behind us.
17	Q. And how did you know it was the police?
18	A. They had lights on their car.
19	0. Were they colored lights?
20	L. Yeah.
21	Q. And then what happened?
22	A. They pulled us over, told us to get out of the car.
23	Q. Did you?
24	A. Yes. They had guns.
25	<ol> <li>And just go ahead and explain what happened, please,</li> </ol>

as you recall.
A. They took us into a little police station in
Glenns Ferry. They questioned us, they took us to
Mountain Home.
Q. How did you get to Mountain Home, Miss Spaulding?
A. I believe some officers took us.
Q. And who did you ride with?
A. I don't know.
Q. Were you with Tom Creech from Glenns Ferry to
Mountain Home?
A. Yeah, yes.
Q. And where were you you traveled by car; did you
not?
A. Yes.
Q. And where were you and Mr. Creech seated in the
car?
A. In the back seat, I believe.
Q. Was anyone else in the back seat with you?
A. I don't know.
Q. And did you and Tom Creech talk together on the
way from Glenns Ferry to Mountain Home?
A. I believe so.
Q. And would you tell me what you said and what he
said?
A. I don't remember.

1	Q. You don't remember any of it?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Miss Spaulding, returning to the scene of the
4	shooting, did you have any knowledge that Tom Creech was going
5	to shoot those two men?
6	MR. ROBINSON: Object, leading and suggestive,
7	Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Overruled.
9	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: Did you know that Tom was going
10	to shoot those two men before he did it?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Had either Tom Arnold or Wayne Bradford attacked
13	you sexually or physically?
14	A. The man that was driving had his one of his
15	arms around me and was reaching at my breasts and
16	Q. And was there anything did either one of them
17	try to rape you?
18	A. No, I don't that, I suppose, someone could call
19	that rape, attempted rape.
20	MR. ROBINSON: Sorry, I can't hear the witness.
21	THE COURT: She said she supposed someone could call
22	that attempted rape.
23	Speak up just a little when you answer.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: At that time, Miss Spaulding,

-		
	1	how would you describe or could you describe the actions of
	2	the driver and Mr. Bradford for us?
-	3	A. At what time?
	4	Q. Oh, just before the shooting, from McCall down to
-	5	where the shooting occurred.
	6	A. They were guite drunk.
	7	Q. Were you afraid of either one of them?
	8	A. I don't think so.
	9	Q Miss Spaulding, handing you what's in evidence as
-	10	State's Exhibit 24, would you look at that, please.
	11	A. Yes.
-	12	
	13	Q. Is that your coat?
	14	h Yeah.
_		Q You recognize it?
	15	A. Yeah.
_	16	Q. Is that the coat that you were wearing on the day
	17	of the shooting or on the night of the shooting?
-	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. Handing you what's got a mark in her Q-31 that's
-	20	for identification only; do you recognize this jacket,
	21	Miss Spaulding?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. Do you know whose it is?
	24	A. I believe Tom's. Tom was wearing it.
	25	Q Was he wearing it on the day the shootings occurred?
·		

	1	A. I believe so.
	2	Q. It was cold weather on that day; wan't it?
	3	A. I think.
	4	0. Handing you what's been admitted into evidence as
	5	State's Exhibit 25, Miss Spaulding, is that your handbag?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. Did you have that handbag in your possession at
	8	the time the shootings occurred?
	9	A. Yes.
	10	Q. And did you have that handbag in your possession
	11	at Glenns Ferry, Idaho, when the officers arrested you?
	12	A. Yes.
-	13	Q. When you were with can you think back and tell
	14	me what other clothing that Tom Creech had on the night of the
	15	shooting?
	16	A. A pair of black pants with seams in the front.
	17	Q Miss Spaulding, returning again
-	18	THE COURT: Just a minute, Mr. Remaklus. The witness
	19	would like a drink.
	20	(Brief delay.)
	21	Q. BY MR. REMAKLUS: Miss Spaulding, at any time
	22	before you got to before you got to Glenns Ferry, Idaho,
	23	did you have that gun in your purse?
	24	M. I believe so.
	25	Q. And how did it get there?

1 A. Mr. Creech put it there.		
2 0 I can't hear.		
Mr. Creech put it there.		
	the scene of the shooting,	
	can you tell me whether or not anyone had a pocketknife at that	
6 time?		
7 A. Yes.		
Q And who was that?	equip 2	
9 A. Mr. Bradford and Tom had	one.	
0. And where did you did	you see either one of	
11 these knives at the moment of the sho	these knives at the moment of the shooting?	
12 A. I don't think so.		
Q. And did you see anyone pu	it a knife to Tom Creech's	
14 throat?	throat?	
A. I don't believe so.	A. I don't believe so.	
Q And were both men still i	in the automobile when	
17 Tom Creech shot them?	*	
_ 18 A. Yes.		
19 0. And where was Tom Creech	at that time?	
- 20 At the door of the car, j	just outside.	
21 ? Just outside?		
22 Just a step outside the c	car.	
23 0 Thank you. Miss Spauldin	ng, handing you what's	
24 been admitted into evidence as State'	been admitted into evidence as State's Exhibit 1-A, I will	
— 25 ask you if you recognize that person?	ask you if you recognize that person?	

2,351 SPAULDING, C., Plf., Di. By Mr. Remaklus.

1 A. No. 2 Miss Spaulding, handing you what's been marked 0. 3 and admitted into evidence as State's Exhibit 1-G, I will ask 4 you if you recognize that person? 5 (No audible response.) 6 MR. ROBINSON: I'm sorry, I don't know of either one, 7 what her response was. 8 THE COURT: She said "No" on the first one and didn't 9 answer on the second. 10 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, sir. 11 THE COURT: We will take a ten-minute recess at this 12 time if you'll remember the admonition, don't discuss the 13 case and keep your minds open. 14 (Recess taken.) 15 (Jurors re-entered the courtroom.) 16 THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present. 17 BY MR. REMAKLUS: Miss Spaulding, at the moment 18 the sots were fired, where were you standing? 19 I don't know. 3. 20 Were you outside of the car? 21 A. Yes. 22 MR. REMAKLUS: You may examine, Mr. Robinson. 23 24 25

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

2,352

SPAULDING, C., Plf., Di.

By Mr. Remaklus.

	1	CROSS EXAMINATION	
	2	BY MR. ROBINSON:	
-	3	Q. Miss Spaulding, where were you born? Month, day	
	4	and year.	
-	5	A. December 20, 1956.	
	6	Q. And where were you born?	
	7	A. Los Angeles, California.	
-	8	Q. How long did you stay, after that, in the	
	9	Los Angeles, California area; if you know?	
-	10	A. Approximately ten years.	
	11	Q. Did you attend school in Los Angeles?	
	12	A. Yes.	
-	13	Q. What grades?	
	14	A. One through five.	
-	15	Q. Where?	
	16	A. Various schools. I don't know.	
	17	Q. Can't remember the names of any of the grade	
-	18	schools?	
	19	A. No.	
	20	Q. After leaving Los Angeles where do you remember	
	21	going, or moving to?	
	22	A. Seattle, Washington.	
	23	Q. And when was that?	
	24	A. Approximately when I was about ten years old.	
	25	Q. Can you talk up a little louder, please.	

2,354 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

1	she's appro	eximately 29 at the time.
2	Q.	Twenty what?
3	Α.	Twenty-nine at the present. I have a younger
4	sister, Kat	thleen Spaulding, she's 15 at the time.
5	Ũ	She's 15 now, or 16?
6		Fifteen.
7	Q.	And is that her nickname, "Kathy"?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	All right.
10	$A_*$	I have a brother whose name is Glen Spaulding,
11	he's 11.	
12	Q.	All right. Any other brothers and sisters besides
13	those you r	named?
14	<b>A</b> .	No. I have foster sisters and brothers.
15	Q.	What do you mean, "foster sisters and brothers"?
16	ā	Where I was in a foster home with.
17	O.	How many foster homes have you been in?
18	<u>3.</u>	I don't know. Quite a few.
19	Q.	Give us yourbest count as you remember.
20	al.	Five.
21	Q.	At the time of your mother and father's separation,
22	what was yo	our father's occupation?
23	ã.	I believe he was repossessing cars.
24	Ō,	Where?
25	ä <b>.</b>	In and around Los Angeles.

	#		
1	Q Did your father follow any particular trade?		
2	A. He's with the carnival at the time.		
3	Q. He's now with the carnival?		
4	A. Yeah, he owns quite a bit of the carnival.		
5	Q. How long has he been with a carnival?		
6	A. I'm not sure.		
7	Q. Was he with the carnival in August and September		
8	of 1974?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	MR. REMAKLUS: This is beyond the scope of direct		
11	examination, Your Honor. I object.		
12	THE COURT: Yes, I think you have a right to go into		
13	the certain things as to memory, but I'm going to sustain the		
14	objection as beyond the scope of direct examination at this		
15	point.		
16	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: When did you first meet		
17	Thomas Eugene Creech?		
18	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.		
19	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.		
20	THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to allow the privilege.		
21	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, Your Honor.		
22	THE COURT: Yes.		
23	MR. ROBINSON: Then, Your Honor, I promise the Court		
24	that I'm not flying into the face of the Court's rulings, I		
25	believe that I must ask these questions and have the objection		

1	made to them specifically, each time.
2	THE COURT: Go ahead.
3	MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir.
4	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Where did you meet
5	Thomas Eugene Creech?
6	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
7	MR. REMAKLUS: I would object on the grounds it's
8	outside the scope of the direct examination, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection and I'll let her
10	exercise the privilege.
11	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: On November 4, 1974, were you a
12	drug user?
13	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
14	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
15	MR. REMAKLUS: Same objection. May I have a continuing
16	objection, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Yes, and I'll sustain the objection,
18	beyond the scope of direct examination and, also allow the
19	privilege.
- 20	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Do you drink intoxicating
21	beverages?
22	. Very frequently.
23	Q. Very frequently?
24	Not very often.
25	0. Not very often?

2,357 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

7		
-	1	On both November the 3rd, 1974 and the early
	2	morning hours of November 4, 1974, were you drinking intoxicating
7	3	beverages?
	4	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer unless it's established
Ī	5	where.
	6	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
	7	THE COURT: Yes, I'll allow the privilege unless it's
	8	established where this was.
	9	MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir.
	10	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Were you under the influence of
1	11	intoxicating beverages in Valley County, at Donnelly, Idaho
	12	on November the 4th, 1974?
7	13	A. No.
	14	Q. Were you under the influence of drugs in the early
	15	morning hours of November 4th, 1974 at Bonnelly, Idaho, in
	16	Valley County?
	17	A. No.
	18	Q. Did you use drugs extensively prior to your
	19	meeting Thomas Eugene Creech?
	20	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
	21	MR. REMAKLUS: I'll
	22	THE COURT: State your objection.
	23	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, this is beyond the scope of direct
	24	examination, Your Honor.
-	25	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection and allow the
_		

2,358 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

	1	privilege.
	2	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Were you the one that actually
1	3	stole the gun from Mr. Schreiber's bed in Lewiston?
	4	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
1	5	MR. REMAKLUS: I'll
1	6	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
Į	7	MR. REMAKLUS: And my same objection; beyond the scope
7	8	of
	9	THE COURT: I'll allow the privilege and sustain the
	10	objection.
	11	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Was Danny and Kathy, both, with
1	12	you with Arnold and Bradford in Valley County at Donnelly,
1	13	Idaho?
	14	3 No, sir.
Ī	15	Pardon?
	16	À. No.
Ī	17	Q. Did you search the trunk of that 1956 Buick for
_	18	a pound of "Smack" or heroin?
	19	A. No.
T	20	MR. REMAKLUS: Object on the ground it's beyond the
	21	scope of the direct examination, Your Honor.
Ī	22	THE COURT: The answer may stand. She's already said
	23	"no". The objection is overruled.
	24	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Was the trunk of that vehicle
	25	searched by anyone to locate a pound of "Smack" or heroin?

heroin?  No, I didn't.  What was the purpose of the stop at the motel  McCall, Idaho; which is also located in Valley County, Sta	
3 Q. What was the purpose of the stop at the motel 4 McCall, Idaho; which is also located in Valley County, Sta	
4 McCall, Idaho; which is also located in Valley County, Sta	
- Indutal Education will be desired and value of obtaining the	te
of Idaho?	
A. To get out, stretch, wash up and go to the	
7 restroom.	
Q Will you talk up, please, Carol. I can hardly	hear
9 you.	
10 A. To get out of the car, get some fresh air, go	to
the bathroom, wash up if needed.	
Q. What time of the day did you stop in McCall?	
13 A. I don't know.	
14 Q Was it during the daylight hours?	
15 %. Wo.	
16 Was it before midnight?	
17 a. I don't know.	
0 Did you rent a motel unit?	
19 R. No.	
20 Q Diđ you wash up?	
21 I believe so.	
22 0. Where?	
23 In the restroom at the motel.	
24 Was there also a service station next to the	Í
25 motel?	

2,361 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

1	A.	I didn't notice. I don't know.
2	Q.	Well, do they have a public restroom available at
3	the motel?	
4	Ъ.	Inside of the motel.
5	Q.	Did that happen to be the Shore Lodge?
6	Α.	I can't be sure of that.
7	Q.	Was it located right on the lake?
8	A.	I believe so.
9	Q.	How long were you there?
10	A,	Maybe ten minutes at the most.
11	Q.	Did all of you that were occupying the car go
12	into that m	otel?
13	A.	I don't know.
14	Q.	Well, did anyone else get out of the car besides
15	you and go	into the motel?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Ω	Who?
18	A.	Tom Creech, the other man named Tom. I'm not
19	sure about	the other one, the man called Bradford.
20	MR.	ROBINSON: Your voice is barely audible. Would
21	you keep it	up so that this very last lady here (indicating)
22	on the jury	can hear everything you say, please.
23	THE	WITNESS: Yes.
24	MR.	ROBINSON: All right.
25	THE	WITNESS: I believe Mr. Creech, myself and

1	Mr. Arnold went into the motel. I'm I can't be sure of
2	Mr. Bradford.
3	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: At that point in time did any
4	of the four of you have any money?
5	A, I believe so.
6	Q Who had money and how much?
7	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object on the grounds it's
8	outside the scope of the direct examination, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	THE WITNESS: I believe there was Mr. Arnold had
11	sold his coat at a bar so they could get some beer. I don't
12	know if he spent all the money or not.
13	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: How much did he sell the coat
14	for?
15	A I don't know.
16	O Do you remember what town the bar was in?
17	ž, No.
18	All right. Who else had any money and how much?
19	I believe Tom had some money. There was a
20	battery charger stolen and sold. I don't know how much.
21	Q. Where was the battery charger stolen and where
22	was it sold?
23	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
24	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
- 25	THE COURT: Yes, I'll allow the privilege.

1	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: How much did Tom have on him at
2	that point when you stopped at the motel?
3	A. I do not know.
4	Q How much money did you have?
5	A. None.
6	Q. And do you know whether or not Mr. Bradford
7	had any?
8	A. No.
9	Q Did all four of you smoke a joint, or marijuana,
10	there at that motel?
11	MR. REMAKLUS: I'd object on the grounds that's
12	outside of the scope of the direct examination.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	THE WITNESS: No, we didn't.
15	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Did any one of the four of you
16	smoke marijuana or pot at that motel?
17	A. No, not to my knowledge.
18	Q. Do you remember your stop in Grangeville, Idaho?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you remember being in a Texaco station there
21	on two different occasions, about a half hour apart from each
22	other the evening before Arnold and Bradford were killed?
23	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
24	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
25	THE COURT: Yes, I'll allow the privilege.
1 Annual Control of the Control of t	

1 BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, other testimony 2 by another witness in Grangeville identified a girl with blonde 3 straight hair in a maxi coat with a hood on it in the company 4 of two men at a Texaco station in Grangeville. 5 He attempted to describe the two men -- or this 6 witness that testified here attempted to describe the two men. 7 Who were those two men with you at that time in Grangeville 8 at that Texaco station? 9 MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer. 10 THE COURT: I'm wondering, Mr. Boyd, this isn't in 11 Nez Perce County, as I understand this is Idaho County; is 12 that correct? 13 MR. ROBINSON: That's correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Is there a problem? This isn't in the same 15 county as Lewiston; this is Idaho County. 16 MR. BOYD: I've got a problem with that. 17 THE COURT: Have you? 18 MR. BOYD: Yes, sir. I can represent to the Court --THE COURT: All right, I'll allow the privilege, then. 19 20 BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, were you carrying State's Exhibit No. 22 and 23, the gun and holster, 21 22 while and when you were in Grangeville, Idaho? 23 A. No. 24 Were you carrying that gun and holster when you 25 were in McCall, Idaho?

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 2,365 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	When was the first time that that gun was in your
3	possession?	The same same same same gui nus 111 your
4	A.	I believe in Boise
5	Ω.	All right.
6	ъ. А.	Idaho.
7	0.	Was that before or after Arnold and Bradford were
8	killed?	was that before of after Arnold and Bradford were
9		The same of the same
10	Α.	It was after.
9.	Q.	I believe when you testified the other day you
11		our present residence is Carson City, Nevada at the
12	Nevada State	Penitentiary; is that correct?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Ö.	And how long have you been living there?
15	Š.	Since May.
16	Ο.	May of this year, 1975?
17	ke,	Yes.
18	Ď.	And have you been in custody and held in jail from
19	that day the	at you were picked up with Tom, November the 8th,
20	1974 until	the present time?
21	$\mathbb{A}_{i}$	Yes, in prison.
22	Q.	Beg your pardon?
23	Ă,	In prison and jail and juvenile detention.
24	<i>Q</i>	At the Idaho State Penitentiary?
- 25	ð.	Yeah, and I was in Juvenile Detention, Ada County

1 Juvenile Facilities. 2 All right. And it's my understanding that you have 3 pled guilty to a charge of Accessory and Harboring a Fugitive? A. Yes. 5 0. And that's the reason that you are presently in 6 custody? 7 ā. Yes. Aside from this charge, have you ever before been convicted of a felony? 10 74 No. 11 Have you ever before been picked up and held in 12 detention ---13 MR. REMAKLUS: I'll object --14 MR. ROBINSON: -- by law enforcement officials? 15 MR. REMAKLUS: That's outside the scope of the direct 16 examination. 17 THE COURT: Objection sustained. BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, have you in the 18 19 past and, specifically since you were 11 years old, been 20 accused of and proven to have lied on many occasions? 21 MR. REMAKLUS: I'll object as being beyond the scope of direct examination, too general, calls for a conclusion. 22 23 Improper cross-examination. 24 THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the objection. 25 BY MR. ROBINSON: When was the first time that

Τ.		
	1 2 3 4 5 6	either Arnold or Bradford made sexual advances towards you in that vehicle?  A. After we left the lodge in McCall. After we left McCall.  Q. And that was the first time?  A. Yes.
	7	Q. Nothing of that nature occurred in Grangeville?
_	8	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
	9	THE COURT: Were you going to refuse?
-	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	11	THE COURT: I'll allow the privilege.
Ī	12	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: And what happened specifically
-	13	after you left the lodge in regard to either Arnold or Bradford
	14	making advances towards you?
T	15	A. Mr. Arnold, the one that was driving, put his arm
	16	around me and reached at my breasts.
T	17	Q. How long after you left the lodge?
_	18	A. When we got back to the road, to the freeway. I
	19	don't know how long.
Т	20	0. Before you left McCall?
	21	No, it was after we were out of McCall.
T	22	And what did he say to you in that regard?
_	23	Anything?
	24	I don't remember.
T	25	Did he make any comment or proposition, sexually,
1		

2,368 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

T		
	1	towards you at that time?
	2	A. I don't remember.
Ţ .	3	Q. During the time that the four of you, then, were in
	4	this vehicle, from the time you left the lodge until both men
	5	were killed, did Mr. Bradford, John Wayne Bradford in the
-	6	back seat, make any of these advances at you?
	7	A. I don't think so.
	8	Q. Did he make any comment?
	9	A. I believe he just sort of laughed.
	10	Q Laughed at what?
	11	A. I don't know.
	12	Q. Did you tell Tom Arnold to quit it?
_	13	A. I moved away from him.
	14	Q. And then what happened?
	15	A. He didn't quit, so I asked him to pull over.
	16	Q Did you ask him to pull over so that you could go
	17	out and go to the bathroom?
_	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. And was that the reason that the vehicle was
T	20	stopped at that location south of Donnelly?
	21	A. Yes, that I I had expected to get back in
T	22	with Tom getting in first and me getting in where I wouldn't be
_	23	sitting next to Mr. Arnold.
	24	Q. What was the conversation as you can remember it;
	25	between yourself, Tom Arnold, Tom Creech and Wayne Bradford?

1				
	1	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer unless we know the time.		
	2	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Immediately prior to stopping the		
7	3	vehicle south of Donnelly, Idaho.		
	4	A. I don't believe there was any.		
Ī	5	Q. How did Tom Creech become aware that Tom Arnold was		
-	6	making these sexual advances towards you?		
	7	A. I imagine he saw him.		
7	8	Q. Did you say anything about it?		
	9	A. I told him, I asked him I let him know that I		
Ī	10	wanted him to get in before me, trade places with me.		
_	11	Q Did you tell him for what reason?		
	12	A. No, I don't believe so.		
1	13	Q. Were you pregnant at that time?		
	14	MR. REMAKLUS: Object, that's outside the scope of the		
	15	direct examination, Your Honor. No bearing on any of the issues		
-	16	in this case.		
	17	THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection.		
_	18	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Had you told Tom Creech that you		
	19	were pregnant at that time?		
T	20	MR. REMAKLUS: The same objection, Your Honor.		
	21	THE COURT: Overruled.		
Ī	22	THE WITNESS: I believe I didn't know I was pregnant,		
Т	23.	but I think Tom knew I was.		
	24	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Pardon?		
	25	A. I didn't know I was pregnant but I think Mr. Creech		

	1	knew I was because he told my mother I was pregnant.
	2	Q. That was before you left Lewiston?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. So, Tom knew before you did?
	5	(Brief delay.)
	6	THE COURT: I'm going if there's any more
	7	disturbance back in the courtroom I'm going to clear the courtroom
	8	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Is that what you are saying,
	9	Miss Spaulding?
	10	A. I don't know how Tom could have known because I
	11	didn't. I found out I was pregnant after I got arrested.
	12	Q Now, a child was actually born to you June the 30th,
1	13	1975, this year; is that correct?
	14	A. Yes.
	15	Q. Is it your testimony that Tom, after your getting
	16	out, just turned and shot both men without your saying anything
	17	to him at all about the sexual advances being made towards you
-	18	by Tom Arnold?
	19	A. Yes. I don't remember saying anything, but he
	20	noticed.
	21	Q. All right. Now, at any time prior to this vehicle
	22	carrying the four of you arrived in Donnelly, Idaho, do you
	23	recall an incident occurring where Wayne Bradford had a knife at
	24	Tom's throat?
	25	A. No.
-		

1 Your testimony is that that never happened? 2 MR. REMAKLUS: I think it's repetitious, now. She 3 answered the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 5 THE WITNESS: I didn't see it. 6 BY MR. ROBINSON: All right. Now, do you remember 7 after you were picked up and arrested with Tom Creech, making 8 the statement to the investigating officers --9 A. Yes. 10 -- that Tom Creech had a knife --11 MR. REMAKLUS: Objection --12 MR. ROBINSON: -- at his throat prior? 13 MR. REMAKLUS: Would you not answer until I have a 14 chance to make my objection and, then, I will not be 15 interrupting Mr. Robinson. MR. ROBINSON: May I finish my question, then, Your Honor? 16 17 THE COURT: Well, let's keep it orderly. Let 18 Mr. Robinson finish his question, then you make your objection and just don't answer until I rule on it, okay? 19 MR. BOYD: Will one of these gentlemen tell me if she 20 was under oath at the time, for my information? 21 MR. ROBINSON: I don't know whether she was sworn or 22 23 not, Your Honor. 24 MR. REMAKLUS: Not so far as we know. THE COURT: Perhaps you could identify the officer for 25

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 2,372 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

1 Mr. Boyd's information in your question. 2 MR. ROBINSON: All right. 3 THE COURT: In fact, I think if you are laying a foundation that I think you are, I think you need to be more 5 specific in laying a foundation, Mr. Robinson, as to who was 6 present and who she was supposed to have made the statement to. MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir. 8 MR. REMAKLUS: Give her a chance to admit or deny that 9 she made the same. 10 THE COURT: I am assuming you are going into prior 11 inconsistent statements, or purported prior inconsistent 12 statements? 13 MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right, you'll have to lay the foundation 15 that's required under the rule and Statute. 16 BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, do you recall 17 being arrested and taken into custody at Glenns Ferry, Idaho 18 the early AM hours of the 8th day of November, 1974? 19 A. Yes. 20 And do you remember Officer Hill, the young 21 officer that was in the restaurant that later was also in 22 Mountain Home? 23 No, I know who you are talking about but I don't 24 know who he was. 25 And do you remember Officer Freeman of the Elmore O.

1	County Sheriff's Office?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Do you remember Officer Wess Woodall from the
4	Department of Law Enforcement Investigative Staff?
5	MR. REMAKLUS: I'll object on the grounds it's far beyond
6	the scope of the direct examination, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: I believe so.
9	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Do you recall these men asking
10	you in a question and answer session up in the Commissioners'
11	Room upstairs in the Elmore County Courthouse at approximately
12	10:00 to 11:00 a.m. on the 8th day of November
13	A. I remember being questioned but not the day.
14	Q. Do you remember being questioned at that place in
15	Elmore County?
16	A. In Mountain Home?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. Yes.
19	O Do you remember what you told the officers at that
20	time?
21	MR. BOYD: Would you please ask if she was under oath
22	at this time, for my information.
23	MR. ROBINSON: I'm intending to get that, Mr. Boyd.
24	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Do you recall the statements
25	that you made?

1	A. No.
2	Q. At any time during that session were you given your
3	Miranda Warnings by the law officers?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q Do you know what I'm referring to as the "Miranda
6	Warnings"?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Were you ever advised that you didn't need to talk
9	at all, if you started to talk you could stop at any time, if
10	you wanted an attorney one would be appointed for you in the
11	event you couldn't afford one.
12	A. I don't remember.
13	Q You don't remember any of that?
14	A. I don't remember them telling me that at that
15	time.
16	Q. Do you remember signing any documents that had all
17	of that writing on it; that you read and initialed?
18	A. At the time when I was in the Ada County Juvenile
19	Detention I do.
20	Q. You don't remember any of that before the Ada
21	County Jevenile Detention?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Do you remember whether or not you told those
24	officers at that time, at that place, Elmore County,
25	Mountain Home, Idaho, on the 8th day of November, 1974 between

1 the hours of 10:00 and 11:00 that John Wayne Bradford had a knife at Tom's throat for some time prior to the shootings 3 taking place? MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer. I still don't know if she's under oath or not, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to allow the privilege unless 7 you establish that she wasn't under oath at that time. 8 BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, during that time 9 did anyone ask you to raise your right hand and give you an oath 10 that you were going to tell the truth, the whole truth and 11 nothing but the truth, so help you God? 12 No, not at the time of the arrest. 13 You were not, then, under oath? 0. 14 7 Yes. 15 Is that correct? 0. 16 Yes. Ä. 17 You were never, then, placed under oath? i). I was when I went to my own court appearance and 18 2. 19 now. 20 Those are the only two times that you can remember Q. 21 being placed under oath? 22 I believe so. A. All right. And did you at that time and that 23 24 place in Mountain Home, Idaho tell the officers that 25 John Wayne Bradford had a knife to Tom's throat for some time

1 prior to the shootings actually occurring? 2 A. Yes. Just prior to your being arrested in Glenns Ferry, 3 Idaho, had you been taking LSD in the form of windowpane? 4 5 A. No. Was there this kind of controlled substance present 6 7 in that car and available? 8 A. No. Do you know whether or not Tom Creech had taken 9 10 this kind of dope? 11 No. A. How long prior to that arrest was it since you had 12 13 last, either shot up or taken pills? MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer. 14 MR. REMAKLUS: I object, it's beyond the scope of direct 15 examination, assuming facts not in evidence. 16 THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the objection. 17 It assumes facts not in evidence and allow the privilege also. 18 BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, if you can 19 remember that statement that you made to the officers at that 20 time and that place November the .8th, 1974; is there some 21 reason that you can't remember the rest of the surrounding 22 23 circumstances? 24 No. A. Well, is the fact that you were there making 25

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 2,377 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

1	statements at that time clear in your mind now?
2	A. Yeah.
٤	Q. Then, do you remember whether or not you did or
4	did not fill out these forms advising you of your rights?
Ε	A I believe they showed it to me and asked me to
	sign them and I didn't.
7	Q. And you didn't?
8	A. Yes.
g	Q. You did or did not sign them?
10	A. I believe I did not. I don't know.
11	Q. Did they give those rights to you on two different
12	occasions while you were still at the small police station in
18	Glenns Ferry?
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. Did they again attempt to give you those rights
16	and have you sign those papers on more than one occasion during
17	that same day, November the 8th, 1974 at Mountain Home, Idaho?
18	A. I don't know.
19	Q. Is the first time you actually remember those
20	rights being given to you is when you were in Juvenile
21	Detention in Boise, Idaho?
22	A. I believe I they wanted me to sign some of that
23	and in Mountain Home, but I refused, I believe.
24	Q. In Mountain Home you recall refusing to sign any
25	of that?

1	5. I don't know for sure.		
2	0. What is the total extent of your formal education?		
3	a. High school education.		
4	O. Completed the 12th grade?		
5	A. I got my education by a GED test.		
6	Government Educational Development test?		
7	I. Yes.		
8	Q. How many grades did you actually attend?		
9	3. Ten.		
10	0. So, your 11th and 12th grades were made up and got		
11	a diploma by and through the GED test; is that correct?		
12	č. Yes.		
13	0. Pardon?		
14	h Yes.		
15	Q. Prior to meeting Thomas Eugene Creech, were you		
16	John's old lady?		
17	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.		
18	MR. REMAKLUS: That's far beyond the scope of direct		
19	examination, Your Honor.		
20	THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection and allow		
21	the privilege.		
22	O. BY MR. ROBINSON: Carol Spaulding, have you ever		
23	been a part of an organized biker's club?		
24	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.		
25	MR. REMAKLUS: I'll object to that as being beyond the		
	and the same of th		

1 scope of direct examination, Your Honor. I was limited --2 THE COURT: You don't need to argue it. All you need do is make your objection, Mr. Remaklus, and I'll rule on it. MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Objection sustained and I'll allow the 6 privilege. 7 BY MR. ROBINSON: Now, recall back to the early 8 morning hours of November the 4th, 1974 at the site of the 9 killings of Arnold and Bradford south of Donnelly, Idaho, in 10 Valley County, State of Idaho. 11 Did you aid and assist Tom in pulling the bodies 12 of Arnold and Bradford to the ditch? 13 No. 14 Do you recognize the blankets and the -- if I may, 15 Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 BY MR. ROBINSON: Do you recognize the blanket and 18 this sleeping bag? 19 23 No. 20 Neither one? 21 No. 22 Do you remember aiding and assisting the covering 23 of these two bodies? 24 No. 1 25 Did you kick either one of these men after they

2,380

SPAULDING, C., Plf., X.

By Mr. Robinson.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R.

10940 Hollandale Drive

Boise, Idaho 83705

1	were killed?	
2	5.	No.
3	Q.	Did the events of these killings cause you to get
4	sick and	throw up?
5	ā.	No.
6	Q.	Either there at the scene or any time later?
7	ā.	No.
8	Q,	Were these the first two men you have ever seen
9	killed?	
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	How long after the shooting you described did you
12	and Tom r	emain at that location?
13	,S.	Less than five minutes, I believe.
14	Q.	Do you recall whether or not there was blood from
15	those two	men on the seats and the interior of that car?
16	52	Yes.
17	Ů.	Was that wiped away before you got back in the car?
18	ħ.	I don't remember.
19	Ω	Did you help wipe up the blood?
20	5.	No.
21	Ď.	What did you do?
22	ş.ı,	Nothing, I guess.
23	Q,	Pardon?
24	ñ	Nothing I don't know.
25	Ũ.	Just stood there?
	I .	

2,381 SPAULDING, C., Plf., K. By Mr. Robinson.

-	1	7.	Yes.
	2	Ů	Did this event ever cause you to cry out or say
ī	3	anything?	
ļ	4	Ă.	I believe so.
	5	Q.	What?
-	6	3.	"Oh my god".
	7	ņ.	Said just the way you just said it?
7	8	Ā.	I think it had more emotion in it at the time.
	9	Q.	Such as what?
***	10	Α,	I was frightened.
	11	ρ.	Well, how did you say it?
	12	P.	I cannot remember.
-	13	Q.	What other reaction did you have as a result of
	14	these two	men being killed at that place and at that time?
T	15	A.	I felt sick.
+	16	Q.	Felt sick?
Ī	17	A.	Yes.
Т	18	Q.	In your stomach or in your heart?
	19	Α.	In my stomach and my heart, my head, I felt sick.
Т	20	Q.	How long did it take you and Tom then to get on
	21	into Casc	ade?
	22	A.	I don't know.
	23	Q.	Was there any stop along the way before you got
	24	into the	town of Cascade?
Т	25	А.	I don't think so. No, there wasn't.

	1	Q When you got into Cascade where did you first go?
	2	A. We got out of the car, we walked to a gas station
1	3	and tried to steal another car.
A.	4	Q. Was that right on the main street?
	5	A. Yes, it was parked in front of a gas station.
	6	Q. Were the lights on on Main Street in Cascade?
	7	A. I don't think so. I don't remember.
T	8	Q You know where the Courthouse is in Cascade; do
	9	you not?
Ī	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q. Where in relationship to the Courthouse was the
	12	gas station that you and Tom stopped at that morning?
1	13	A. A couple blocks away.
	14	Q. On "south" or towards Boise?
	15	A Towards Boise.
	16	0. Which side of the road?
	17	I believe the same side as the Courthouse. I'm
_	18	not sure.
	19	Q All right. And what did you do while Tom was
	20	trying to hot wire a vehicle there?
	21	3. I sat in it next to him.
	22	0. Sat in the car that
	23	A. Yeah.
	24	0 you were going to take?
	25	A Yes.

1	Q	All right. And what was he working with; the
2	wiring unde	r the dash?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Do you know what kind of a vehicle it was?
5	Ti.	No.
6	D.	You don't know whether it was a Chevrolet, a Ford?
7	A.	I think it was a convertible.
8	Q.	A convertible?
9	<b>a</b> .	I'm not sure, though.
10	Q.	Do you recall whether it did or did not have a
11	locking med	hanism on the steering column?
12	A.	No, I don't know.
13	Q.	Do you know what the reason was that the car was
14	not hot wir	ed and taken?
15	λ.	I believe there wasn't a battery.
16	Q.	No battery in the car?
17	A,	Yes.
18	Q.	And how long did you and Tom work with that
19	vehicle bef	ore abandoning an attempt to take it?
20	<b>.</b>	Maybe ten minutes.
21	Q.	Then where did you go?
22	ă.	Back to the car that we came in.
23	ó	The '56 Buick?
24	3.	The car the men was shot in.
25	Ď.	All right. And where was it parked?
W 3032-303-30-3		

1	It was parked at a place like a garage where you
2	get your car fixed.
3	O On the main
4	0. On the side.
5	0 Pardon?
6	A. I think it was I'm not sure if it was on the
7	main street, but that's where I don't know.
8	Q Would you keep your voice up so I can hear.
9	A. It was a place, I think it was a garage or
10	someplace to get cars fixed. I don't know.
11	Q. Do you recall whether it was on the main street
12	or not?
13	A. No.
14	O. Then where did you go?
15	. We went on down the road. We stopped, Tom was
16	tired. He wanted to sleep.
17	Q All right. Now, just before we get to that point,
18	how long were you in Cascade, Idaho, altogether?
19	A. I don't maybe a half hour, maybe longer. I
20	don't know.
21	Q. Did you see any of the business establishments
22	that were open at that time?
23	ā. No.
24	Q. Did either you or Tom go into any of them?
25	5. No.

7			
	1	Α.	I don't think he told me anything. I don't know.
1	2	Q.	And how long did you stay there by that shed for Tom
-	3	to get some	rest?
ļ	4	Α.	Only a couple minutes. We went somewhere else for
	5	Tom to get s	some rest.
	6	Q.	How far away?
	7	Α.	It was a lodge, a lodge place on the side, across
_	8	the street.	We parked among the other cars there and he rested.
	9	Q.	Did he go to sleep?
-	10	Α.	I don't know.
	11	Q.	Did you go to sleep?
1	12	Α.	No.
-	13	Q.	How long were you there?
	14	<b>P.</b> ,	I don't know.
_	15	Q.	Was it a cold night?
	16	A.	Yes,
T	17	Ω.	Did he leave the engine running?
	18	Çi.	Yes.
	19	Q.	And the heater?
_	20	ħ.	Yes.
	21	Ŋ	This car had plywood in the window on the
T	22	right-front	door; didn't it? Or did it?
	23	Α.	I don't know. I don't remember.
	24	Ŏ.	Was it daylight before you left that position where
_	25	you had parl	ked among the cars?
-			18.8

2,387 SPAULDING, C., Plf., X. By Mr. Robinson.

1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. Do you drive an automobile?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Have you ever been licensed to drive an automobile?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Have you ever had any driver's training at all?
7	A. No.
8	MR. REMAKLUS: Object on the grounds well, she's
9	already answered.
10	THE COURT: The answer is in. It may stand.
11	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes.
12	THE COURT: We'll take a ten-minute recess.
13	If you'll remember the admonition, ladies and
14	gentlemen, don't discuss the case and keep your minds open.
15	(Recess taken.)
16	(Jurors re-entered the courtroom.)
17	THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.
18	MR. ROBINSON: May I continue, Your Honor?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, was there an
21	attempt to push this 1956 vehicle into the river where it ran
22	out of gas?
23	A. I believe so.
24	Q. How long was it before you and Tom caught a ride
25	after leaving that '56 Buick?
- 11	

	1	A. I don't know.
	2	Q. Would you describe the people that you caught a
	3	ride from?
	4	MR. REMAKLUS: I think this is, probably, going outside
	5	the scope of the direct examination, Your Honor.
	6	THE COURT: Overruled.
	7	THE WITNESS: I believe it was a woman and a child in a
7	8	small car.
ļ	9	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Small car? Was there just one
Ī	10	ride that you had to go on south there to Boise?
	11	B. No.
	12	Q. Did you have more than one ride?
_	13	A. Two.
	14	Q. And would you describe the second person, or
	15	people, that gave you and Tom a ride?
	16	A. I believe it was a young man.
	17	Q. A young man?
-	18	A. (No audible response.)
	19	Q. Prior to the killings that you've described at
-	20	Donnelly, Idaho, had Wayne Bradford urinated in the back of
	21	that Buick?
	22	A. Yes.
-	23	Q. How many different occasions?
	24	A. I don't know.
-	25	Q. Was it several times?
	II.	A STATE OF THE STA

Τ.		
"	1	A. I don't remember.
	2	Q. Did you describe to the officers in Mountain Home,
1	3	Idaho when you talked with them on the 8th day of November,
+	4	1974 how that car smelled?
Ī	5	A. I don't know.
1	6	Q. Do you recall that you stated the car smelled like
	7	dog manure?
-	8	A. I don't know.
	9	Q. In other words, what you are saying, you can't
7	10	remember?
	11	A. Yeah. It smelled like that, though.
Ī	12	Q It did?
7	13	A. Yes. But, I don't recall saying anything about it.
	14	I don't recall saying anything about it at that time.
T	15	Q. Have you been Dan's old lady?
	16	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
T	17	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to renew the same objection,
_	18	Your Honor.
	19	THE COURT: Sustained. I will grant the privilege.
	20	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Is Dan the father of
	21	Benjamin Allen Spaulding?
	22	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
1	23	MR. REMAKLUS: I would object again on the basis of
	24	outside the scope of direct examination, improper cross.
_	25	THE COURT: Sustained and I'll grant the privilege.

7		
-	1	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Did you and Tom arrive in
	2	Lewiston from Kentucky?
	3	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
	4	THE COURT: I'll grant the privilege.
	5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	6	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Miss Spaulding, do you recall a
	7	Gremlin automobile that was ditched by you and Tom prior to
-	8	going on into Lewiston?
	9	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer. You'll have to speak up,
7	10	Miss Spaulding, if you want to claim the privilege.
	11	MR. REMAKLUS: I would enter the same objection.
	12	THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer.
-	13	THE COURT: Just say you refuse. Yes, I'll grant the
	14	privilege.
T	15	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Have you been tattooed?
	16	MR. REMAKLUS: This is I would object to it as beyond
Ī	17	the scope of the direct examination, Your Honor.
unique.	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
	19	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Are you a member of the Satanic
-	20	Cult?
	21	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
T	22	MR. REMAKLUS: I would object to that as being beyond
	23	the scope of the direct examination.
	24	THE COURT: Sustained and I will grant the privilege, if
+	25	you want to claim it.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Do you refuse?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Have you ever been to the
5	McCoy Ranch
6	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
7	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: in Southern California?
. 8	MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer.
9	MR. REMAKLUS: And I would make the same objection,
10	Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Objection sustained and I will grant the
12	privilege.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, at this time I would conclude
15	my cross-examination and request the Court to on the
16	condition and request the Court to instruct Miss Spaulding to
17	hold herself available for the defense case.
18	THE COURT: Any redirect?
19	MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.
20	
21	
22	*
23	
24	
25	

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. REMAKLUS:
3	Q. Miss Spaulding, down at Mountain Home or
4	Glenns Ferry, Idaho, why did you tell the officers that
5	Bradford had a knife at Tom Creech's throat?
6	A. Because Mr. Creech had told me to.
7	MR. REMAKLUS: I have no further questions.
8	
9	RECROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. ROBINSON:
11	Q Miss Spaulding, when did Tom Creech tell you to
12	say that?
13	L I don't remember when.
14	Q. Was there a conversation between the 4th day of
15	November, 1974 and the date that you were arrested,
16	November the 8th, 1974, between yourself and Tom when this
17	story about how the killings took place was conducted and put
18	together?
19	A. Could you repeat that?
20	Q. Was there a conversation between you and Tom to
21	put the stories, yours and his stories together about how the
22	killings of Arnold and Bradford occurred?
23	A. I don't know.
24	Q. Pardon?
25	A. I don't know. Tom had told the man that was letting

1 us stay at his house about what had happened. 2 O. Jim Marsh? 3 MR. BOYD: Refuse to answer. 4 I'm having trouble, Your Honor, about the sequence 5 and so forth. 6 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let her answer that question because I don't think it's identified as to place, just 7 8 So, I don't think that incriminates her. Go ahead. 9 MR. REMAKLUS: This is beyond the scope of direct 10 examination. I would object on that ground. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. The question is just whether that 12 person was Jim Marsh. 13 THE WITNESS: I believe so. BY MR. ROBINSON: Well, to make sure, was it that 14 15 person that -- whose wife had the baby? A. Yes. 16 About the 5th day of November? 17 Q. 18 A. Yes. So, what you are saying is that Tom told Jim Marsh 19 about the circumstances and you heard it and picked it up and 20 repeated what he said? 21 22 A. Yes. 23 Did Tom ever tell you specifically to say anything? 0. 5. I think so. 24 25 0. When?

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 2,394 SPAULDING, C., Plf., ReX. By Mr. Robinson.

	1	A. From on the trip from Glenns Ferry to		
	2	Mountain Home, I believe.		
7	3	Q. In other words, the two of you made up a story		
	4	during that ride in the police car?		
	5	A. No.		
	6	Ω No what?		
	7	n. No, we didn't.		
7	8	0. No, you didn't make up a story?		
	9	A. No.		
1	10	Q Just part of it?		
ļ	11	in I don't know.		
	12	c. Pardon?		
7	13	a. I can't I'm not sure that was at the time when		
	14	he had brought that up. But, I know I don't remember when,		
1	15	exactly when, but I don't think we made up a whole story.		
	16	MR. ROBINSON: I'll hold at this point, Your Honor, for		
Ī	17	further cross-examination and bring Miss Spaulding back.		
	18	THE COURT: I guess you may step down.		
	19	MR. REMAKLUS: I do have one thing, Your Honor.		
ī	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
Ī	25			

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 0940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Iduho 83705 2,395 SPAULDING, C., Plf., ReX. By Mr. Robinson.

1 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. REMAKLUS: Q Did you say that it was -- the best to your 3 4 recollection that Tom told you to say that the knife was -- that 5 Bradford held the knife at his throat when you were coming back 6 from Glenns Ferry to Mountain Home that night? 7 MR. ROBINSON: Object, leading and suggestive, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 MR. REMAKLUS: I have no further questions. 10 MR. ROBINSON: Nothing further. 11 THE COURT: You may step down. 12 Yes, I'll direct that this witness be held 13 available for the defense to call. You may step down. 14 Call your next witness. 15 MR. REMAKLUS: I think we have some offers of proof, 16 Your Honor, to be made in the absence of the jury. 17 THE COURT: All right, we'll take this up out of your presence, ladies and gentlemen. If you remember the admonition, 18 19 don't discuss the case and keep your minds open. 20 (Jurors left the courtroom.) THE COURT: I'll hear what you have to say. 21 MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor. The first offer that 22 we would like to present relates to the name of Joe Carl Adams 23 24 if it is recalled by the Court that Mr. Adams' name was listed 25 as an alias of the defendant in the information, also been

recalled that Mr. Adams' identification was in Mr. Creech's possession and the police officer initially believed that Mr. Adams -- rather that the defendant was Mr. Adams. We would, therefore, offer to prove that the defendant, Thomas Eugene Creech, between the time of the crime charged in this case and the time of the defendant's arrest, entered a 7-Eleven store in Boise, Idaho and robbed, at gunpoint, Joe Carl Adams of his identification and that that was the same identification that Mr. Creech produced upon being arrested.

I believe that this offer of proof is supported by the recent case decision of the Idaho Supreme Court in the State versus Izatt, relating to the right to put on evidence showing all of the circumstances relating to the crime, even though it does involve a description of other crimes.

The Supreme Court of Idaho in that opinion also referred to the case of Monge versus People decided by the Colorado Supreme Court. We also refer the Court to the case of People versus Archerd; which was cited in our pretrial brief.

We also offer at this time to present the testimony of Gene and Becky Hilby to the effect that the defendant,

Thomas Eugene Creech, committed a murder in Portland, Oregon; that after doing so -- rather, before doing so and as a pretext for doing so, he advised Mr. Hilby that he had been threatened and attacked at knifepoint; that he committed a murder in Salem, Oregon, and returned from that telling Mrs. Hilby that

he had had to commit a killing in consequence of being attacked at knifepoint and all pertinent details relating to those matters.

3 4

5

6

7

We believe that the circumstances of those two crimes are identical to the circumstances which have been raised in the defendant's cross-examination here to this point which -during which he has attempted to suggest that the victims of this crime were in the process of making an attack with the knife upon him at the time.

10

12

13

16

17

We pose to rebut that by showing the circumstances of the Portland and Salem murders. We believe that we are supported in this offer by the cases cited in our pretrial brief on the subject and that defendant has opened these matters up for presentation at this time.

11

I would again call the Court's attention to the case of State versus -- or, rather, Mullaney versus Wilbur cited by the Supreme Court of the United States in which it is clear that the burden of proving the absence of justification, the absence

14 15

> of circumstances creating a lesser degree of the offense falls upon the State.

18 19

20

We call attention also to a comment in the case of People versus Archerd in which the Supreme Court of California holds that it isn't necessary for the State to wait for rebuttal to put in relevant evidence of this kind.

21 22

THE COURT: Do you have an objection?

23 24

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.

First of all, in the Adams identification, the fact that evidence would point to commission of other crimes would, in this instance, be prejudicial as I'm sure the Court is aware and is very concerned with.

THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection.

MR. ROBINSON: The objection that we have, then, on the Portland circumstances is that the State's case in chief at this point has a witness in Carol Spaulding that says this didn't occur. And the proper place would, then, be in rebuttal for any of this evidence on the Portland circumstance to come to light.

THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, sir.

MR. REMAKLUS: State rests, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I take it you'd prefer to proceed in the morning, Mr. Robinson?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. But I believe that we're going to need to talk with the Court on the record in that regard to the extent of testimony that we will be allowed to go into on the defense so that I can prepare my opening statement.

THE COURT: Prepared to take that up now?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

I take it you still wouldn't want to proceed tonight?

1 MR. ROBINSON: That's correct, Your Honor, and possibly 2 the Court wants to dismiss the jury. 3 THE COURT: We can let the jury go? 4 MR. REMAKLUS: Yes. 5 THE COURT: You don't want to make your opening 6 statement tonight or anything? 7 MR. ROBINSON: I will commence with that in the morning. 8 THE COURT: All right, bring the jury in then. 9 You can make your own statement that you are 10 resting in the presence of the jury, then. 11 MR. REMAKLUS: Yes, Your Honor. 12 (Jury re-entered the courtroom.) 13 THE COURT: All right, show the jurors are all present. 14 You may call your next witness. 15 MR. REMAKLUS: Your Honor, the State rests. 16 THE COURT: Are you prepared to commence at this time, 17 Mr. Robinson? 18 MR. ROBINSON: No, I'm not, Your Honor. I would rather 19 commence with an opening statement at the start of the session 20 tomorrow morning but I do have matters I'd like to consider 21 before the Court this evening out of the presence of the jury. 22 THE COURT: All right, we'll take our evening recess, 23 then, as far as the jury is concerned until 9:30 tomorrow 24 morning. 25 If you will remember the admonition, don't discuss

the case and keep your minds open.

(Jury left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Robinson, I'll hear anything you have to present.

MR. ROBINSON: First of all, for the purpose of the record, Your Honor, I make the motion of dismissal for failure of proof without argument, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Motion will be denied.

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, you have listened to argument of Counsel on several occasions in Boise and here on the Motions in Limine.

I believe that both Counsel and the Court are acutely aware of the intent of the defense in this matter to make a total disclosure of the life of Tom Creech; based upon the legal defenses of mental defect and disease and further based upon the reasons in his life, if any there are, that he comes to the point of November 8th, 1974, and makes a voluntary statement of a double murder that he did not commit.

In this proof the testimony will first commence with that of Thomas Eugene Creech himself giving his entire background and commencing with the first death that he was involved with in 1966 and commencing through his life as to the involvement that he had with the bikers' organizations, with the Satanic Cult, with his incarceration in the Ohio Reformatory and prisons and the Ohio mental institutions and from that to

his father's death; the impact that these circumstances had upon his life, of the family breakdown et cetera and his becoming involved in national drug traffic and becoming a contract hit man, or executioner for the bikers' organization, National Council.

That involved -- he, as a member of the Outlaw bikers' club of Ohio, the Hell's Angels and Machakos, the Banditos, the Sundowners and all others; including Gypsy Jokers and how the measure of these activities caused him to continue that way of life as an assassin into the number of deaths that he was involved in and the compulsion of the organization itself that if he did not continue and follow their orders he himself would be put away.

His attempt to break loose from that entire syndrome of life, get himself captured and find security in law enforcement incarceration because he then had contracts on his own life from several sources; all continually aimed at both the involvement in the Satanic Cult and the involvement in the national drug ring with all of the different actors that were a part thereof that compelled him in his own separate battle to take unto himself other killings other than those that were handed down as instructions, or commands from Council and, also, his own war on the dope pushers and forcible rapists that resulted, out of the women in his life, who had been forcibly raped.

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705

All bringing itself to the point that he made the voluntary statement that had been introduced in evidence here against him to save the other person or persons who actually did the killings in the Arnold-Bradford matter here in the State of Idaho.

This is the intent of the defense on the full disclosure and under the Motion in Limine that the Court has denied, but with reservation that the Court would hear all argument prior to the time we commenced giving testimony to this jury.

I feel, Your Honor, that all of the testimony of Thomas Eugene Creech in that regard is important to his defense, is a need and a necessity of his defense to combat the accusations, testimony and proof that have been submitted by the State.

THE COURT: I'm not sure what the Court is supposed to rule on. I take it what you want is some guidance on arranging your evidence and what evidence will be permitted to be presented; is that what you are seeking, Mr. Robinson?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. At this point I felt somewhat restricted by the Court's previous rulings and wanted to put, at this time, after the resting of the State's case in chief, the intent on the part of the defense to make a full disclosure and go in detail into the entire life of Tom Creech so that this jury would have all of that information and that

testimony before them; in order to weigh the evidence that they now have before them in the State's case.

THE COURT: Then the outline of what you intend to present here, I haven't detected anything of any expert witnesses to prove anything about the Satanism or Satanic Cults or anything like that, is that right?

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I was going to go at this step by step and after the entire -- it has been my understanding up to this point that the Court was going to allow the, if you will, testimony on the subject matters as being relevant and material when testified to by Tom Creech himself but that there is still a restriction in the Motion in Limine as to bringing on expert testimony; such as the Bishop of the Catholic Church, of the Bishop of the Episcopal Church, Anton Szandor LaVey, the "Black Pope" of the Satanic Church in San Francisco and others to prove that this actually does exist.

Now, I intended to make offers of proof after the Court had heard Tom Creech's testimony, as to how far the Court felt that we were justified in going in that regard.

But, I was -- I could anticipate that that expert testimony will be called upon to be given but I know that I must satisfy the Court prior to calling those witnesses as to their materiality, relevancy, prior to exposing these witnesses to this jury.

I also, of course, Your Honor, intend to introduce

all of the testimony of Dr. F. LaMarr Heyrend, psychiatrist, on his many examinations of Thomas Eugene Creech that he has made under the Sodium Ametol, Ridelene, hypnotic sessions and polygraph done by the State and all of those done by the State and, then, further supplemented by our own polygraph sessions. Those, of course, would be submitted to the Court in offers of proof prior to actually producing the witnesses.

I do anticipate the direction that the defense will take also is that after this full disclosure is made by Thomas Eugene Creech that those witnesses that we have reserved and request the Court the permission to recall in the defense case would be to establish and verify particular crimes, killings, bodies discovered, those things that actually are facts that these officers have individual, personal knowledge of.

THE COURT: Well, I intend to adhere to my initial observations, Mr. Robinson. I don't intend to let any evidence in in this case, by either side, that isn't relevant to either the prosecution or some legitimate defense that's recognized as a matter of law.

I recall that I did give the opinion at one point that I did not think expert testimony about cults and things was going to be relevant to any recognized defense unless you could come up with a case that indicated it was; or that could represent that one of your psychiatrists would recognize this as

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being a recognizable mental disease and defect. I don't understand you've done that at this point.

I did, I think, recongnize that I felt you would be able to go rather broadly into the background of Mr. Creech in support of your mental disease and defect defense as a foundation for expert testimony by psychiatrists, not by experts on demonologies or Satanism.

MR. ROBINSON: That's my understanding, Your Honor. Of course, now, we do -- and are confronted with the other matter and that is a voluntary statement given about the killings of Arnold and Bradford which the defendant denies that he committed and the jury must be -- must understand the totality of Tom's life and his reasons for having given a voluntary statement when, in fact, it wasn't true.

THE COURT: Well, I'm concerned about this -- I interpret what you said as, perhaps, being a defense that he acted under some compulsion and I'm inclined to believe that isn't a defense.

Section 18-201 defines "Persons capable of committing crimes."

In Subdivision 8 talks about persons who act under compulsion and it says, "Persons (unless the -- ". These are the exceptions, it says, "Persons (unless the crime be punishable with death) who committed the act or made the omission charged, under threats or menaces sufficient to show

that they had reasonable cause to and did believe their lives would be endangered if they refused."

4

5

3

Now, under that statute that -- that you felt your

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

actually commit.

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

life was endangered would be a -- as I interpret this, a defense to any crime except murder and it would not be a defense to the crime of murder. MR. ROBINSON: But I'm not offering the defense of

compulsion in the Idaho deaths of Arnold and Bradford because the

position is that Tom Creech did not commit those two killings

that was compulsion that kept him within the organization until

but that he was involved in other killings under orders and

THE COURT: Well, I'll hear what the State has to present on this.

he made the break; as an explanation as to why he gave a

voluntary statement admitting to two killings he did not

MR. THOMAS: It's our position, Your Honor, that the defendant, even with respect to Mr. Creech's testimony, should be required to submit offers of proof on all of the details of it if he's going to go into this.

On the basis of the materials that we have, we understand that that testimony might contemplate such things as the character assassination of a large number of innocent people on the public record. I'm sure the Court has been furnished with a copy of the -- some of the materials that would tend to

indicate that.

Furthermore, the problem arises that some of the material that it would seem, at least on the basis of the disclosures made by the defendant during the course of discovery, would involve testimony as to purported facts which are demonstrably untrue because they've been already refuted.

I think the procedure advocated by the defense counsel is a very dangerous one. It proposes that he be allowed to get into an improper defense, bit by bit, starting out with an opening statement which then opens the door to Mr. Creech testifying to a large number of things; that we would have to sit over here and attempt to object to on the piece by piece basis, probably antagonizing the jury in the process and then moving on to such people as the authority of the Satanic Bible who is not shown by anything that
Mr. Robinson has even said, to know anything about this case or to have any evidence in it. The same is true of the clergymen who have appeared on his list, the witness list.

All of this material seems to us, at least on the face of it, and I think this was the sense of the Court's earlier ruling on the Motions in Limine, irrelevant and not to be presented without some very specific showing of what possible relevance it has and that is so because of the extremely prejudicial and confusing effect, putting this mass of irrelevant material before the jury. If the defense is to

2,408 COLLOQUY.

be made in this way, it should be made by some kind of specific showing of just what is involved, instead of the defendant's counsel merely advising the Court that the defense amounts to a total disclosure coupled with the claim that Mr. Creech really didn't commit the killings.

MR. ROBINSON: If it please the Court, my opposing counsel uses strange words about this has been refuted. Maybe in his own mind because he chooses to believe other materials, the direct terminology controverted, maybe.

Of course this jury has a right to hear from both sides. It would seem to me I have tried to, throughout my representation in this case, to demonstrate to the Court that I was not representing and making an assertion, or calling down on the defense of this matter irresponsibly. Now there may very well be some very high officials identified and there character impuned, but an assassination? No. This Court knows, I hope that I have been very careful in checking out in detail both with Dr. Heyrend and with the polygraph and I have advised the Court at each time these have been completed of the consistency between the Sodium Ametol, Ridelene of Dr. Heyrend and the polygraph.

I realize the Court has stated that it interprets, at least one session of the polygraph differently than defense counsel does. I assure the Court that I am not, as an officer of this court, behaving irresponsibly. I feel that my

representation calls for me to afford this defendant an opportunity to tell his full story for his defense. I realize this State would rather that the defense in this case amount to no more than Mr. Creech getting on the stand, taking the oath and say "I didn't do it" stepping down, "Defense rests". But, this cannot be done.

It takes all of the details in order for the defense to be fully disclosed, to be in the minds of this jury that has the responsibility to make a determination of the guilt or innocence of Thomas Eugene Creech. In the charge before them, the murder of Arnold and Bradford, as to whether or not those killings, if their decision is that he did them, amounted to First Degree, Second Degree or any of the degrees of homicide thereunder or to actually acquit him should they believe that a person or persons other than Thomas Creech actually killed Arnold and Bradford.

And in order to afford the defense to Mr. Creech,

I must go to the entire explanation of his total background,
his life and his involvements.

THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, if I haven't missed something you've only, really, in all you've said, given two basic theories of why all this would be relevant.

You've mentioned the mental disease and defect defense and you've mentioned Mr. Creech explaining why he gave this statement that's now in evidence.

Number one, I would not allow all this material you are talking about now in as being relevant to the mental disease and defect defense unless you represent to the Court, number one, that you have a psychiatrist that will come forth and say he is suffering from a mental disease and defect and that this material is part of the foundation upon which that psychiatrist reaches that conclusion.

Now, that has to do with the mental disease and defect defense. I frankly have a hard time seeing why we have to have all this massive detail you apparently want to go into to attack the credibility of the one voluntary statement that "Voluntary Statement" that we have in evidence right now.

Seems to me that could be attacked by Mr. Creech in rather brief testimony without giving all this elaboration that you are talking about.

I'm willing to hear you on that, but these are the only two theories that I understand that any of this will even be relevant to this case.

MR. ROBINSON: Well, I assure the Court that the basic testimony regarding the mental defect and disease that will be testified to by Dr. Heyrend is necessary to lay the total foundation as to what occurred in Tom's life to cause this possession of his giving up his soul after baptism and joining a cult that has had tremendous impact and control upon him.

Now, he must give that explanation himself as the

foundation to this psychiatrist.

THE COURT: I'm not sure, in those terms, the psychiatrist will even be permitted to express an opinion, Mr. Robinson. I intend to limit that psychiatrist expressing an opinion based upon our Idaho Statute defining mental disease and defect and I don't understand that mental disease and defect defense, as Idaho has adopted, in the defining of it to include some compulsion caused by Satan and I'm going to so rule.

MR. ROBINSON: All right.

THE COURT: And I'd be surprised if you have a psychiatrist that would purport to make a statement that a mental disease and defect exists based on that ground. If you do I want to hear his testimony before we go into all this other matter.

MR. ROBINSON: I would assure the Court that I would be putting in testimony of Dr. Heyrend on for the Court to judge as to whether or not the findings that were made three weeks ago actually met the Court's test in that regard.

Your Honor, I do feel that the entire amount of detail is necessary in order to enlighten the Court and the jury as to the total effect of each of the circumstances as they came down in Tom's life, sequentially, that brought him to the point where he made this confession on the 8th day of November, 1974. To me all things have to have a reason behind

them and ....

THE COURT: Well, I think your order of proof to do that is going to have to be reversed from what you propose here.

I'm just simply not going to permit that passive detail to be presented here on the assumption that we're going to get a psychiatrist coming along later on that will say all of this is relevant to his conclusions.

MR. ROBINSON: And since the State rested one day prematurely than I was advised that more than likely would, I do not have Dr. Heyrend available until the first part of next week. As a matter of fact, I have a prearrangement to meet him over the weekend.

MR. ROBINSON: For the Court to go into the aspect of whether or not the defendant will be able to testify about his life's involvement that wound up and caused him to being a member of the Satanic Cult, yes. My order of proof was going to be the defendant himself and, then, the officers that accompanied him into the various other states for the recovery of bodies for the investigation of other crimes he alleged that he had been involved in; the verification of that. Then, the —— based upon that the psychiatric testimony of Dr. Heyrend. Then, on that basis in the event that the Court saw then that the proof had amounted totally to the need and necessity and the properness of the testimony of either of the Bishops, or

the Satanic Cult then to make its ruling based upon that foundation.

THE COURT: I would just make the observation, it seems to me you are embarking upon an extremely, and I make this for the record, that you are embarking upon an extremely hazardous and dangerous course of action in this case because, if you present all of this highly prejudicial material in your own case to the jury and, then, the ruling is that it is not relevant and material and the psychiatrist is not permitted to give his opinion based on that kind of material, it seems to me you will have prejudiced your client highly without accomplishing anything in the defense.

MR. ROBINSON: I realized that for months, Your Honor, and in the investigation of this case that was all formulated prior to my coming onto this case, as counsel with the manuscript in his own handwriting dated the 8th day of March, 1975 admitting to the other out-of-state involvements; with the many other out-of-state involvements, bodies having been recovered and those circumstances amounting to a greater First Degree Murder under their laws and those states that the defendant would continually, after this case, if there isn't a finding of First Degree would, somewhere down the line, run into a case that is going to be First Degree and he would be executed.

THE COURT: Well, I want to advise the defendant right

H

THE COURT: -- and I'm advising the defendant directly of that, not through you.

MR. ROBINSON: And I appreciate the Court doing so,
Your Honor. I have stated these same things to Mr. Creech.
We have gone over the totality of all of the information that
he has given and I'm sure that the Court is aware that all of
the activities of further investigations out of state were
absolutely stopped when I came on this case as counsel and I
believe at one point that I did make the comments to the
Court that I have not changed the facts of this case as I found
them when I first came on the case one way or the other.

I have tried to understand them, interpret them, investigate them and verify them. I felt that I did come on this case, that it was -- had its course and its direction.

I believe I likened that to the barge midstream in the Salmon River where I was given the tiller and asked to turn it back around; which would have been an impossible task.

I'm sure the Court knows attempting to represent
Thomas Eugene Creech in the condition I found this matter as of
the 6th day of June, 1975 at the commencement of my

I

representation.

I feel that it is my duty as an attorney to represent Tom Creech and attempt to save his life in this jurisdiction and in all other jurisdictions that he has his involvement and bring out the truth of the whole matter.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't resolved, in my own mind, however, Mr. Creech can go in his own testimony. I have resolved that you will not be permitted in this case to put on any purported expert witnesses on Satanism or demonology and you can make all the offers of proof you want, but I'm not going to permit such testimony in this record.

MR. ROBINSON: That's understandable, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I don't think it's relevant or material, under any principle of law that I'm familiar with, to present any defense in a murder case.

MR. ROBINSON: I would like to add one other thing to the record if I might, Your Honor.

In my experience I have never been involved in a case like the State versus Thomas Eugene Creech. It seems to me like every known activity of counsel in representing a client has, in this case, been over 180 degrees; that all of the things that we, as counsel, are called upon to do in representation in this matter, has directly opposite and I am having to weigh each and every and have had to weigh each and every item and material as I find it in light of my experience

Susan G. Gambee, C.S.R. 10940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idaho 83705 I assure the Court that in abiding by our law and our rules that I am not in any way, shape or form attempting to make a spectacular thing out of the defense. I truly believe that this is the only defense that Tom Creech has at his disposal and that is a total disclosure to explain his position as a -- they relate to these two deaths.

THE COURT: Well, all I can advise you, Mr. Robinson, at this point, is that I intend to permit Mr. Creech to put on any relevant and material testimony to his defense of mental disease and defect, his defense of denial of the commission of these acts and his explanation of the purported voluntary statement that's been introduced into evidence by the State.

However, in ruling on the admission — relevancy and materiality question on that evidence, I'm going to feel bound by established rules of law and the law defining relevancy and materiality in that context. I'm going to make my rulings accordingly and I am not going to state to you at this time that under these rulings that you are going to be permitted to prove all the things that you've represented that you want to prove.

I don't think that particularly helps you, but I don't know anything else I can do on this preliminary discussion; other than to advise you and, then, rule on the issues as they

arise.

MR. ROBINSON: And, Your Honor, I will attempt to
prepare my opening statement in a restricted way so as not to
make promises where the area might be questionable and in
anticipation of the Court's expected rulings on the admissibility
of particular evidence.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you very much.

MR. THOMAS: Your Honor? Some of the representations of Mr. Robinson has had, with respect to Dr. Heyrend's testimony, we do wish to advise the Court that if Dr. Heyrend testifies as Mr. Robinson suggests, it will be surprise to us because the reports submitted to us, which I would like to submit to the Court at this point, since the Court did order the examination.

THE COURT: If he testifies in accordance with Mr. Robinson's feelings, he will be subject to impeachment with that statement.

MR. THOMAS: I would think to some extent except the principal defect is that the statement does not conform to the order of the Court in the expression of the opinion of the defendant's sanity at the time of the offense. It seems there's no reference to that. So, it would appear that if Dr. Heyrend expands upon his testimony he will be putting something in that isn't covered at all in this report.

We do not contemplate looking upon --

THE COURT: Do you have any other reports from him, Mr. Robinson, that haven't been revealed pursuant to discovery orders here?

Is this the only report you have too?

MR. ROBINSON: That's correct, Your Honor. As the Court recalls earlier last week when the report was transported up by the State of Idaho, I got my copy at the same time Mr. Thomas did. I would state to the Court --

THE COURT: I'd like to see that report.

(Brief delay.)

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, in a conversation I had with Dr. Heyrend after receiving this report, he did state to me that there was just one area that he failed to cover in that report and that was in regards to the military portion of Tom Creech's life, the circumstances of many AWOL's and what took place as they added to his total opinion.

That's the only area, the one area that I would like to advise the Court that the last ordered examination by this Court of Dr. Heyrend at the request of the State took place. I believe it was September 25th and 26th. At both of those sessions, in attendance besides Tom Creech, Dr. Heyrend, were John Wickersham, my investigator, Sheriff Palmer and myself and we were there on both days during the entire examination by Tom Creech -- of Tom Creech by Dr. Heyrend under

the use of Sodium Ametol and Ridelene. I THE COURT: We'll be in recess until tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Recess taken.) 

I

4 5

THE COURT: I wish to take up one additional matter with Counsel before we commence with the jury in view of Counsel's -- Defense Counsel's request for some guidance as to the law in the case on this matter.

Additional reflection, I think requires that I point out three additional things so that the defendant will not be surprised or claim surprise by virtue of the Court's rulings,

Number one, I would point out that under the mental disease and defect defense, under Section 18-207, that Statute expressly provides that that mental disease or defect does not include any abnormality manifested only by repeated criminal or otherwise antisocial conduct.

I would not expect to permit any psychiatrist to base an opinion solely on the repeated criminal conduct. I did previously rule the State could not prove crimes in other jurisdictions; even though there was some similarity in pattern because the prejudice would outweigh the probative value.

I think, if the defendant himself proves crimes in other jurisdictions, that prejudice will be dissipated thereby and I feel that if it becomes appropriate on rebuttal for the

State to prove those crimes they offerred to, specifically in Oregon, that there would be no reason, if it was appropriate rebuttal or impeachment, to allow those to be proved by the State.

MR. ROBINSON: May I comment on that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: The third point is that I would feel that law of the case would be that no results of polygraph or truth serum tests would be admissible in this case.

MR. ROBINSON: If I may, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ROBINSON: The Court's guidelines have -- or are well understood and have been anticipated by the defense.

We realize, Your Honor, that in going into the defense of full disclosure we are, in effect, opening a Pandora's Box that what the Court has stated the prejudice aspects of the out-of-state crimes would then be totally admissible on the rebuttal and I can only say to that, Your Honor, what we're attempting to do is to bring up the solitary -- the whole truth.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not saying this by way of --- I
just want you to understand the law of the case so there won't
be any surprise on anybody's part when I make those rulings.

MR. ROBINSON: And I agree with the Court's interpretat of the law because that's the way I understood it also.

THE COURT: All right. Fine.

Counsel ready to proceed, then?

MR. ROBINSON: Ready to proceed.

MR. REMAKLUS: State is ready, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Bring the jury in.

(Jury entered the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Let the record show the jurors are all present.

MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed?

THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, you may make your opening statement to the jury at this time.

MR. ROBINSON: Judge Durtschi, Counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. First understand that in our jurisprudence and system of justice the defendant does not have to take the stand and I'm sure the Court will instruct you in this manner.

We are going to present to you the defendant's testimony and his testimony is going to be much like the Prosecution told you they were going to follow through on the presentation of their case; that they were going to follow a route from Lewiston, Idaho to Glenns Ferry, Idaho.

Well, the defense is going to follow a route, that route being the life of Thomas Eugene Creech; from the date of his birth through his youth and, more specifically, from the year in 1966 to November the 8th of 1974 and then his testimony regarding the facts and occurrences that took

21

22

23

24

place after his incarceration and arrest to face these two charges.

.....

Along through the testimony I'm sure that you are going to find it complex, complicated. We will try our utmost to keep it as chronological in time as possible. There will be aspects of the testimony of Thomas Eugene Creech that will be shocking and I say this because, at this particular point, I don't believe that the State has proven Thomas Creech to be a cold-blooded thrill killer.

In the testimony that you will hear from him I hope that you don't get this idea and turn away from the facts of the case. Tom's testimony would show that after his long engagement in a life of crime he himself turned, appointing himself both judge and jury and executioner against dopers and dope pushers and forcible rapists and eliminated them by killing, or wasting them.

I ask that you keep your minds open throughout the defense in this case and listen to all of the testimony before making up your mind as to guilt or innocence of these two deaths that Tom is charged with.

Thank you, Your Honor. We're ready to proceed.

THE COURT: Call your first witness.

MR. ROBINSON: Call Thomas Eugene Creech.

1	А.	Zola Faye Turner Creech.
2	Õ.	And your father's name?
3	A.	Harold Dean Creech.
4	Q.	How long did you and your family remain in
5	Dayton, Ohi	03
6	£.,	Until I was approximately five years old.
7	Q.	And then where did you move?
8	n.	To Mt. Orab, Ohio.
9	Ũ	Is that 0-r-b?
10	Ž.	0-r-a-b.
11	ŷ.	Mt. Orab? And where did you attend grade schools?
12	ā.	Mt. Orab, Ohio.
13	Q.	Is that all six of the elementary grades?
14	Α,	Eight.
15	Q.	Through the eighth? And would you tell us your
16	brothers' a	nd sisters' names, starting with the oldest.
17	A.	I have a half sister by my father's first marriage,
18	half sister	Brenda, I don't know either one of their ages, by
19	my father's	first marriage.
20	Q.	Are these in their 30s now?
21	A.	I think so.
22	Ď.	All right.
23	Α.	I've got one real sister, Virginia, she's my oldest
24	sister, she	's 29; I have a brother Bill that's 28; I have a
25	brother Jim	who is 26 and I've got a younger brother 18, Joey,

1	Α.	About 23 miles.
2	Q.	All right. Did you attend school at Hamilton,
3	Ohio?	
4	ž žn	Yes, I did.
5	Q.	Through what grades?
6	2.	I quit when I was in the 11th grade.
7	3	What section of town did you live in?
8	Žŧ,	I'm not sure about that question.
9	Q.	Was it geographically east, west, north, south
10	Hamilton?	
11	I.	South, I guess.
12	Ü	Pardon?
13	À.	South part of town.
14	Q.	All right. Would you describe your family home at
15	that time;	the relationship with your mother and father?
16	λ.	My mother and father were separating, sort of, they
17	was living	together sometimes and sometimes they wasn't.
18	Q.	How long had that been the case?
19	A.	God, for a long time.
20	Ω.	That's prior to when you were in the 11th grade
21	₿.	Yes.
22	Ü.	that had been the circumstance?
23		Yes.
24	Ö	Were your parents ever divorced?
25	ī.	Yes.

1	Q.	When did that take place; if you can recall?
2	A.	They got divorced when I was in prison, so, '69 or
3	170.	
4	Q.	All right. So that is subsequent to your
5	discontinui	ng your education in the 11th grade; is that correct?
6	Λ,	Yes.
7	Q.	Would you describe your relationship with your
8	father?	
9	A.	We were pretty close.
10	Q.	And what do you mean by that?
11	A.	Well, I liked him better than I did my mother.
12	Ω	Would you describe some of the activities you
13	participate	d in with your father?
14	Α.	Hunting, fishing, camping trips; whatever.
15	Q.	What was your father's occupation?
16	Α,	He was an engineer, heavy equipment.
17	Q.	All right. Did your mother work outside of the
18	home?	
19	Α,	Yes.
20	Q.	And what kind of work did she engage herself in?
21	A.	She worked in a couple pizza houses as managers and
22	restaurants	and a couple of bars.
23	Q.	Did your father drink?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	What kind of beverages?

1	About everything, I guess.
2	O Did your mother drink
3	ā. Yes.
4	0 alcoholic beverages?
5	Yes.
6	
7	
	A She got pretty loaded sometimes.
8	<ol> <li>And were there ever any physical violent arguments</li> </ol>
9	that occurred in your presence as a youngster between your
10	mother and your father?
11	A. Yes, they had fights, sometimes.
12	Q. Would the fights and arguments result in actual
13	violence of hitting or throwing things?
14	A. Yes, they'd knock each other around and break up
15	things.
16	Q. When you were nine years old, did one of these
17	occasions take place Christmas of that year of your life?
18	A. 1959, yes.
19	Q. Would you describe what occurred?
20	A. It was Christmas Eve and we had quite a few of our
21	relatives living with us. We lived on a pretty big farm and
22	my mom thought my dad was messing around with my aunt and they
23	was living behind us in a trailer. So, she put a note under
24	the door telling him to leave, broke out all the windows and
25	goes down and breaks out all the windows in our gas station and

1	A.	Well, my mother and two of my brothers and my
2	sister.	
3	Q.	At that time were you attending church or
4	Sunday scho	ool regularly?
5	A.	Yes, I was.
6	Q.	Was that your mother's or your father's church?
7	A.	Well, Baptist was my father's church.
8	Q.	All right. Were you subsequently baptized to that
9	first time?	
10		You mean baptized again?
11	Q	Baptized again in the church?
12	8	Yes, in the Church of Christ.
13	9	How old were you then?
14	A.	Thirteen.
15	Q.	And where did that take place?
16	Α,	Blue Ash, Ohio.
17	D.	And whose church was that; your mother's or your
18	father's?	
19	A.	My mother's.
20	Q.	Did your mother and your father have disagreements
21	regarding 1	celigion and which church they were going to follow
22	in the fami	ly?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	After you quit school in the eleventh grade, did
25	you continu	me church attendance?

1	A. No, I didn't.
2	Q. What were your grades like in your first eleven
3	years?
4	A. Good and bad.
5	Q. Explain that if you would, please.
6	A. Well, math, I got
7	Q. Would you speak up, Tom. I have difficulty do
8	you need some water?
9	A. No, I don't need no water.
10	Math, I didn't get too good grades in. History,
11	government and English I got pretty good grades.
12	Q. All right. Who was Danny Johnson?
13	A. The first person I ever killed.
14	Q. How long had you known him prior to his death?
15	A. For about a year and a half.
16	Q. What was the nature of your acquaintance with
17	Danny Johnson?
18	A. He was a friend of the girl that I was going with
19	at the time.
20	Q. What was her name?
21	A. Sandy.
22	Q. Her last name?
23	A. Scott.
24	Q. And where were you living at the time this
25	occurrence took place?

1	A. No, I didn't.	
2	Q. What were your grades like in your first	eleven
3	years?	
4	A. Good and bad.	
5	Q. Explain that if you would, please.	
6	A. Well, math, I got	
7	Q Would you speak up, Tom. I have difficul	ty do
8	you need some water?	
9	A. No, I don't need no water.	
10	Math, I didn't get too good grades in. H	istory,
11	government and English I got pretty good grades.	
12	Q. All right. Who was Danny Johnson?	
13	A. The first person I ever killed.	
14	Q. How long had you known him prior to his d	eath?
15	A. For about a year and a half.	
16	Q. What was the nature of your acquaintance	with
17	Danny Johnson?	
18	A. He was a friend of the girl that I was go	ing with
19	at the time.	
20	Q. What was her name?	
21	A. Sandy.	
22	Q. Her last name?	
23	A. Scott.	
24	Q. And where were you living at the time thi	.S
25	occurrence took place?	

1	A. Route 4, Hamilton, Ohio.
2	Q Had either Sandy or Danny Johnson been classmates
3	of yours in school?
4	A. I think Danny had.
5	Q When did you meet Sandy?
6	The first time I met her was in a skating rink when
7	we still lived in Mt. Orab, Ohio.
8	Q Approximately when was that?
9	A. That was in '64 or '65; right before we moved to
10	Lebanon.
11	0 Was Sandy killed?
12	. Pardon?
13	Q. Was Sandy killed?
14	A. Yes, she was.
15	Q. Would you describe to the jury the nature, manner
16	that Sandy was killed?
17	A. She was killed in an automobile accident in the
18	car that was being driven by Danny Johnson at the time and they
19	were loaded on drugs.
20	Q. Both Danny Johnson and Sandy were loaded on drugs?
21	A. I think the autopsy report showed that Sandy had
22	been taking drugs at the time of the accident.
23	Q. How close was your relationship with Sandy at that
24	time at the time of her death?
25	A. We was going to get married the following summer.
1)	

1	Q.	What effect did Sandy's death have upon you?
2	A.	It turned me against drugs, pretty much, and I
3	don't know.	
4	Q.	At that time were you a user of drugs yourself;
5	either mari	juana or other drugs?
6	Α.	I had tried some of them.
7	Q.	And at that time had you started drinking beer?
8	Α.	Yeah, I was pretty heavy into that.
9	Q.	Pardon?
10	A,	I was pretty heavy into that.
11	Q.	All right. As a result of this occurrence what
12	did you do	to Danny Johnson and when?
13	A.	August of 1966 we went swimming and
14	Q.	The location of where you went swimming is where?
15	λ.	New Miami, Ohio at the gravel pits and I drowned
16	him because	I thought that he was responsible for Sandy's
17	death.	
18	0.	Who else was with you besides Danny Johnson when
19	this occurre	ence took place?
20	Ĩ. <b>.</b>	Karen Stoddis.
21	Ω	Spell that.
22	.)	S-t-o-d-d-i-s.
23	Q.	Were any firearms used in Danny's death?
24	у.	No, there wasn't.
25	Q.	Did you dispose of his body?

1		Yes.
2	, ú	In what manner?
3	2	I tied sand bags to him.
4	Ö.	And left him where?
5	× 14	At the bottom of the lake.
6	Q.	Would you describe that gravel pit as to depth,
7	shallow, de	ep?
8	Α.	Well, it's supposed to be bottomless. There's
9	it's deep e	nough where you can drop a crane in it and sometimes
10	they can't	find them.
11	Ω.	After that occurred what did you and Karen then do?
12	A.	We left.
13	Q.	And went where?
14	A.	I in the next three or four weeks I went to
15	California.	I forget where Karen went.
16	Q.	You and Karen then split?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	All right. How did you finance your trip to
19	California?	
20	λ.	I forged about \$500 worth of checks on my mother
21	and father.	
22	Q.	And how did you travel from Ohio to California?
23	$A_{\tau}$	By bus.
24	Q.	How long did it take you to get there?
25	A.	Well, it was only supposed to take three days, but

1	persons tha	t became long-time acquaintances?
2	A.	Yes, I did.
3	Q.	Who was that?
4	A.	One was Larry Ramsey which, at that time, was
5	Vice-Presid	dent of the Hell's Angels, Oakland Chapter.
6	Q.	And what's the Hell's Angels?
7	Α.	It's a motorcycle club.
8	Q.	What is the nature of the acquaintance you
9	established	with Larry Ramsey?
10	$\mathbf{A}_{a}$	Well, he found me all beat up and asked me what
11	had happene	ed and I think he was more concerned about the money
12	that was lo	st and he said he'd try to help me get it back if I
13	could show	him where it happened at and
14	Q	Concerned about the money for you or for him?
15	Α.	Well, I think he wanted it, so
16	Q.	Did Larry Ramsey have a street name?
17	A.	Crazy Larry.
18	Q.	Through him did you meet other persons there in
19	San Francis	sco?
20	A.	Yes. I he took me to a place on Levenworth and
21	McAllister	Street called "The Hospitality House" and introduced
22	me to his	younger brother Raymond Ramsey.
23	Ω.	Was Raymond a member of the Hell's Angels?
24	A.	Not at that time he wasn't.
25	Q.	Did he have a nickname?
	I .	

1	A. Just "Ramsey".
2	Q. All right. And what was the halfway house in
3	San Francisco?
4	A. It was a place where people could go that if
5	they needed a place to crash or anything and there was a lot of
6	runaways and a lot of drugs there.
7	Q. Did you participate and partake of drugs while
8	there?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. What next occurred in San Francisco?
11	A. Well, I left the Hospitality House and went out on
12	my own. I met a guy named LeRoy Fields which became a pretty
13	good friend of mine.
14	Q. And does he have a name?
15	A. No.
16	Q Did you kill anyone in San Francisco on this trip?
17	A. Yeah, a queer.
18	Q. You're referring to a homosexual?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q How did that occur?
21	A. I was in Union Square Park and he came up to me and
22	asked me if I'd like to have something to eat and at that time
23	I didn't have any money and I said "Yes".
24	I thought he was referring to taking me to a
25	restaurant and instead I ended up at his house.

1	drugs?
2	Methedrine, speed.
3	Q Besides the beer?
4	s. Yes.
5	0. How do you know he was dead?
6	A. Because he wasn't breathing.
7	0 What did you do then in relationship to his
8	apartment?
9	A. I took what money he had in his wallet and also his
10	watch and his rings, other things, and that I thought I
11	could sell and I left.
12	Q. Was that in October of 1966?
13	A Somewhere in that area.
14	Q. How old were you?
15	A. I had just turned 16 before I went to California,
16	so I was 16.
17	Q. Where did you then go?
18	A. Well, I was pretty scared so the next morning I
19	called my mother and father and or that same evening and I
20	asked them to wire me money to come home on.
21	Q. Did they?
22	A. Yes, they did.
23	Q. And how did you travel from San Francisco back to
24	Ohio?
25	A. By bus again,

1	Q.	Had you taken the \$40?
2	A.	Yes, I did.
3	Q.	During this period of time what was your usage of
4	alcoholic be	everages and the use of drugs?
5	A,	I got drunk about every day.
6	Q.	By "drunk" what do you mean? A few beers or
7	intoxicated	to the extent of being in a stupor?
8	Α.	I don't know about being in a stupor. I was so
9	drunk I coul	Idn't hardly walk.
10	Q.	All right. What next occurred?
11	A.	I then went to Dayton, Ohio where I met
12	Freddy Richa	ardson who was, at that time, President of the
13	Chapter of (	Outlaws motorcycle club.
14	Q.	Is that a motorcycle club headquarters or
15	originating	from Ohio?
16	A.	Yes, it is.
17	Q.	Do the Outlaws have branches and chapters in
18	various other	er states?
19	A.	They have chapters in different branches throughout
20	the United	States. It's national.
21	Q.	Did you become a member of the Outlaws?
22	A.	Yes, I did.
23	Q.	When?
24	A.	Well, I began my prospecting as an Outlaw shortly
25	after I had	met Freddy and I gained a rank of an office of
	C.	

for Freddy; which were supposedly the reason for the deaths of

1	his brother and his wife.
2	Q. What month and year was this confrontation and
3	your taking those five contracts?
4	A. The first three I found them pretty easy. I didn't
5	get, until right before I went in the Army in 1967
6	Q. So, then, what month in 1967 did you fill the first
7	three?
8	A Probably I'm not sure about what month it was.
9	O. All right.
10	A. I know the last two was in July or August.
11	Ω of 1967?
12	A. Yes.
13	0. How did you fill would you describe the
14	circumstances of filling the first two contracts?
15	A. First three, you mean?
16	Q. The first three. Did you find them all three
17	of those together?
18	A. Yes, I did.
19	Q. All right. Describe, please.
20	A. I was given a location of where these three people
21	lived at. It was on they lived on the same road as the
22	club house was on, off Drexell Avenue in Dayton, Ohio, and
23	Union Farm Road.
24	I then went to the house, walked in the door and
25	they were all three sitting on the floor, fixing and, by what I

1	A,	Yes,
2	Q.	Were the Outlaws at this time engaged in drug
3	traffic?	
4	A,	Some, not nationwide.
5	Q.	Is there a particular religion connected to the
6	biker organization?	
7	A.	Not really.
8	Q.	What do you mean by "not really"?
9	A.	Not all not all the people are Satanic
10	worshipers.	
11	Q.	Are you a member of the Satanic Cult?
12	A.	Yes, I am or was.
13	Q.	Or was? When did you first become a member of
14	the Satanic Cult?	
15	Α.	I was introduced to Satanism when my first trip to
16	San Francisco when I was 15 I became a member of the	
17	Satanic Church in San Francisco in 1968.	
18	Q.	Did that involve baptism?
19	A,	It didn't involve baptism, it involved rituals.
20	Q.	All right. Then, when in 1967 did you go into the
21	Service?	
22	Ā.	September 27, 1967.
23	Q.	And what branch of the Service?
24	A.	Army.
25	Ω.	Where did you take your basic training?

1	A.	Took my basic training at Fort Benning, Georgia.
2	).	How long were you in basic training?
3	₿,	Eight weeks.
4	Q.	Did you gain any temporary rank or status during
5	that period	of time?
6	Α.	When I was graduated from basic training I was
7	graduated a	nd I had acting rank as E-5; which is Buck Sergeant.
8	D.	Did you come home on leave?
9	Å	Yes, I did.
10	Ω	How long?
11	ř.	It was a 14-day leave, but it ended up for about
12	30 days or	more.
13	Q.	During that leave were you married?
14	ñ,	Yes, I was.
15	Q.	To whom?
16	Ã.	Imogene Fredricks.
17	p	Where is she from?
18	<b>3.</b>	She's from Hamilton, Ohio.
19	Ω	And the date of your marriage?
20	ā.	March wait a minute. January 3rd, 1968.
21	Ď.	As a result of this marriage did you get extensions
22	on the term	ination of your basic training leave?
23	Å	Yes, I did.
24	Ú	How many extensions?
25	3.	One or two and I tried to get another one and they

	<del> </del>	
1	wouldn't give it to me so I stayed home anyway.	
2	Q. You were then absent without leave, or referred to	
3	as AWOL?	
4	ä. Yes, AWOL.	
5	0 How long did you stay AWOL?	
6	5. For probably a month or so.	
7	0. And what happened?	
8	I got caught.	
9	0. Where and by whom?	
10	a. By Hamilton Detectives from Butler County and, then,	
11	I was sent back to Fort Dix, New Jersey for AIT, Advanced	
12	Individual Training.	
13	O. All right. Did you receive any punishment for	
14	being AWOL?	
15	A. Yes. I got a special court martial.	
16	Q. And the punishment assessed at what?	
17	A. Six months hard labor and two-thirds of my pay	
18	deducted.	
19	Q And was that sentence carried out?	
20	A. Not the first time.	
21	Q All right. Then what were you subsequently	
22	AWOL again?	
23	A. Yeah, about five or ten times.	
24	Q. And what was the reason, or your reason for going	
25	AWOL?	

1	0 Were you then returned to active duty?	
2	A. Yes, I was.	
3	And when next did you go AWOL?	
4	Right after they let me out of the stockade.	
5	O. And where did you go this time?	
6	A. I went back to Hamilton and left Hamilton and went	
7	back to California.	
8	Q With whom?	
9	I'm not certain about who.	
10	O. Did you go back to California with any particular	
11	person or just back to California by yourself?	
12	1. I think that Karen went with me on this	
13	0 Karen?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Is that the same Karen that had been with you in	
16	the killing of Danny Johnson?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And how long were you in California before you were	
19	apprehended?	
20	A. Well, I got picked up in Ventura, California about	
21	a month or two later drunk on the freeway.	
22	Q. And was this on a charge of being AWOL?	
23	A. Well they found out I was AWOL after they picked me	
24	up on the freeway.	
25	Q. Were you returned to the military authorities then?	

1	A. I was taken to the State Police office and they took	
2	me to Port Hueneme brig, Navy-Marine brig. I stayed there for	
3	three days or four and I was sent to Fort MacArthur and from	
4	Fort MacArthur I was transported to Fort Riley, Kansas stockade.	
5	Q. And there did you receive a general court martial?	
6	A. No, I got another special court martial.	
7	Q. During the time of this last AWOL, were you any	
8	crimes committed by you?	
9	A. I'm not sure.	
10	Q. All right. And what was the punishment assessed	
11	at Fort Riley, Kansas?	
12	A. Six months at hard labor and two-thirds of my pay	
13	deducted.	
14	Q. And did they carry out that sentence?	
15	A About I spent about another 30 days or 60.	
16	Q. And then what happened?	
17	A. I got out again and went AWOL again.	
18	Q. And this time for what reason?	
19	A. I don't think I really had a good reason that time.	
20	r just left.	
21	n Did you leave with anyone in particular?	
22	Z. NO.	
23	All right. Where did they apprehend you then?	
24	E I think back in Ohio again.	
25	Q And then where were you taken?	

1	Q Is Fort Levenworth, Kansas the same location that		
2	of the Federal Penitentiary?		
3	A. Yes, it is.		
4	Q Disciplinary barracks are on the same grounds?		
5	A. No, they are not. The Federal Prison is about,		
6	I think, one or two miles. You can see it from the disciplinary		
7	barracks.		
8	Q. All right. And what was the sentence you then had		
9	facing you?		
10	A. Well, they totalled up all my AWOLs and I had		
11	about 18 months or two years sentence.		
12	Q. Did you serve that time?		
13	A. I served most of it and until I escaped.		
14	0 And when did you escape?		
15	A. In the last part of November, 1968.		
16	Q With whom did you escape?		
17	7. The same two guys that was going with me in		
18	Fort Riley, Eagleburger and Malone.		
19	Q. What means did you use to escape?		
20	A. Well, we had to go through a training program for		
21	eight weeks, special training to get on the outside of the		
2 <b>2</b>	walls. So, we acted pretty straight and until we got on the		
23	outside of the walls and then we left.		
24	Q. Just took off?		
25	A. Yes.		

So, we kept that car and drove it to Ohio.

25

1	Q.	Did you travel in the daytime?
2	Ä,	Not very much. We traveled mostly at night.
3	Q	For what reason?
4	ă.	We had a hot car.
5	Q <b>.</b>	All right. Did you drive this particular vehicle
6	all the way	to Hamilton, Ohio?
7	A.	Yes, we did.
8	Q.	What did you do with it after you arrived in
9	Hamilton?	
10	A.	I sold it to my brother.
11	<u>0</u>	Which brother?
12	Ā	Bill.
13	Q	That's the brother that's three or four years
14	older than	you?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	You didn't have any title to convey, did you?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	All right. Were you picked up and apprehended for
19	this AWOL,	this escape?
20	A.	Yes, on December 2nd, 1968.
21	Ω.	Between the time you got back to Hamilton, Ohio
22	on December	2nd, 1968, had you been involved in any crimes there
23	in Ohio?	
24	A.	Yes, we had or I had.
25	Q.	And what was that?

	- <del> </del>	
1	A. I killed a guy by the name of Ski.	
2	0 S-k-i?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	O. Where was he from?	
5	A. I think he was originally from Mir	nesota, I'm not
6	sure where he was from.	
7	g By this time had your child, Crick	et, been born?
8	Yeah, Cricket was already born.	
9	. When was she born?	
10	A. September 22nd, 1968.	
11	Q. Was that ever a reason that you at	tempted to
12	escape military confinement?	
13	A. Yes, it was. I wanted to go home	and see her.
14	Q Had you and your wife, Emma, separ	ated or had you
15	been divorced by this time?	
16	A. We were on the verge of getting a	divorce.
17	Divorce had been filed.	ēl .
18	Q. All right. Had you ever met Ski b	efore this
19	particular timeframe of the latter part of 196	8 in Hamilton,
20	Ohio?	
21	ā. Had I met him before?	
22	O Yes.	
23	a. Yes.	
24	0. Where?	
25	A California.	
1		

1	making some comments about my wife and that he thought that she
2	might be pretty good in bed so I shot him.
3	Q Just like that you shot him?
4	A. Yes.
5	0. Inside that place?
6	As we were going out the door. We was fighting.
7	0 Pardon?
8	A. As we were going out the door. We was fighting.
9	(). What do you mean by "fighting"?
10	Well, it was slugging at each other.
11	0 What kind of weapons did you use?
12	a .32 automatic.
13	0. How long had you been carrying a .32 automatic?
14	A. I'm not sure how long I had been carrying that.
15	Q. Were you
16	THE COURT: Just a minute. We'll take a ten-minute
17	recess at this time.
18	If you will remember the admonition, ladies and
19	gentlemen, don't discuss the case and keep your minds open.
20	(Recess taken.)
21	THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.
22	MR. ROBINSON: May we proceed, Your Honor?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, just to backtrack a second,
25	has Danny Johnson's body ever been found to your knowledge?

1	I think it was.
2	O When?
3	I'm not sure.
4	Pardon? You are not sure?
5	I'm not sure if it has been.
6	0. All right. Was the homosexual in San Francisco
7	found?
8	A. I think he was.
9	Q. Were you each of the five that you took contracts
10	on from Freddy Richardson found?
11	A. No.
12	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object that he's asking the
13	witness to testify strictly hearsay.
14	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection unless
15	he has personal knowledge of the things which he's testifying.
16	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: And Ski
17	MR. REMAKLUS: I would make the same objection,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Sustained unless a foundation is laid that
20	he has personal knowledge.
21	MR. ROBINSON: All right.
22	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, was there any attempt to
23	dispose of Ski's body from the tavern there in Ohio?
24	A. No, there wasn't.
25	Q Left him lay right where he was?

1	1	Α,	Yes.
2	(	Q.	Then you say that you were arrested December 2nd,
3	1968?		
4	Į	A.	Yes.
5	9	Q.	And who arrested you on that charge?
6		Α.	I was arrested for being AWOL or escaping.
7	(	Q.	All right. And did you get a general court martial
8	for this	s?	
9	2	Α.,	No, I didn't. I got another special court martial.
10	(	Q.	And what was the punishment inflicted for that?
11	2	Α,	I was sent back to Levenworth to do the remainder
12	of my se	ente	nce.
13	(	Q.	And did you serve that sentence?
14	1	Α.,	I served until I got clemency on June 4 of 1969 and
15	was dis	char	ged with an Undesirable Discharge.
16	9	Q.	What do you mean you received "Clemency"?
17	1	Ä.,	I received clemency.
18	(	Q.	Was there
19	1	Α.,	From the Commandant.
20	(	Q.	Pardon?
21	2	A.	From the Commandant.
22	,	Q.	Was there some reason that you received this
23	clemenc	у?	
24	2	A.	Yes, there were.
25	(	Q.	What was that?

1	A. I'm not sure.
2	
3	Q. All right. Was he still President of the Outlaw
	Chapter there in Ohio when you were discharged from the Service?
4	A. I think that he was stripped of his colors and
5	thrown out as President and he went to another bike organization.
6	Q. Where?
7	A. I think he went to Florida.
8	Q All right. Following your discharge from the
9	Service, did you follow any of the activities as a member of
10	the Outlaw biker group in Ohio?
11	A. I made a couple runs with them.
12	Q By "runs" what do you mean?
13	A. Just traveling to different bike meets and when
14	the club meets and has runs to different places.
15	Q. When were you next arrested?
16	Well, let's see. July 27, 1969.
17	Mad you been on the street for a month?
18	Been out since June 4th of '69.
19	n All right. During that period of time did you
20	commit crimes?
21	A Yes.
22	0 What crimes?
23	Armed robbery.
24	O. And is that what you were arrested for? In July of
25	1969?

1	Chillicothe?
2	A. Yes, I was.
3	0. When?
4	A September 14, 1970 or 1971.
5	0. And how long did you remain there?
6	A. Well, I went to the "Probe" ward in November wait
7	a minute, I went to the Probe Ward in October, got my
8	parole papers back in saying I had my parole and I was
9	paroled on December 2nd, 1971.
10	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm sorry, I didn't get the date.
11	MR. ROBINSON: 1971, December.
12	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: What period of parole were you
13	then serving?
14	A. Five years to life.
15	Did you have a parole officer?
16	A Yes, I did.
17	O What was his name?
18	A. Joe Fodal.
19	g Spell that last name if you would.
20	a F-o-d-a-1.
21	Q. What were the terms of your parole?
22	i. That I wasn't allowed to leave the state, that I
23	had to work, maintain a job; that I wasn't allowed to get
24	married without permission; that I wasn't allowed to be in
25	possession of any firearms and that I report to my parole

1	officer either by letter or in person. I think it was once a
2	week or two twice a week or something like that.
3	Q Did you obtain employment?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q. Where and what kind?
6	A. Well, one of the jobs I had was working for this
7	guy, had a furniture place. It was auction sales and it was
8	the only job that I could get because of me just getting out
9	of prison.
10	Q. What happened with that job?
11	A. I quit it because of the pay. Was only I think
12	was working 12 hours a day for, like, \$40 a week.
13	Q. And what kind of work were you performing then?
14	A. Moving furniture, helping arrange the stuff that
15	they was getting ready to sell on auction nights.
16	Q What other employment did you go to then?
17	I worked for Ron Hendell as a tree surgeon.
18	0. Apprentice, learning a trade?
19	n Yes.
20	0 How long did that last?
21	a. Not very long, couple of months, maybe, or a month.
22	O During this period of time had you been reunited
23	with your mother and sisters, brothers?
24	With my mother and she had remarried and my
25	brothers and sisters too, yes.

Q.	And what was the name of the person that your
	A
mother had	d remarried?
A.	Donald Glubber.
Q.	Where was he from?
A.	Somerset, Kentucky.
0.	Was your mother living in Hamilton, Ohio at this
	Kentucky?
Д	Was she living in Hamilton?
0.	Yes.
ä.	Yes, she was.
0	All right. What was your next employment?
	I don't think my next employment wasn't legal.
	A lot of things you've testified to haven't been
	tell us what you engaged in, Tom.
	Drug traffic.
	Pardon?
	Drug traffic.
	What specifically did you do in drug traffic?
	I was a driver, running drugs from state to state.
	Would you describe the method that was used or
-	engaged yourself in?
	The method used? I don't understand what you are
asking me.	
Q.	What did you do in your employment in running drugs?
$\mathbb{A}_{*}$	I drove the cars that the drugs were loaded in.
	Q. A. Q. time or in A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.

Q. Who do the cars belong to?
A. Oh
MR. REMAKLUS: I think this is clearly hearsay and I'd
object.
THE COURT: Sustained, unless the foundation is laid as
to personal knowledge.
Q BY MR. ROBINSON: How did you become employed in
running drugs?
A. Through the biker organization that I belong to and
still belong to and also through Mr. Peter Simons.
Q. Where did you first engage yourself in running of
drugs?
A. Out of our biker organization.
Q What town?
A. Dayton, Ohio.
Q. What was your first trip? From where to where?
A. For any really heavy drugs, my first trip was from
Indianapolis to Salt Lake City, Utah.
Q. Was this a single car that you drove?
A. Yes, it was.
Q By whom was that car owned?
A. It was owned by
MR. REMAKLUS: I would again object. He hasn't laid a
foundation.
THE COURT: Sustained.

1	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: How did you come into possession
2	of that vehicle?
3	A. I got the car from the people I worked for.
4	Q. And who was that?
5	A. Acon Auto Transport.
6	Q And that was in Dayton, Ohio?
7	A. No, this was the first run that I made with any
8	heavy drugs wasn't made out of Dayton. It was made out of
9	Indianapolis.
10	Q. By "heavy drugs" what type of drugs are you talking
11	about?
12	A. Heroin, cocaine.
13	Q. Do you remember approximately what the date was of
14	this first trip from Indianapolis to Salt Lake City, Utah?
15	a. It was in '72.
16	0 Do you remember whether it was spring, summer or
17	fall?
18	It was in the last part of the year.
19	O. Prior to that occurring, had you killed any other
20	persons?
21	A. Yes, I had.
22	Q. Who?
23	A. Guy by the name of Carey Whitesell who had stolen
24	\$200 from my father.
25	Q. When was that?

1	A Right after I got out of prison.
2	0. Where did that take place?
3	A Hamilton, Ohio.
4	Q Would you describe the circumstances of
5	Carey Whitesell being killed?
6	A. You mean where?
7	O Yes.
8	à. How?
9	Q. Yes.
10	A. I think it was at New Miami. I can't be certain if
11	it was there or not, but I think so. It was at New Miami
12	gravel pits. No, I take it back. It was at Four Mile Creek and
13	that's out in the outskirts of Hamilton, Ohio and I took him
14	there. He was with myself and some other people and I asked
15	him why he had stolen the \$200 from my father, plus there was a
16	lot of coins that belonged to my brother and he didn't give no
17	reason and I shot him, killed him.
18	Q. What kind of weapon?
19	A22 pistol, revolver.
20	Q Did you dispose of his body in any particular
21	manner?
22	A. Throwed it in the creek.
23	Q. Anyone else?
24	A. Yes, there were five other people, six other
25	people that I killed I don't know. I can't remember all the

1	circumstances on all six of them, but two. I remember one was
2	in Covington, Kentucky and the other one was in Newport, Kentucky
3	They were one guy's name was Don Anderson who worked for a
4	telephone company and had got in a fight with my father and had
5	caused him and my mother lots of problems. That happened at a
6	bar called The Pink Kitty Cat at Newport, Kentucky.
7	The other one, I can't recall the guy's name right
8	now, but it was in Covington.
9	Q Covington is located right across the Ohio River
10	near Cincinnati; is that correct?
11	A. Right beside Newport.
12	Q. All right. And why was the man in Covington killed?
13	A. Because of things he had done to my father.
14	Q. Such as what?
15	A. Such as using him and, like some of the tricks he
16	did to my father like getting him beat up and stuff in the
17	bars.
18	Q. Were there four others?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. That had done something wrong to your father that
21	you had just mentioned?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And what in particular had they done to your father?
24	A At this, right now, I can't remember right offhand.
25	Q. Do you remember where you killed them?

1	Q.	By whom were they killed?
2	Α.	By the Priest in charge of that Order or that
3	family.	
4	Ũ	How many in Salt Lake?
5	ès.	That I actually see?
6	0.	That you actually witnessed?
7	A.	Just in that year of '72?
8	o,	Yes.
9	₿.	Maybe two.
10	Q	How many in Ogden?
11	3.	Just in the year of '72?
12	ú.	Yes.
13	Α.	Maybe the same, two or three.
14	ρ.	Tulsa?
15	Ž.	Approximately the same in that year.
16	ď	And the other location that you mentioned was
17	where?	
18	A	Tulsa, Ogden, Beaver and
19	Ō	Beaver where?
20	Ži,	Beaver, Utah.
21	Ω	Is there a Temple there?
22	3,	Yes, there is. There is a burial ground there.
23	Q.	And in '72 how many did you witness? How many
24	human kill:	ings did you witness there?
25	Α,	About the same as the other places.
	II.	

observation in support of a possible objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Salt Lake, Tulsa and so on, before the names are mentioned.

We don't know who these people are. I think the circumstances must be -- foundation must be laid before this type of testimony can be admitted.

names are all lumped together with -- in general terms as

These are just names from someplace and before the

THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the objection.

I don't see any relevancy to this anyway, Mr. Robinson, of these kinds of details.

MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir.

- Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, the employment that you have described in running drugs, was this employment connected to your bikers' group?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Was there any control exerted by the bikers' group, the Outlaws or Council, over the approval or disapproval of transportation of drugs?

MR. REMAKLUS: I'll object on the ground that it's irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: National Council would have to take all national precedent at the board meetings. They would have to agree on which Chapters was doing the drug running.

Q BY MR. ROBINSON: And were you active, specifically

- I met Tomisene in San Francisco, California October of 1972.
  - What were the circumstances of your meeting her?
- She was a runaway from home and she needed a place to stay.
- Q. Did you and Tomisene commence living together immediately after your meeting?
- A. Well, she stayed at my place for awhile and then we started living together later on.
- Q. And what were the circumstances of Tom Carey's involvement with you and Tomisene?
- A. Well, Tom Carey had offered me a job of driving a car for him for \$20 a day and he was also selling watches that are, oh, they are supposed to say "Hamilton" but it's not really Hamilton watches, the H-A-R and the "R" is corrected so it looks like an "M" and people -- they will just take a glance at them and look at them and it looks like they say "Hamilton" but they don't and they cost like \$20.50 and we were selling them for \$50 and 75 bucks.

This Tom Carey, why, -- while I was driving for him, he was with us. We went into a bar and he took Tomisene when I was inside a bar and we got in a fight and he took Tomisene. When he got back in the car he told her that I had gotten busted and he kidnapped her and took her to Garberville, California.

22

23

24

25

The state of the s	
1	. When did you next hear from Tom Carey after that
2	episode?
3	a. Hear from him or see him?
4	Q Hear from him.
5	Mell, after he had kidnapped Tomi I went to the
6	State Police. I had an all points bulletin put out for the
7	car that he was driving with Tomisene and after he got to
8	Garberville he had raped Tomisene. She had called me
9	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object to this and ask that
10	this be stricken. They are clearly facts that are if they
11	happened, were not in the presence of this defendant.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	0. BY MR. ROBINSON: Leaving out that portion, then,
14	Tom, when did you actually hear from him?
15	A. When he called me and told me where Tomisene was
16	at.
17	Q. And from his information did you go to get Tomisene?
18	3. Yes, I did.
19	n And did Tomisene advise you what occurred after
20	she was taken by Tom Carey?
21	A. Yes, she did.
22	MR. REMAKLUS: I'll object.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: When did you next see Tom Carey?
25	A. When I killed him.
	The state of the s

1	Q.	Where was that and when?
2	A.	In San Francisco, California and the first part
3	February of	1973, right before me and Tomi got married.
4	Q.	And when were you and Tomisene married?
5	Z.	March 3rd, 1973.
6	Ω.	Where?
7	8.	Portland, Oregon.
8	Ω.	Was Tomisene originally from the Portland, Oregon
9	area?	
10	A.	Yes, she was.
11	Q.	What means and method did you use to kill
12	Tom Carey?	
13	Č.	I shot him.
14	Q.	With what kind of a weapon?
15	A.	I'm not positive right now.
16	Q.	Where did it take place in San Francisco?
17	А,	Oh over in Oakland; wasn't in San Francisco.
18	It was in O	akland at his house right beside the fabricating
19	company.	
20		I think the street is 21st and Berkeley.
21	Q	And after killing him did you attempt to dispose
22	of his body	in any way?
23	A,	Nope.
24	Q.	Left it right there in his home?
25	Α.	Yes.

1	O. Who was Paul Shrader?		
2	A. Paul Shrader was a person that I was supposed to		
3	have killed and I was tried for in 1974 and acquitted.		
4	Q Did you actually kill Paul Shrader yourself?		
5	A. No, I didn't.		
6	0 Who did?		
7	MR. REMAKLUS: I think we're getting into this is		
8	hearsay, no foundation has been laid, certainly, for it, and		
9	probably irrelevant anyway.		
10	THE COURT: Objection sustained.		
11	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, were you present when		
12	Paul Shrader was killed?		
13	A. Yes, I was.		
14	Q. Where was he killed?		
15	A. Tucson, Arizona.		
16	MR. REMAKLUS: I would again object on grounds of		
17	relevancy.		
18	THE COURT: Overruled.		
19	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Tucson, Arizona?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	Q. Who else was there at the time?		
22	A. Tomisene, my wife, was in our motel room. She		
23	wasn't present at the time.		
24	Q. Anyone else present when Paul Shrader was killed?		
25	A. Sanchez.		
- 1			

1	O Is that a nickname?	
2	h. That's his last name.	
3	Q Do you know his first name?	
4	a. No, it's weird, though.	
5	What method of means was used to kill Paul Shrader?	
6	A. He was stabbed ll times, his throat was cut three	
7	times, I think well, when I went to court in I was	
8	charged with that murder and I went to trial for it and on the	
9	autopsy report I think the coronor said that he died from	
10	MR. REMAKLUS: Object as to what the autopsy report	
11	says.	
12	THE COURT: Sustained.	
13	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Had you contacted Paul Shrader	
14	for any particular reason or purpose?	
15	A. Yes, I had.	
16	MR. REMAKLUS: Object on the grounds of relevancy here.	
17	He said he didn't kill him but I think we're getting far	
18	afield, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection.	
20	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Was Paul Shrader involved in	
21	drug traffic?	
22	A. Yes, he was.	
23	Q. Had a contract been let by Council on Paul Shrader?	
24	MR. REMAKLUS: Now, this calls for a conclusion and no	
25	foundation has been laid.	

1 2

presence, ladies and gentlemen. If you remember the admonition, do not discuss the case and keep your minds open.

(Jury left the courtroom.)

0

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, my feeling of the relevancy of the Paul Shrader death amounts to a foundation for the later killing of Sanchez that Tom himself will testify that he did.

Further, the relevancy would be as to his being tried for First Degree Murder in Tucson, Arizona and acquitted March the 4th of 1974 for that killing.

It is in my appraisal and not only preliminary to an almost immediately thereafter killing of Sanchez. But, also relevant as to Tom's involvement with a previous charge of murder against him in the State of Arizona and its results and how those results were brought about.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know how all that's relevant to this case.

MR. ROBINSON: The relevancy, Your Honor, still goes to the total impact of this organization's involvement, his taking contracts and how he himself became subject of contracts on his life that caused him to ultimately come forth with a voluntary statement admitting the deaths of Arnold and Bradford when he, in fact, did not commit those.

MR. REMAKLUS: Your Honor, I think these series of events are clearly irrelevant. I don't know whether -- I

don't see the purpose of them. I don't see the relevancy of any deaths in Arizona as having any bearing on this charge and, much less, how he got from place to place. This seems to be an attempt to add names or flavor to the story.

I just can't see that -- in the representations of Counsel that have been made so far that it ever has a bearing or is relevant to what happened up in Valley County.

I think we're far afield, Your Honor.

MR. ROBINSON: Further, Your Honor, in regards to foundation that, as a result of the Paul Shrader death, there followed certain circumstances in Sanchez and while Tomisene was hitchhiking back to meet with Tom, while he was incarcerated in Tucson awaiting trial, his testimony will be, and his foundation also for two others, that he killed in Tucson, both that his testimony will describe as having raped Tomisene and that he returned to Tucson to kill both of them and, basically, the Shrader circumstance is a foundation to explain three other deaths and the total involvement and impact all of it had upon Tom's mind in regard to the forcible rapist against his wife, Tomisene.

THE COURT: Well, I think I understand your theory of those particular specific events, but I don't understand why -- what all the detail about the trial in Arizona and transactions between these individuals has to do with those ultimate facts. Why can't you get directly to those ultimate facts?

MR. ROBINSON: I will try to do so, Your Honor, with more rapidity, but it's just that the circumstances of Shrader's death seem to be a foundation laying area for the immediately three circumstances after that.

That will also be explanations to several other deaths that occurred after that.

MR. REMAKLUS: I just don't think that there are any explanations that go from death to death. I think if Your Honor is going to admit the ultimate statement that "I killed so and so" and, then, if he asks him why then I suppose Your Honor would admit that then we could go on to the next event and the next ultimate fact. Of course, I don't think any of it is relevant.

THE COURT: You haven't interposed that objection in the past, Mr. Remaklus. That becomes a rather new objection.

MR. REMAKLUS: Well, I didn't think the story was going to take this long, Your Honor.

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I will attempt to go straight to the Sanchez circumstances at suggestion of the State's Prosecutor.

THE COURT: Yes, I don't see -- if I understand your theory that you are presenting this as it bears on the mental disease and defect events -- defense, and as it bears on the truthfulness of the purported confession that's in evidence here. But, it seems to me, under that theory you can go

1	WALLACE, IDAHO, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1975, 1:30 P.M.
2	(Jury re-entered the courtroom.)
3	
4	THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.
5	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
9	BY MR. ROBINSON:
10	o Did you kill Sanchez?
11	a. Yes.
12	Q. When?
13	1. Shortly after I was released shortly after I
14	was acquitted on the First Degree Murder charge in Tucson,
15	Arizona.
16	Q. Where?
17	A. In Victorville, California.
18	Q How did you kill Sanchez?
19	A. In the same manner that he killed Paul Shrader.
20	Q. And for what reason was he killed?
21	A. I didn't feel that it was right the way that he
22	had killed Mr. Shrader. The instructions that we had when we
23	went to Mr. Shrader was only for information, not to kill him.
24	Q After being released from Tucson, Arizona and your
25	acquittal, where did you then go?

1	A	I got my wife, Tomisene, out of the hospital
2		
į		unit in Tucson, Arizona and I took her back to
3	Portland, O	regon.
4	Q.	What did you then do?
5	A.	I returned Tomisene was in a psychiatric unit
6	in Portland	, Oregon school hospital, I returned back to Tucson,
7	Arizona and	killed two guys that had raped her.
8	Q.	Do you know either of their names?
9	ž,	No, I don't.
10	Q.	The first one, how was he killed and where?
11	ğ.,	In his house with a 12-gauge shotgun.
12	Q.	When?
13	λ,	In March of 1974.
14	Q.	Did you dispose of that body?
15	À.	Yes, I did.
16	Ō	Where?
17	3.	Tucson, Arizona.
18	Q.	Anyone's in particular address?
19	Э.	Yes, it is.
20	û	Whose?
21	B.	Julian Zambrowski.
22	Ω.	Zambrowski? Who is Julian Zambrowski?
23	Λ.	He is a friend or well, not really a friend no
24	more.	
25	Ø.	What was his connection, if any, with you and these
1		

1	killings?
2	Me was a runner.
3	Q In Tucson?
4	A. He is was one of the runners for Acon.
5	9 How was the second man in Tucson killed?
6	s. I waited for him to get off work and followed him
7	with a friend of mine that was with me, driving a car. I shot
8	through his door and through the window with a .27 high powered
9	rifle.
10	0 Was his body disposed of in any way?
11	No, it was not.
12	9. Why did you kill those two men?
13	n. For raping my wife, Tomisene.
14	Q What was the date that you and Tomisene were
15	married?
16	A. March 3rd, 1973.
17	Q. Was that following your release from custody in
18	Tucson?
19	A. Oh, no, that's my release from Tucson was
20	March 5, 1974.
21	Q. All right. So, this was a full year later?
22	h. Yes, it was.
23	Where did you then go?
24	A. Back to Portland, Oregon.
25	@ For what purpose?

1	I stayed there from April until June or July, I'm
2	not sure.
3	Q Of 1974?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. While there did you receive any psychiatric
6	treatment?
7	A. Yes, I did.
8	Q. What was the nature of that treatment?
9	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object to this as calling
10	for a conclusion of the witness, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: He can describe what happened to him in
12	terms of treatment. Overruled.
13	THE WITNESS: I was placed on medication and I underwent
14	a series of shock treatments.
15	
16	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Is that electroshock treatment?
	A. Yes.
17	Q. And where is the Oregon State Hospital located?
18	A. Salem, Oregon.
19	Q Prior to your being in custody there at the Oregon
20	State Hospital at Salem, had you used drugs and narcotics?
21	A. Yes, I had.
22	Q. To what extent?
23	A. To the extent that I was put on the methadone
24	program.
25	Q. I don't understand. What's a "methadone program"?

1	
1	. It's when a person gets strung out on heroin and
2	they are bringing them off of it.
3	0. Had you used heroin?
4	Yes, I had, to some extent.
5	Q What other type of drugs had you used?
6	A. Well, I have a friend working in the methadone
7	program. I was getting methadone and I'd use speed, marijuana,
8	acid, cocaine; about everything, I guess.
9	Q. What is "Acid"?
10	A. LSD.
11	0. Is that drug taken in different forms?
12	a. Yes, it is.
13	0 What are the forms that you took?
14	A. Mostly windowpane acid.
15	Q And what does that mean?
16	A. Windowpane acid.
17	Q Well, describe the appearance of the drug.
18	A. Looks like a piece of tape. You can compare it
19	with a piece of scotch tape, possibly, it's clear.
20	Q. And that's where the term "windowpane" comes from?
21	A. Yes, I guess.
22	Q. On your discharge excuse me, strike that.
23	During your custody of the Oregon State Hospital,
24	were you ever allowed weekend passes?
25	A Yes, I was.

1	0. Did you commit any crimes while on weekend passes
2	from that institution?
3	h. Yes, I did.
4	O. Specifically what crime?
5	A. Murder.
6	Q. Who and where?
7	E. I completed the contract on Vivian Grant Robinson
8	in Sacramento, California, on that was on my last pass from
9	the State Hospital.
10	O. Last pass?
11	5. Before I was released.
12	O. Do you recall the date that that occurred?
13	Well, it was my last pass from the State Hospital,
14	so, compare it to that.
15	Q How did you carry out that contract?
16	A. By strangulation.
17	Q. Who gave you that contract?
18	A Come from National Council in and Peter Simons.
19	Q. Why was Vivian Grant Robinson killed?
20	MR. REMAKLUS: I think this just calls for a conclusion,
21	speculation. I don't know that it's relevant.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	THE WITNESS: Because of his drug involvement and that
24	he had taken a portion of money received for the drugs that he
25	had transported and was selling and didn't return it to the

1	National Council and the people that he was running the drugs
2	for.
3	Q. After your discharge from the Oregon State
4	Hospital at Salem, where did you then go?
5	A. I went back to Portland, Oregon.
6	0 And how long did you stay there?
7	A. I stayed in Portland, Oregon until August of 1974.
8	Did you travel any from that location during that
9	period of time?
10	A. I did some traveling, yes.
11	O Did you kill JoJo?
12	A. Yes, I did.
13	0 Is that a street name?
14	A. Yes, it is.
15	Q. What is the true name of JoJo?
16	A. Right now I can't remember it right offhand.
17	o. What was his connection to you?
18	A. He was a rival biker for one thing.
19	0. With what organization?
20	A. He rode with the Vogels, out of
21	0. Pardon?
22	A. The Vogels out of El Cahon, California.
23	0. Where was he killed?
24	In El Cahon, California.
25	0. When was he killed?

1	À.	Sometime during July or August. I'm not sure of
2	the date.	
3	Ŋ.	How was he killed?
4	ā-	I shot him.
5	ρ	Pardon?
6	Z.	I shot him.
7	Ć,	With what type of weapon?
8	F.	A .32.
9	Ω.	For what reason?
10	Ā.	Personal reasons.
11	₹⟩,	And what were the personal reasons?
12	ä,	One, his involvement with Tomisene and that he had
13	been on the	contract that had come down to get the information
14	from her; w	here the drugs were located at and also that at that
15	time I thou	ight him responsible for the death of my younger
16	brother; wh	ich I found out later that my younger brother wasn't
17	dead.	
18	Ő.	Did you kill Joe Daga?
19	ñ.	Yes, I did.
20	(1).	Where?
21	â.	Seattle, Washington.
22	Ģ.	When?
23	Å,	I'm not sure of the month.
24	Ú	Is Joe Daga the true name of that person?
25	23	No, it is not.

-	
1	Q What is the true name of Joe Daga?
2	A I can't pronounce it.
3	0. Is it similar to that name?
4	A. Yes, it is.
5	9 Would you describe the circumstances of the
6	killing of Jos Daga?
7	A. Yes. They were him and another guy by the name
8	of Craneo were prospecting for trying to for three
9	different bike clubs at one time. National Council had ordered
10	the contract on both.
11	I was appointed to take the contracts, which I
12	accepted anyway and I also felt at that time that Daga was
13	responsible for Tomisene's accident.
14	Q Who else was with you when this killing took place?
15	My brother-in-law.
16	0. His name?
17	A. Ronnie Nelson.
18	0. What do you mean "brother-in-law"?
19	My brother-in-law. My wife's sister's husband.
20	O. Tomisene's sister?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Where do they reside?
23	In Seattle, Washington.
24	0 When Joe Daga was killed, besides yourself and
25	Rod Nelson being present, who else was present?

1	A. Craneo.
2	Q. Know his first name?
3	7. David.
4	C. Describe what happened.
5	A The first shots that I fired, I missed Craneo, I
6	believe, and hit him in the leg and, then, we took Daga to
7	I can't pronounce the name of the lake, "Snoqualamish" I
8	can't say it.
9	Q. Suquamish?
10	A. Yes, it's out of Snoqualmie Pass. We took him
11	there and disposed of his body and later on took Craneo to
12	Beaver, Utah, where he was later killed there.
13	Q. How was Joe Daga's body disposed of?
14	A. In the lake.
15	Q By what means?
16	By the sand bags.
17	n Tied to his body?
18	A Yes.
19	And Craneo, how was he disposed of?
20	He's at a burial ground in Beaver, Utah.
21	a And would you describe those burial grounds?
22	. It's a person's relatives not relatives of mine,
23	but this person that I know. It's their orchard and they've
24	got different sections and these people are buried in Craneo
25	is buried in the seventh section, row three.

1	Q. This friend of yours you are speaking of, is that
2	Jeannie?
3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. It's her parents' place?
5	A. It's her parents' or grandparents', I'm not sure.
6	Q. To your knowledge how many other people are buried
7	at that burial ground?
8	MR. REMAKLUS: Object on the ground it's irrelevant and
9	immaterial.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Had you lived for a period of
12	time at Beaver, Utah?
13	A. Yes, I had.
14	Q And while there did you work?
15	A. Yes, I did.
16	O. At what job?
17	E I was assistant manager at Al Bambie's Cafe in
18	Beaver.
19	Q. And what period of time did you live there?
20	A. Between September and the time of my arrest
21	December 29 of 1973 for the Paul Shrader murder.
22	Q During that period of time did you make any
23	drug runs?
24	N Yes, we did.
25	O During that period of time did you go out of the
1	

1	country into Mexico for obtaining drugs?
2	MR. REMAKLUS: I'd object on the grounds it's irrelevant.
3	THE COURT: Overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: Would you say that question again?
5	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: During that period of time did
6	you go out of the United States into Mexico to obtain drugs?
7	You mean to New Mexico or Old Mexico?
8	0 Old Mexico.
9	a. Yes, we did.
10	By what means did you travel?
11	A. We flew in.
12	o. With whom did you fly?
13	A. Peter Simons.
14	Q. In whose plane?
15	A. Peter Simons'.
16	Q. From what point in the United States did you take
17	off and where did you land?
18	MR. REMAKLUS: Object on the ground of irrelevancy.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
20	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: During this period of time, when
21	you lived at Beaver, Utah, did you fill any contracts for
22	Council and kill any persons?
23	A. Yes, I did.
24	Q. How many?
25	A. Two.

1	9,	And who were they?
2	a.	Two businessmen. I don't know who they were, one
3	from Aurora	and one from Salt Lake City.
4	Q.	Aurora? What state?
5	<i>Ā</i> .	Colorado.
6	0.	Did you kill a man by the name of Red?
7	ā.	Yes, I did.
8	Ω	Where?
9	2.	I'm not sure which one you are talking about.
10	Q.	Does Red have a true name?
11	Ä.	Yes, but I'm not sure what it is. I'm not sure if
12	you are tal)	king about the guy I killed in Las Vegas or
13	Jackson Hole	e, Wyoming.
14	Ω	Were there two persons that you killed that had the
15	name of Red	
16	А.	Yes.
17	Q.	And did you kill a person by the name of Red in
18	Wyoming?	
19	А,	Yes, I did.
20	Q.	Where in Wyoming?
21	A.	Jackson Hole, Wyoming at a dude ranch.
22	Q.	When?
23	A.	I don't remember the date.
24	Q.	Was the dude ranch a Satanic Temple?
25	A.	Yes, it is an outside Temple there.
		1

1	Q Did you attend the Satanic Cult ceremonies there?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q During that period of time in your visit there,
4	did you witness any sacrifices of human beings?
5	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object on the ground it's
6	irrelevant and also no foundation has been laid.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
9	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: How many different human beings?
10	A. I can't be sure.
11	Q Do you have any number at all that would be proper
12	that you actually witnessed yourself?
13	A. No, I wouldn't want to say.
14	Q. How was Red killed?
15	A. I shot him.
16	Q. With what kind of weapon?
17	A. A .270 high powered rifle.
18	Q. Who else was present when that killing took place?
19	MR. REMAKLUS: I'd object on the ground of relevancy,
20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Overruled.
22	THE WITNESS: Waco, a friend.
23	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Pardon?
24	A. A friend. Larry Johnson, Waco.
25	Q His true name is Larry Johnson?

1	. I believe so.
2	Q. And "Waco" is his street name?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Why was Red killed?
5	a. I'm confused right now on that.
6	Q. Was that a personal or contract?
7	I'm confused on the two different guys because one
8	was a contract and one was personal.
9	Q All right. Did you kill Billy Dean?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Where did that killing take place?
12	A. In the church that I was working at as a sexton in
13	Portland, Oregon.
14	Q. When?
15	A. Sometime in August of 1974.
16	Q. Would you describe the circumstances?
17	A. Yes. I called some friends, the Hilbys and asked
18	to speak to Linda Crandall. I then advised her to ask
19	Gene Hilby if he would come over; that I was having some
20	problems.
21	I also advised her that if anything was said that
22	their lives would be in danger.
23	Q. Then what happened?
24	A. Then Mr. Hilby come over to the church, I met him
25	outside. He had a rifle in his truck, I took it without his

1	falsified story?
2	ä. To an extent, yes, I am.
3	O Did you kill Jane Ramsamoog?
4	6 Could I have a drink of water?
5	THE COURT: I'll get that for you.
6	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Where did you kill Jane?
7	Mhere did I kill her or "did I kill her"?
8	0. Did you kill Jane Ramsamoog?
9	B. No, I didn't.
10	0 Do you know, yourself, where she was killed?
11	A Yes, I do.
12	0 Were you present when she was killed?
13	A Yes, I was.
14	Q Where was she killed?
15	A. In Salem, Oregon at the grocery store.
16	Q By whom?
17	A. I won't say.
18	Q For what reason was she killed?
19	A. For what reason was she killed?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. For drugs, was one of the reasons. But, the
22	other reason, I think I really can't explain it.
23	Q. All right. Did you kill Gordon Stanton?
24	A. Yes, I did.
25	Q. Who was Gordon Stanton?

1	
	went back and checked and Rick was still alive so he said,
	"Either waste him or I'll report you to Council." So, I
	in turn shot Rick two more times, went back to the car, drove
	about 100 yards, Waco reloaded my gun and I stopped and he
	asked me what I was doing. I said that I knew that he had
	set Rick up and I wasted him too.
	Q. With the same .44?
	A. Yes, I did.
	Q. Did you dispose of either of those bodies from the
	locations where they fell?
-	A. I put them both in the same ditch, the ravine that
	they was in.
	Q. Where did you go following that set of circumstances?
	A. I'm not sure where I went.
	Q. How did you communicate, or contact, Council or
	Council contact you?
1	A. Through Peter Simons.
	Q. Did you have occasion to be in New Mexico during
	the latter part of August or during September, 1974?
	E. Yes, I did.
	O. What was the purpose of your being in New Mexico?
	To locate some drugs that had been stashed there.
	@ For yourself or someone else?
	You mean were the drugs for myself?
	Q Yes.
11	

1	the window of the driver's side.
2	Are you sure that all three of those men were
3	killed?
4	A Yes, they were.
5	O Did you dispose of their bodies?
6	3. Yes, we did.
7	"We"? You say a friend?
8	Mell, two friends.
9	O. And was one of those friends female?
10	h Yes.
11	Q Or were both of them females?
12	No, just one of them.
13	Q. Would you identify either one of them?
14	A. Not the female.
15	Q. And the male?
16	A. Was a guy by the name his street name was
17	John Boy. His real name is John Boran.
18	Q. Boran?
19	A. Boran, yes.
20	Q Did he participate in those killings?
21	A. Yes, he did.
22	Q. Where did you dispose of those three men?
23	A. At by the corral, horse corral. Then, at a
24	later date we went back and moved them and took them to Blue
25	Lake wait a minute, Blue Water State Park in Grandee,
	R .

1	New Mexico.
2	Q Did you kill Tom Sage; also known as Jerry?
3	A. No. I killed a Jerry, but I'm not sure if his
4	name I'm not sure of it's Tom Sage or not. I thought it
5	was at the time, but I'm not sure.
6	0 When was Jerry killed?
7	In October.
8	O. Of 1974?
9	. I think so, yes.
10	0 Where?
11	In Barstow, California at Calico Ghost Mines.
12	6 Who also was present when that killing took place?
13	A Myself, John Boran, a female two females and I
14	think that was all at that time.
15	Q. What were the circumstances of that killing?
16	A. They were supposed to know where the drug stash
17	was in New Mexico and they said they had it stashed there at
18	Calico Ghost Mines and they didn't have them.
19	Q. Was one of the girls also killed at the same time
20	Jerry was killed?
21	A. Yes, they were.
22	Q. Before or after Jerry was killed?
23	A. Well, the female that was with me shot Donna and
24	when Donna fell Jerry went for he had a .25 automatic and
25	when he went for the automatic I shot him.

1	0 Were their bodies disposed of?	
2	A Yes, they were.	
3	Q By you?	
4	B. Yes.	
5	0 How?	
6	By taking them into the mines, to that pit and	
7	dropping them in and, then, dropping rocks down on top of them.	
8	O Did you kill John Boy?	
9	a Yes, I did.	
10	Q. Where?	
11	Same place.	
12	0 How long after the killing of Jerry?	
13	A. It was right after that in the opposite mine	
14	because, when we removed those bodies from the three Mexicans	
15	from New Mexico from the original point to the Blue Water State	
16	Park, he had killed an elderly couple without instructions from	
17	Council or myself; which I wouldn't have ordered that kind of	
18	killing anyway. But so, when they were at the mines I just	
19	wasted him too.	
20	Was that on an order from Council?	
21	For me to kill John Boy?	
22	Q. Yes.	
23	No, it wasn't.	
24	Was that personal?	
25	A Yes.	
1		

1	Q	Your own decision?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q	Did you kill Jerry, a newscaster?
4	A.	Which newscaster?
5	Q.	Did you kill more than one newscaster?
6	Α,	Yes.
7	Q.	Where was that first?
8	A.	In Missoula, Montana.
9	Q.	When?
10	Α.	I think it was in July or September, somewhere
11	along there	. It was in the summer.
12	Q.	A year ago this past summer?
13	А,	Yes. That was the first newscaster.
14	Q.	Where was he from originally?
15	A.	I think his name was Terry and he was from
16	Farmington,	New Mexico.
17	Q.	Why was he killed?
18	A.	I can't remember right now.
19	Q.	You recall whether or not that was a personal
20	decision of	your own or Council?
21	A.	I believe Council had something to do with it but
22	I'm not rea	lly sure on the personal aspects of it.
23	Q.	What were the circumstances at the time of his
24	killing?	
25	A.	How was he killed, you mean?

1	Q. Yes,
2	A. We were riding in a car and we were drinking and
3	a hemorrhoid suppository was dropped in his drink and he drank
4	it and it closed his windpipe off.
5	And did he choke on that?
6	A. Yes, he did.
7	O Did that kill him?
8	A. Well, it stopped him from breathing.
9	Was he shot or any other means used to kill him?
10	Not the first newscaster.
11	0 Was he disposed of?
12	Yes, he was.
13	Q. How?
14	A. We wrapped him in a black tarpaulin, sealed it at
15	the ends and dumped him into I think it was the Lolo River,
16	Lolo Creek in Missoula.
17	0 How far out of Missoula?
18	By the bridge, I'm not sure.
19	n Did you kill Jeff Ozzio?
20	%. Yes, I did.
21	9. Who was Jeff Ozzio?
22	5. I'm not sure exactly who he was.
23	6 When did you kill him?
24	F. I'm not sure. I think it was about the same time
25	that I killed the second newscaster.
- 1	

1	0	And when was that?
2	å,	In October.
3	, o	Of 1974?
4	Α,	Yes.
5	Õ	Where?
6	Å.	In Missoula, Montana.
7	().	What were the circumstances of Jeff Ozzio's death?
8	Ā,	Council had come down with a contract on him.
9	Q.	For what reason?
10	A	I'm not sure exactly what the reason was.
11	ů	Where did the killing of Jeff Ozzio take place?
12	In Missoula	?
13	A.	At the bridge on Lolo Creek. As you are coming
14	out of town	, before you take Route 12 that takes you to
15	Lewiston, I	daho.
16	Q.	And how was he killed?
17	È	By gunshot.
18	Q.	What type of weapon?
19	ž. <b>.</b>	I think it was a .32 automatic.
20	Q.	Did you dispose of his body?
21	<u>}</u> .	Yes, I did.
22	Q.	Where?
23	Α.	Into the creek, Lolo Creek.
24	Q.	Did you kill Chief, also known as Walter Henshaw?
25	7,	Yes, but I'm not sure if that's his real name or
1		

1	not.
2	Q You knew him by "Chief"?
3	A Yes.
4	0. Was that his street name?
5	a. That was his bike name of the Banditos.
6	Q. He was a biker?
7	Yes, he was.
8	Q. What was the reason for killing Chief?
9	Well, main reason was his involvement with Tomisene's
10	accident and the information that they tried to force from her.
11	The second reason was that we was in a bar called
12	The Trading Post drinking and we went outside and we fought,
13	the first time, and he beat me up, went out again the second
14	time, he beat me up again, went out the third time and I
15	decided I wasn't going to let him beat me up again so I shot
16	him.
17	Q. Did you dispose of his body?
18	A. Yes, I did.
19	Q. Where?
20	A. At a construction site outside of Missoula, Montana.
21	Q And you say that was near the time that you killed
22	the second newscaster?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q Was the second newscaster's name Carey?
25	A. I believe so.

1	0 Where was he killed?
2	At the bridge on Route 12 on Lolo Creek.
3	0 Why was he killed?
4	A. I'm not sure right now, I'm not
5	0. Were either of those newscasters dealing in drugs?
6	They were both into drug traffic.
7	THE COURT: We'll take a ten-minute recess. If you
8	
9	will remember the admonition, ladies and gentlemen, don't
10	discuss the case and keep your minds open.
	(Recess taken.)
11	THE COURT: Show the jurors are all present.
12	MR. ROBINSON: May we proceed, Your Honor?
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, have you killed other
15	individuals, human beings that we have not named and fully
16	described?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q Are you personally acquainted with one
19	Anton Szandor LaVey?
20	A Yes, I am.
21	When did you first meet Anton LaVey?
22	When I joined the Satanic Church in 1968.
23	0 And where was that?
24	In San Francisco, California.
25	On how many occasions since that time have you been

1	MR. REMAKLUS: Again I'll object as being irrelevant.
2	THE COURT: Overruled.
3	THE WITNESS: You make your pact with Satan, yes.
4	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: And what pact is that?
5	A. Well, you
6	MR. REMAKLUS: I'd offer the same objection.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: Would you ask me that question again. He
9	got me confused.
10	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: What pact do you make with Satan?
11	A. It's where you volunteer your sole to Satan and to
12	do Satan's work.
13	Q. And did you?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q On the three occasions that you mentioned that you
16	were in the presence of Anton Szandor LaVey at sacrificial
17	ceremonies, were human beings actually killed in those
18	ceremonies?
19	A. Yes, they were.
20	MR. REMAKLUS: Again I would object on the grounds of
21	relevancy.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, they were.
24	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: And who performed the killing?
25	A. LaVey himself.
1	

1	Q Did you ever aid and assist in the disposing of any
2	of the sacrificial victims?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. REMAKLUS: Object to this on the ground it's
5	irrelevant and immaterial and not probative of any issue in
6	this case.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.
9	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: How many?
10	A. Where at?
11	0. We're only talking about the circumstances where
12	LaVey was present. Where was that?
13	The Temple, the Satanic Church.
14	O The Temple?
15	A. It was at the Satanic Church.
16	0. All in San Francisco?
17	A Yes.
18	( How many did you assist in disposing of?
19	A. One or two of them, I'm not sure.
20	0 Where?
21	The bodies were transported to another Temple in
22	Malibu, California and at a later date was moved to Point Magoo,
23	California.
24	0. Where is the Temple located in Southern California?
25	Escondido Retreat, McCoy's Ranch.

1	Q.	Have you been in attendance at sacrificial deaths
2	at McCoy's	
3	A.	Yes, I have.
4	Q.	How many human beings were subject of being
5	sacrificed	and killed during those ceremonies that you attended?
6	A.	Possibly between 12 and 15.
7	Q.	Who killed those individuals?
8	A.	It would depend upon the Priest that was in charge
9	of that cer	emony.
10	Q.	Do they have different Priests?
11	A.	Yes, they do.
12	Q.	Are these Priests connected to the bikers?
13	A.	Some of them are, yes.
14	Q.	Did you aid and assist in disposing of any of the
15	sacrificial	death victims from McCoy's Ranch?
16	A.	Yes, I did.
17	Q.	How many?
18	Α,	About the full number that I witnessed sacrificed
19	there.	
20	Ω.	And where were they disposed of?
21	A,	On the ranch itself at the burial ground and, at a
22	later time,	they was moved to Point Magoo.
23	Q.	Have you ever acted as a Priest in the sacrificial
24	death cerem	ony?
25	A.	Yes, I have.
1		1

			1
1	Ω	And have you killed a sacrificial victim?	
2	à	Yes, I have.	1
3	ρ	How many?	
4	å.	Three.	
5	Q.	Where did those take place?	
6	3.	One was in Ogden, Utah, another was in Tulsa and	
7	another was	in Wichita Falls.	
8	Q.	Wichita Falls, Texas?	
9	24	No, I think Wichita Falls, Kansas, I think it is.	
10	Q.	Wichita, Kansas, without the "Falls" on it?	
11	$B_{\gamma}$	Yeah, I think that's it.	
12	Q.	Have you attended any of the Satanic Cult rituals	
13	in Ohio?		
14	A.	Some of them, yes.	
15	Q.	Have you ever acted as a Priest in any of those	
16	rituals in	that state?	
17	Α.	No, I haven't.	
18	Q.	Have you attended any of the Satanic Cult rituals	
19	in the State	e of Colorado?	
20	A.	Yes, I have.	
21	Q.	Have you ever acted as a Priest in that state?	
22	A.	No, I haven't.	
23	Q.	Have you attended the Satanic Cult's rituals in	
24	the State of Montana?		
25	A.	Yes, I have.	

1	Q And where is that located?
2	A. The ritual site?
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. The Temple?
5	Q. Yes.
6	A. It's in Missoula. It's up outside of Missoula. I
7	can't explain it to you.
8	0. Is there a Temple in the State of Washington?
9	There was.
10	n. Where?
11	a In Burien.
12	9 Have you attended Satanic Cult sacrificial ceremonies
13	at Burien, Washington?
14	A. Yes, I have.
15	Q. Is this the Temple that you located for the
16	King County Homicide Division?
17	MR. REMAKLUS: Object to this.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Were there sacrificial victims
20	that were killed at Burien, Washington at that Temple?
21	A. Yes, there were.
22	Q. In your presence?
23	A. Yes, there were.
24	Q. Did you aid and assist in the disposing of the
25	victims?

1	to that as being it isn't even a question.		
2	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.		
3	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: What was your reason for performing		
4	the ceremony?		
5	A. It was my duty as a Priest under LaVey's orders to		
6	perform the rituals.		
7	Q. And before you commenced the ritual, did you know		
8	in advance who the victim was going to be?		
9	A. No, I didn't.		
10	Q. Are the bikers' organizations also involved in		
11	organized prostitution?		
12	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object on the ground it's		
13	irrelevant and immaterial.		
14	THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the objection.		
15	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Who is Gary Hart?		
16	A. Senator-elect from Colorado.		
17	Q. Do you know him personally?		
18	A. Yes, I do.		
19	Q When did you first meet Gary Hart?		
20	A. Through Glen Richard and in 19 I believe it was		
21	*73.		
22	Q. What were the circumstances of your meeting with		
23	Richard and Gary Hart?		
24	A. On one occasion it was for a drug transaction. On		
25	another occasion it was at a Satanic ritual.		

1	0 BY MR. ROBINSON: Yes.		
2	I had a contract on Senator Hart.		
3	Who gave you that contract?		
4	Come from National Council and Peter Simons.		
5	0 Where did you get that contract?		
6	From Peter Simons.		
7	Where were you and when was that contract conveyed		
8	to you?		
9	A. In Fresno, California.		
10	Q Why were you given this contract?		
11	MR. REMAKLUS: This calls for conclusion of the witness,		
12	Your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection.		
14	Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Do you know the reason that this		
15	contract was given to you?		
16	A. Yes.		
17	Q. Who told you that reason?		
18	A. Peter Simons, Glen Richard.		
19	Q. And what was that reason?		
20	MR. REMAKLUS: Again I'll object on the ground it's		
21	irrelevant.		
22	THE COURT: Overruled.		
23	THE WITNESS: The reason was, during his campaigning he		
24	was campaigning against the use of drugs. That was part of		
25	his campaign before he became Senator-elect and he had violated		

21

22

23

24

25

National Council's rules under their statutes and --

MR. REMAKLUS: This calls -- I'm going to interrupt this.

Calling for conclusion of the witness, "violating rules" that

we know nothing about or who made the rules, or if this --

THE COURT: The question he's answering was what he was told. I will instruct you to limit yourself to that answer,

Mr. Creech, because this is still what you were told, not anything else.

THE WITNESS: Would you ask me the question again, then?

Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Yes. What reason was given to you for the contract to kill Gary Hart?

MR. REMAKLUS: Object to the form of the question. I think the question -- and he's asking for reasons and I have no objection if he said what was said, Your Honor. But, he's asking for a narrative.

THE COURT: I so interpret the question. Overruled. THE WITNESS: Sorry, but would you ask me again.

- Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: What was told to you as the reason for giving you the contract on Gary Hart?
- A. On the last drug transactions which Senator Hart dealt with, he didn't come through with the money.
- Q. Had you personally been present at any prior time to that when Gary Hart actually exchanged money and drugs?
  - A. Yes --

MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object to that on the grounds

1	What year, month or what?
2	9 Yes, what month and year.
3	The first transaction that I ever seen?
4	Q Yes.
5	a. It was in July, I believe, of '73.
6	Q July of '73?
7	a. Yes.
8	0. Who were you then working for?
9	I was working for Peter Simons.
10	O. And what was the nature of his business?
11	He's a casino operator in Jean, Nevada. He owns
12	the City of Jean, Nevada.
13	Q Was this connected to Acon Auto Transport also?
14	MR. REMAKLUS: I would object to that as merely calling
15	for a conclusion of the witness.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Where exactly did this transaction
18	take place?
19	MR. REMAKLUS: I can't tell which transaction he's
20	discussing now. We've skipped around so much.
21	Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: In July of 1973 at Salt Lake.
22	A. At Richard's Finance Company.
23	Q And what is that address, if you know?
24	A. The way their streets are really messed up, I
25	think it's sits on First Avenue South, or Third Avenue South.

1	met Tom Arnold at Junction City?
2	A Grand Junction, could I change it to Grand Junction?
3	Q Grand Junction, Colorado?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What were the circumstances of your first meeting
6	him there?
7	A. At that time Mr. Arnold and Mr. Bradford, both, were
8	Mr. Bradford had pulled time with one of my friends,
9	Mr. Ramsey, and they were wanting to get into trafficking
10	drugs.
11	Q. What was the duration of your involvement with
12	Tom Arnold there at Grand Junction, Colorado?
13	MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object to that. There's
14	no foundation for such a question.
15	THE COURT: Overruled.
16	THE WITNESS: You mean how much time did I spend with
17	them?
18	O. BY MR. ROBINSON: Yes.
19	A. Long enough to have it set up where Mr. Richard
20	to have them deliver a car to a bank, a repossessed car.
21	Q. When did that take place?
22	A. July, August.
23	0. Of 1974?
24	Yes.
25	And where were they to deliver the "repo" car to

1	was suppose	ed to make the drop to me in Lewiston, Idaho.
2	ο.	When did you first have actual contact with them
3	person-to-p	
4	Α.	At the guy's house by the Nezperce Indian Reservation
5	where we me	t them on the night that they were killed.
6	Q.	What time of the day did that occur?
7	Ë.,	I think it was around 6:00 or 7:00.
8	Ç.	In the evening or in the morning?
9	į.	In the evening.
10	Ō	How did you travel from Lewiston to this location
11	near the Ne	zperce Indian Reservation?
12	А.	Myself?
13	Q.	Yes.
14	A-	I was in a 1969 Roadrunner.
15	Q.	Is that a Dodge or Plymouth vehicle?
16	A.	It's a Plymouth Roadrunner.
17	Q	Who was with you?
18	A.	Carol.
19	Q.	And was this meeting between you and Arnold and
20	Bradford on	a prearranged basis?
21	A.	Yes, it was.
22	Q.	Who else was there at that place, at that time?
23	A.	A friend of Carol's named Dan, and another girl.
24	Q.	And what took place at that location?
25	A.	When we got there we went in the house and we

1	$\hat{A}_{i}$	Just myself.
2	Q.	And who all was traveling in the '56 Buick with
3	Arnold and	Bradford?
4	Α.	Dan, Carol and the other female.
5	Q.	Were you involved in the stealing of a battery
6	charger?	
7	λ.	I knew about the battery charger.
8	Q.	But, were you involved in it?
9	<b>!</b> :	No, I wasn't.
10	Û.	In either the taking or the selling?
11	Ä.	No, I wasn't.
12	Ω	All right. From Grangeville would you go ahead
13	with your r	oute of travel?
14	А.	From Grangeville I think well, do you want me to
15	go ahead wi	th the route of travel or tell you what happened in
16	Grangeville	?
17	Q.	Did anything in particular happen in Grangeville?
18	A.	That's where I think Tom Arnold sold the battery
19	charger was	at a bar right down the street from the Texaco
20	station tha	t they stopped at and bought gas.
21	Ω.	All right. Were you present when the battery
\	charger was	sold?
25	A.	I wasn't in the bar. I was parked about four cars
24	back from t	hem.
25	Q	All right. Now, did there come a time that you cam

HN W. GAMBEE, C.S.R. 0940 Hollandale Drive Boise, Idoho 8370s

2,548 CREECH, T., Def., Di. By Mr. Robinson.

1	through McC	all, Idaho, on this route of travel?
2	Ä,	If McCall is on 95, possibly, yes.
3	Q.	In what vehicle were you traveling then?
4	A.	I was still in the Roadrunner.
5	Q.	Did you stop in McCall?
6	Α.	I'm not sure.
7	Q.	When did you get to Cascade, Idaho?
8	A.	About oh, I went I'm not sure how far
9	Donnelly	or how far from the murder scene to Donnelly it is
10	to Cascade.	But, I went on down the road, I was thinking that
11	it was Casc	ade where I turned around and went back.
12	Q.	Were you still driving the Roadrunner at that time?
13	A.	Yes, I was.
14	Q.	Did you have occasion to stop for any reason or
15	purpose the	first time you were in Cascade? Before you turned
16	around?	
17	A.	No, no specific reason.
18	Ō.	All right. Then why did you turn around?
19	A.	To go back and find out why they had stopped at
20	the pulloff	•
21	Q.	And how far back from Cascade did you drive before
22	you located	their car?
23	A.	To the junction?
24	Q.	Yes.
25	Ã.	That's how far I drove back. To the junction.

A. Yes, to that junction.

3

Q All right. And describe what you found.

4 5

were standing outside the car, the trunk was open of the car,

6

Mr. Bradford and Tom Arnold had already been placed in the ditch

When I got there Dan, Carol and the other female

7

and cover "putten" over them and I asked what went wrong.

8

Carol said something like, "Oh, my God" or something, "We shot

9

them".

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I then took Carol, we took the Buick, Dan and the other female left. Took the Buick back to Clarkston where the

O. Took which vehicle back?

A. The Roadrunner. And me and Carol in turn took the Buick to Cascade where we did try to hot wire a car and come to find out it, the head gasket on it or something, was blown and I couldn't even get it hot wired. So, we went back and got the Buick, several miles out of Cascade we stopped at a old house or something and I was wanting a rest, Carol was still kind of scared and she wanted to go on. So, we drove to Cougar Mountain Lodge. There we parked the car, left the engine running. I went up to see if the doors were open, at this time Carol wanted to call the police. I told her that it would be best not to call the police because, up until this time, she didn't know that I was wanted in Oregon.

So, we sit there most of the night until early

21 22

23

2425

morning and we had driven the car down to the spot where it was found, the Buick was found, got out of the car and caught a ride with — it was a man and his wife and little boy picked us up. They took us the rest of the way into Boise to — right on the main route there to a Chevrolet or small car dealership. I can't remember which it was, but there was a hamburger stand right beside of it. We got out there.

When we left there we went -- we got a ride with another young couple that took us down to the -- I can't -- Department of something, where they -- Department of Agriculture or something where they tell you where -- give you directions on where different buildings and stuff was at.

- Q. All right.
- A. And they had maps there.
- Q What, if any, conversation, took place regarding the supposed pound of smack or heroin being carried by Arnold and Bradford at the scene?

MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object to the question as being leading and suggestive.

THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection unless a foundation is laid that there was a conversation about it.

MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir.

Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, your testimony related to a pound of heroin supposedly being carried by Arnold and Bradford. What information, if any, did you receive on that heroin?

MR. REMAKLUS: I would object as being no foundation in being laid for the question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Did you ever find that pound of heroin?

MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object again. It's leading and suggestive. No foundation has been laid for it.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: The pound of heroin was taken to Clarkston.

Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Pardon?

A. The pound of heroin was taken to Clarkston. I'm not sure if they found it that night or not, but later on I was told that it was taken there to Clarkston.

MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object to this form of exchange between the defendant and Counsel and ask that it be stricken and the jury instructed to disregard it, Your Honor. It's not relevant, not even tied in as to time, place or any foundation or anything.

THE COURT: Yes, I'm going to sustain the objection, instruct you to disregard the answer, ladies and gentlemen, unless you lay a foundation as to how he knew these things, Mr. Robinson.

MR. ROBINSON: All right, sir.

Q BY MR. ROBINSON: At this location you have described just outside of the Nezperce Reservation, did you ever

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	A. Yes.
2	
	2 1 1 1
3	A. On the day that Carol and I left Miss Spaulding's
4	mother's house and Bill Schreiber's house.
5	Did you take the gun from under the mattress?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q Who did?
8	A I imagine Carol did.
9	0. When did you get rid of the gun?
10	When we stopped at the house at the Nezperce
11	O And to whom did you give the gun at that time?
12	A It went back into Carol's possession but I think
13	that she give it to Dan.
14	Q. And in your trip in the Roadrunner from the
15	Nezperce Reservation to Cascade and, then, back to the scene
16	of the murders, did you have any weapon in your possession at
17	al1?
18	A. No, I did not.
19	Q. And when in the events or the occurrence of these
20	events was this gun returned to your possession?
21	A. I took the gun from Dan.
22	Q When and where?
23	A. At the site of the killings.
24	Q Did you maintain possession of that gun from that
25	point until the early morning hours on the 8th of November, when

7.0	
1	Jim Maxwell.
2	Q During the entire session?
3	A. I'm not sure. I think he had to leave a couple
4	times.
5	Q All right. So, the handcuffs had been taken off of
6	you?
7	A. Yes, but I was still handcuffed to the other
8	officers.
9	Q. All right. From the time of your being arrested on
10	that day until this 2:00 session started, had you been able to
11	sleep?
12	A. No, I had been interrogated.
13	Q. All of the time?
14	A. Yes, say, for maybe an hour while I was back in the
15	cell.
16	Q Did you go to sleep at that time?
17	A. No, I was kind of freaking out on the acid and one
18	of the Deputies come back and I was laying on the floor. He
19	asked me if I was all right and picked me up and put me back
20	on the bunk.
21	Q. All right. Do you remember making a statement and
22	giving a confession that you killed Arnold and Bradford on that
23	day?
24	A. I remember making a statement, yes.
25	Q And was that statement reduced to writing?
1	

MR. REMAKLUS: We have no objection.

THE COURT: All right, 55 will be admitted for all purposes without limitation and without deletion as it presently exists.

MR. ROBINSON: And 56, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, 55 and 56.

MR. REMAKLUS: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Whereupon State's Exhibits 55 and 56 admitted in its entirety.)

- Q BY MR. ROBINSON: Tom, did you in fact kill Arnold and Bradford as that statement says you did?
  - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Why did you make that statement?
- A. Well, for one, it wasn't Wesley Woodall or Maxwell but Detective Freeman that testified earlier had advised me earlier once that if I would make a statement that they would let Carol go free.

Then, afterwards when Mr. Woodall and Jim Maxwell come in I decided to make the statement.

- Q And admit to a double slaying that you didn't commit?
- MR. REMAKLUS: I think I'm going to object to the interjection by Counsel. The witness may answer the question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

24

25

1	Sheriff Palmer.
2	Q And were you, on the very first day in Cascade,
3	Idaho, appointed counsel, an attorney?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And who was that?
6	A. Ward Hower.
7	Q. And were you, on that very same day, queried and
8	interrogated by two detectives from Portland, Oregon, regarding
9	the death of Billy Dean?
10	On the day that I was transported there?
11	Q Yes.
12	No, I think it was the following morning before I
13	was taken to Ada County.
14	Q. The following morning?
15	A. I believe so.
16	Q. And did you make any admission as to the killing
17	of Billy Dean at that time?
18	a I'm not sure.
19	0 Did you?
20	E. I'm not sure.
21	o Did they also question you about Janie in Salem?
22	A. Yes, they did.
23	O. Did you make any admission regarding her death at
24	that time?
25	A. I'm not positive of that. I know that Ward Hower,

1		A.	To the three Mexicans.
2		Q	What do you mean you "lied to them"?
3		$\mathbb{A}_*$	Well, I took them to the spot where we had originally
4	dumped	them	at but I didn't tell them about where they was
5	really	at u	ntil later on.
6		Q	All right. When was that trip made?
7		Ž.	What month was it made in?
8		Ω	Yes.
9		Ä	I don't know.
10		Q	During this period of time did you have access to
11	your Co	ounse	1?
12		A.	No, I didn't.
13		Ď.	Where were you next transported?
14		À.	Sheriff Palmer, I think it was Sheriff Palmer and
15	myself	, Ser	geant Taylor, we went to Missoula, Montana.
16		Q.	And did you meet with Montana law officers at
17	in Mis	soula	?
18		A.	Yes, we did, Sheriff Moe.
19		Q.	Were there any bodies recovered as a result of that
20	trip?		
21		A.	The first newscaster.
22		Q.	And where was he located?
23		A.	I think they had already found him before we got
24	there.		
25		Q.	What was the purpose of your going then?

1	A. To find the other people.
2	Q And were you able to locate the others while there?
3	A. No, we wasn't.
4	Q. Where were you next transported?
5	A. I think me and Bud Mason and Sheriff Palmer went to
6	Calico Ghost Mines in Barstow, California.
7	Q. Was that this plane trip that resulted in an air
8	crash?
9	A Yes, it was.
10	Q. And what was the purpose of your going there?
11	A To find bodies of Jerry and Donna.
12	Q. Anyone else?
13	A. And John.
14	Q. That's "John Boy"?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Were you successful in finding any of those bodies
17	at that time on that trip?
18	A. No, we wasn't.
19	0. Why weren't any bodies located on that trip?
20	Because I had, again, lied to Sheriff Palmer because,
21	at that time, I felt that my mother and my stepfather were in
22	the mines and I've seen the way that they pick up bodies and
23	put them in little bags and stuff. If my mother's body would
24	have been found, I would have wanted to bring it out myself.
25	9. You gave them a wrong location?

for your mother?

	1		
1	A. 1	In a Gremlin.	
2	Ω. Ι	Oo you know the year?	
3	Λ.	72 or '73.	
4	Q P	and how did you obtain that vehicle?	
5	A. E	rom a guy. He had stolen it, so I stole it from	
6	him.		
7	Q. E	oid you drive it all the way into Idaho?	
8	, a	drove it to Orofino.	
9	Ω, Ο	profino?	
10	5. Y	es.	
11	Q. P.	and was that vehicle left there before you went	
12	into Lewiston	?	
13	A. Y	eah, shoved it down in a park.	
14	Q. P	ardon?	
15	A. I	shoved it down in the park. There was a park	
16	area there an	d I put the car on the hill and released the	
17	brake and, th	en, went slamming down into a bunch of trees.	
18	Q. A	nd how did you then get into Lewiston?	
19	A. S	ome lady from a church picked us up and give us a	
20	ride.		
21	Q. E	ack to this trip to Barstow, California, and the	
22	Calico Mines.	On the return flight do you recall the events	
23	that led up t	to the forced landing and the crash of the plane?	
24	A. Y	es, I do.	
25	Q. A	fter the plane had settled down and occupants had	
	\		

MR. REMAKLUS: I'm going to object on the grounds that this is irrelevant and immaterial. No foundation.

THE COURT: Well, the answer may stand. Overruled.

- Q. BY MR. ROBINSON: Even in these poems, Tom, have you related to the killings and circumstances that you've testified here?
  - A. Some of them, I think.

MR. ROBINSON: Your Honor, I'm going to make a peculiar request and that is that I do not want to release Tom to cross-examination until I have had an opportunity to recheck the battery of my materials and also because Tom has been on the stand for this entire day discussing the subject matter that he has and I would request the Court for an early recess and even make it up in the morning by commencing at 9:00 if the Court desires.

I believe that my direct examination is mostly done but I would like to make sure.

THE COURT: We'll take our evening recess until 9:30 tomorrow morning and you will remember the admonition, don't discuss the case and keep your minds open.

(Recess taken.)